

# Analyzing ecofriendly perovskite solar cells

## <sup>1</sup>Mohit Dhanik

<sup>1</sup>UG Student of Department of Physics, Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun 248002,Uttarakhand ,India \*\*\*

L

**Abstract** - This research paper presents an analysis of highly efficient eco-friendly perovskite solar cells using the SCAPS 1D simulator. Perovskite solar cells(PSCs) have gained a lot of attention as an alternative to conventional silicon based solar cells due to their high power conversion efficiency (PCE) and low cost fabrication. The study investigates the impact of different -different hole transport layers(HTL) and electron transport layers(ETL) on the performance of the perovskite solar cell.And finding which(HTL/CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/ETL) combination would be best. The graphs for capacitancevoltage, current density voltage, fill factor and quantum efficiencies are also evaluated. Furthermore, we have used  $Cu_2O_1$ , Spiro-MeOTAD and CuO as our best HTL and ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> as our best ETL. The simulation results show that optimizing these parameters can significantly enhance the device efficiency . The study also highlights the potential of perovskite solar cells to be an efficient and cost -effective solution for renewable energy generation.

*Key Words*: Solar cells - electron transport layer – hole transport layer-SCAPS 1D-PCE

## **1.INTRODUCTION**

The world's energy needs have been increasing day by day. The depletion of energy sources and fossil fuels, natural gas, coal, etc. have a negative impact on our societies and the environment [1]. Therefore, the development of alternative energy resources and sustainable energy sources considering economic and environmental aspects is the focus of research worldwide [1]. Perovskite materials are widely used in photovoltaic devices such as solar cells and light-emitting diodes (LEDs) [2]. The energy conversion efficiency of PSCs has improved rapidly in recent years. Lead-based metal halide perovskites have revolutionized photovoltaics in recent years, dramatically increasing the efficiency from 3.8% in 2009 to 25.7% in 2022 [3].But those perovskite are mostly lead based and the use of toxic materials and organic cations in PSCs presents challenges for commercial applications.

In this work, we analyze the solar cells with the help of SCAPS software. There are five layers consisting of ITO/ETL/  $CH_3NH_3SnI_3$  /HTL/Au. ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> was used as electron transporting layer (ETL) and Cu<sub>2</sub>O, Spiro-MeOTAD and CuO was used as hole transport layer (HTL).ITO and Au are used as they are best suitable[4]. These layers have the advantages of being eco friendly and highly efficient. We analysed the performance of the ETL and HTL layer. We have also shown the influence of absorber and ETL thickness , working temperature, and current-voltage density (J-V) characteristics. We also demonstrated the effect of the layer thickness on PCE and J-Vand I-V characteristics of  $CH_3NH_3SnI_3$ .

## 2. Device structure and simulation

SCAPS 1D software[5] [6][7][8]solves the poisson equation(a), continuity equation of electron(b) and holes(c). [9]

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(-\varepsilon(x)\frac{d\psi}{dx}\right) = q[p(x) - n(x) + N_D^+(x) - N_A^-(x) + p_t(x) - n_t(x)] (a)$$
$$\frac{dp_n}{dt} = G_p - \frac{p_n - p_{n0}}{\tau_p} + p_n\mu_p\frac{d\xi}{dx} + \mu_p\xi\frac{dp_n}{dx} + D_p\frac{d^2p_n}{dx^2} (b)$$

$$\frac{dn_p}{dt} = G_n - \frac{n_p - n_{p0}}{\tau_n} + n_p \mu_n \frac{d\xi}{dx} + \mu_n \xi \frac{dn_p}{dx} + D_n \frac{d^2 n_p}{dx^2}$$
(c)

where, G,  $\tau_n$ ,  $\tau_p$ , D, q,  $\Psi$ ,  $\mu_n$ ,  $\mu_p$ , n(x), p(x),  $n_t(x)$ ,  $p_t(x)$ , N–A (x), N+D (x) and  $\xi$  denote the generation rate, electron lifespan, diffusion coefficient, electron charge, electrostatic potential, electron mobility, hole mobility, free electrons concentration, free holes concentration, trapped electrons concentration, trapped holes concentration, ionized acceptor concentrations, ionized donor concentrations and electric field.[9]

