

Dirichet And Unitary Convolutions Of Arithmetic Functions.

Dr. Ram Kumar Sinha

*Associate Professor in Mathematics,
E:mail ID – rksinha.rcit@gmail.com.
Ramchandra Chandravansi Institute of Technology,
Bishrampur, Palamu, Jharkhand- 822132*

Abstract:- In this paper we study Arithmetic functions and its distributive and quasi distributive properties hold in general set-up of s-regular and A-regular convolutions. They hold in the case of Dirichet and Unitary Convolutions. We study the theorems of Pandmavashamma [3], Vidyanatha Swamy [7], Langford [2], Lambek [1].

(1) Introduction:- A system study of Vasu’s S-regular and A-regular i.e. strong regular convolutions has been presented along with some results by Pandmavashamma [3] Balashekhara and Subrahimanya Saitri [4].

Theorem (1.1) for any three arithmetic functions f, g, h, where f is A- multiplicative, and A is any s-regular convolution

$$[1.1(1)] \quad F(g A h) = (f g) A (f h)$$

Holds if and only if f is completely A-multiplicative

Theorem (1.2) for any three A-multiplicative functions f₁, f₂, f₃, we have

$$[1.2 (1)] \quad f_1 A (f_2 B f_3) = (f_1 A f_2) B (f_1 A f_3), \text{ where } A \text{ is any A- regular convolution and } B \text{ is the unitary regular convolution associated with } A.$$

Theorem (1.3) for any four completely-A-multiplicative functions f₁, f₂, f₃, f₄ we have

$$[1.3 (1)] \quad (f_1 f_3) A (f_1 f_4) A (f_2 f_3) A (f_3 f_4) = (f_1 A f_2) (f_3 A f_4) A \theta \text{ where}$$

$$[1.3 (2)] \quad \theta(r) = \begin{cases} f_1(\sqrt{r}) f_2(\sqrt{r}) f_3(\sqrt{r}) f_4(\sqrt{r}), & \text{if } r \text{ is a square w. r. to} \\ & \text{product representation of } A \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We also indicate the corresponding distributive properties for six completely-A-multiplicative functions, an analogue of Theorem of Subbarao [5].

Theorem (1.4) For completely-A-multiplicative function f, g, h, k, u, v, we have

$$[1.4 (1)] \quad (f A g) (h A k) (u A v) = (f h u A f h u A f k u A f k v A g h u A g h u A g k u A g k v A \theta).$$

Where $\theta (n)$ is an A-multiplicative function defined for an arbitrary A-primitive p^t as follows:

$$[1.4 (2)] \quad \theta (p^{t_i}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ or } i = 5, \rho(p^t) > 4, \text{ or } i > 6, \rho(p^t) > 6 \\ -w, & \text{if } i = 2, \rho(p^t) \geq 2 \\ 2 abcdrs (a + b) (c + d) (r + s), & \text{if } i = 3, \rho(p^t) \geq 3 \\ -2 abcdrsw, & \text{if } i = 4, \rho(p^t) > 4 \\ (abcdrs)^3, & \text{if } i = 6, \rho(p^t) \geq 6 \end{cases}$$

Where $f (p^t) = a ; g (p^t) = b ; h (p^t) = c ; k (p^t) = d ; u (p^t) = r ; b (p^t) = s$

2. We make use of the generating power series and Bell series defined below:

$$f_{p^t}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\rho} f (P^{t_i}) x^i$$

is called the generating power series or Bell series to the base P^t .

If f is A-multiplicative, f is uniquely determined when $f_{p^t}(x)$ are known for all A-primitives p^t .

Example (2.1): Mobius function $\mu_A = u_A^{-1}$ (associated with an A-divisor system), where $u (n) = 1$ for all n is determined by the generating power series.

$$[2.1 (1)] \quad \mu_{p^t}(x) = 1-x.$$

Result (2.2): If f is completed-A-multiplicative, then $f (p^{t_i}) = f (p^t)^i$, for $0 \leq i \leq \rho (p^t)$

Where p^t is an A-primitive and its generating power series to the base p^t is

$$[2.2 (2)] \quad f_{p^t}(x) = 1-f (p^t) x)^{-1} \pmod{x^{\rho+1}} = 1-f (p^t) x)^{-1}|_{\rho}$$

the binomial series $(1-f (p^t) x)^{-1}$ truncated to degree ρ . Likewise we have

Result (2.3): If f and g are A-multiplicative then

$$[2.3 (1)] \quad (fAg)_{p^t}(x) = f_{p^t}(x) \overset{A}{x} g_{p^t}(x)$$

Where $\overset{A}{x}$ indicates that the product is the formal product of the concerned power series modulo

$x^{\rho+1}$. We also have

Result (2.4): If f_1 and f_2 are completely $-A$ -multiplicative and $f_1(p^t) = a, f_2(p^t) = b$ then

$$[2.4 (1)] \quad (f_1 f_2)_{p^t}(x) = [1 - abx]^{-1} |_{\rho}$$

We know

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Result (2.5):} \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{a^{n+1} - b^{n+1}}{a - b} \right] \left[\frac{c^{n+1} - d^{n+1}}{c - d} \right] x^n \\ &= \frac{(1 - a b c d x^2)}{(1 - a c x)(1 - b c x)(1 - a d x)(1 - b d x)} \text{ Sivaramakrishnan [6].} \end{aligned}$$

Hence on truncating to the degree x^{ρ} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Result (2.6):} \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{a^{n+1} - b^{n+1}}{a - b} \right] \left[\frac{c^{n+1} - d^{n+1}}{c - d} \right] x^n \right\} |_{\rho} \\ &= \frac{(1 - a b c d x^2)}{(1 - a c x)(1 - b c x)(1 - a d x)(1 - b d x)} |_{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

3. We all prove theorem (1.1) in a more