#### **Table 1** : Input parameters of the ITOs, ETLs and absorber

		layer			
Parameters Thickness(nm) Band gap,Eg(eV) Electron affinity,(eV)	ITO 500 3.5 4	TiO <sub>2</sub> 30 3.2 4	ZnO 50 3.3 4	WS <sub>2</sub> 100 1.8 3.95	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> SnI <sub>3</sub> 500 1.3 4.170
Dielectric permittivity(relative),	9	9	9	13.6	6.5
CB effective density of states,Nc(1/cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.20E+18	2.0E+18	3.7E+18	1.0E+18	2.2E+19
VB effective density of states,N <sub>v</sub> (1/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.8E+19	1.8E+19	1.8E+19	2.4E+19	2.2E+19
Electron mobility,(cm²/Vs)	20	20	100	100	1.6
Hole mobility,(cm²/Vs)	10	10	25	100	1.6
Shallow uniform acceptor density,N <sub>A</sub> (1/cm <sup>3</sup> )					3.2E+15
Defects density.N <sub>1</sub> (1/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.0E+15	1.0E+15	1.0E+15	1.0E+15	1.0E+13
References	[4][10][11][12]	[13][10][4]	[8][14][4]	[10][15][4]	[16]

## Table 2: Input parameters of the HTLs

Parameters		Cu <sub>2</sub> O	Spiro-MeOTAD	CuO	
Thickness	(nm)		50	200	50
Band gap, H	Eg(eV)		2.2	3	1.51
Electron at	ffinity,(eV)		3.4	2.2	4.07
Dielectric	permittivity(re	elative),	7.5	3	18.1
CB effective density of states, Nc(1/cm3)			2.0E+19	2.2E+18	2.2E+19
VB effective density of states, Nv(1/cm3)			1.0E+19	1.8E+19	5.5E+20
Electron m	obility,(cm²/\	/s)	200	2.1E-3	100
Hole mobi	lity,(cm²/Vs)		8600	2.16E-3	0.1
Shallow ur	niform accepto	r	1.0E+18	1.0E+18	1.0E+18
density,N <sub>A</sub>	(1/cm <sup>3</sup> )				
Shallow uniform donor density,N <sub>D</sub> (1/cm <sup>3</sup> )					
Defects density,Nt(1/cm3)		1.0E+15	1.0E+15	1.0E+15	
References			[13][4]	[4][8]	[4]
Interface	Defect type	Capture cross-	Energetic	Reference for	Total density (cm <sup>-2</sup> )
		section:electrons	Distribution	defect energy	
		/holes(cm <sup>3</sup> )		level	
ETL/	Neutral	1.000E-15[17]	Single	Above the EV	1.000E+15[17]
CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> S		1.000E-15		maximum	
nI <sub>3</sub>					
CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> S	Neutral	1.000E-15[12]	Single	Above the EV	1.000E+15[12]
nI₃/HTL		1.000E-15		maximum	

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

> Table 3: Results of solar cells with different -different HTL layers

Optimized device	Voc(V)	Jsc(mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF(%)	PCE(%)
TiO2/ CH3NH3SnI3/ Cu2O	1.0091	31.642	81.20	25.93
ZnO / CH3NH3SnI3/ Cu2O	1.0098	31.881	81.23	26.15
WS2/ CH3NH3SnI3/ Cu2O	0.98	19.13	81.23	15.30

#### Table 4: Results of solar cells with different-different ETL layers

Optimized device ZnO/ CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> SnI <sub>3</sub> / Cu <sub>2</sub> O	Voc(V) 1.01	Jsc(mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ) 32.53	FF(%) 81.22	PCE(%) 26.70
ZnO/ CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> SnI <sub>3</sub> / Spiro-	0.88	32.45	71.98	20.68
ZnO/ CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> SnI <sub>3</sub> / CuO	0.98	33.41	83.13	27.28

#### **3.1 Discussions**

#### 3.1.1. Effect of thickness -

#### FOR HTL:









Fig.1 Dependence of layer thickness of TiO<sub>2</sub> on PCE (%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF (%)



Fig.2 Dependence of layer thickness of WS $_2$  on PCE (%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm²), FF (%)



Fig.3 Dependence of layer thickness of ZnO on PCE (%), Voc (V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF (%)



# FOR ETL:



Fig.4 Dependence of layer thickness of Cu<sub>2</sub>O on PCE (%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF (%)



Fig.5 Dependence of layer thickness of Spiro-MeOTAD on PCE (%), Voc (V), Jsc (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF (%)









**Fig.7** Dependence of layer thickness of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub> on PCE(%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF(%)



# **2-EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE**





Fig.9 Effect of temperature on PCE(%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF(%) of ZnO/ CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/ Spiro-MeOTAD

Fig.8 Effect of temperature on PCE(%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF(%) of TiO<sub>2</sub>(variable) CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/Cu<sub>2</sub>O; ZnO(variable) / CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/Cu<sub>2</sub>O; WS<sub>2</sub>(variable)/ CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/Cu<sub>2</sub>O; ZnO/CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/Cu<sub>2</sub>O(variable)



**Fig.10** Effect of temperature on PCE (%), Voc(V), Jsc(mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), FF(%) of ZnO/ CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>SnI<sub>3</sub>/ CuO

**Table 5**: Comparison between different perovskiteparameters with the current study.

ETL	HTL	Perovskite layer	Jsc(mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Voc(V)	FF(%)	eta(%)	Ref
Zn2SnO4	Spiro- OMeTAD	CH3NH3SnI3	32.30	1.185	64	24.3	[18]
TiO <sub>2</sub>	CuO <sub>2</sub>	MAPbI <sub>2</sub> Br	31.4	0.89	76.7	22	[19]
TiO <sub>2</sub>	Spiro- OMeTAD	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> SnI <sub>3</sub>	32.76	0.82	74	20.08	[18]
TiO2	CBTS	CsPbI <sub>3</sub>	21.07	0.997	85.21	17.90	[4]
TiO <sub>2</sub>	CuOz	$CH_3NH_3SnI_3$	34	0.99	71.30	24.54	[16]
ZnO	CuO	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> SnI <sub>3</sub>	33.41	0.98	83.13	27.28	This woı

# **3. CONCLUSIONS**

This study analyses environment friendly perovskite solar cells by focusing on their efficiency. Different – different HTL and ETL has been successfully analyzed with the help of SCAPS 1D. As per the findings, ZnO as HTL and CuO as ETL are best suitable for non-lead based perovskite solar cells. The influence of different HTLs and ETLs thickness on PCE (eta), $V_{OC}$ , $J_{SC}$  and FF was studied, similarly influence of temperature is also studied with the help of SCAPS 1D. SCAPS 1D software gives us a fair results about the thickness and temperature of different layers of solar cells to get more efficient perovskite solar cell.

# REFERENCES

- [1] M. Khalid Hossain *et al.*, "Combined DFT, SCAPS-1D, and wxAMPS frameworks for design optimization of efficient Cs2BiAgI6-based perovskite solar cells with different charge transport layers." [Online]. Available: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4595-6367
- [2] A. K. Mishra and R. K. Shukla, "Effect of humidity in the perovskite solar cell," *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 29, no. July, pp. 836–838, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2020.04.872.
- [3] F. Ji, G. Boschloo, F. Wang, and F. Gao, "Challenges and Progress in Lead-Free Halide Double Perovskite Solar Cells," *Sol. RRL*, vol. 2201112, 2023, doi: 10.1002/solr.202201112.
- [4] M. Khalid Hossain *et al.*, "Effect of various electron and hole transport layers on the performance of CsPbI 3based perovskite solar cells: A numerical investigation in DFT, SCAPS-1D, and wxAMPS frameworks."
- [5] M. Burgelman, P. Nollet, and S. Degrave, "Modelling polycrystalline semiconductor solar cells," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 361, pp. 527–532, 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0040-6090(99)00825-1.
- [6] A. Niemegeers and M. Burgelman, "MODELLING OF ac-

CHARACTERISTICS SOLAR CELLS," *IEEE Photovoltaics Spec. Conf.*, no. april, pp. 901–904, 1996.

- [7] J. Verschraegen and M. Burgelman, "Numerical modeling of intra-band tunneling for heterojunction solar cells in scaps," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 515, no. 15 SPEC. ISS., pp. 6276–6279, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.tsf.2006.12.049.
- [8] M. Burgelman, K. Decock, S. Kheli, and A. Abass, "Author' s personal copy Advanced electrical simulation of thin fi lm solar cells," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 535, pp. 296–301, 2013.
- [9] P. K. Patel, "Device simulation of highly efficient ecofriendly CH3NH3SnI3 perovskite solar cell," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82817-w.
- [10] S. Abdelaziz, A. Zekry, A. Shaker, and M. Abouelatta, "Investigating the performance of formamidinium tinbased perovskite solar cell by SCAPS device simulation," *Opt. Mater. (Amst).*, vol. 101, no. February, p. 109738, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.optmat.2020.109738.
- [11] F. Anwar, S. Afrin, S. S. Satter, R. Mahbub, and S. M. Ullah, "Simulation and performance study of nanowire CdS/CdTe solar cell," *Int. J. Renew. Energy Res.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 885–893, 2017, doi: 10.20508/ijrer.v7i2.5688.g7068.
- [12] M. A. Moon, H. Ali, and F. Rahman, "Investigation of thinfi lm p -BaSi 2 / n -CdS heterostructure towards semiconducting silicide based high ef fi ciency solar cell," 2020.
- [13] S. Kazim, "IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, 48013, Spain. 3," vol. 2.
- [14] I. Chabri, A. Oubelkacem, and Y. Benhouria, "Numerical development of lead-free Cs2TiI6-based perovskite solar cell via SCAPS-1D," *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 336, pp. 1–8, 2022, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202233600050.
- [15] K. Sobayel *et al.*, "A comprehensive defect study of tungsten disulfide (WS2) as electron transport layer in perovskite solar cells by numerical simulation," *Results Phys.*, vol. 12, no. March, pp. 1097–1103, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.rinp.2018.12.049.
- [16] M. A. Salih, M. A. Mustafa, and B. A. A. Yousef, "Developing Lead-Free Perovskite-Based Solar Cells with Planar Structure in Confined Mode Arrangement Using SCAPS-1D," *Sustain.*, vol. 15, no. 2, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15021607.
- [17] A. B. Coulibaly, S. O. Oyedele, N. R. Kre, and B. Aka, "Comparative Study of Lead-Free Perovskite Solar Cells Using Different Hole Transporter Materials," *Model. Numer. Simul. Mater. Sci.*, vol. 09, no. 04, pp. 97–107, 2019, doi: 10.4236/mnsms.2019.94006.

- [18] R. Tala-Ighil Zaïr, C. Oudjehani, and K. Tighilt, "SCAPS Simulation for Perovskite Solar Cell," *J. Sol. Energy Res. Updat.*, vol. 8, pp. 21–26, 2021, doi: 10.31875/2410-2199.2021.08.3.
- [19] S. Sajid, S. Alzahmi, I. Ben Salem, and I. M. Obaidat, "Guidelines for Fabricating Highly Efficient Perovskite Solar Cells with Cu2O as the Hole Transport Material," *Nanomaterials*, vol. 12, no. 19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/nano12193315.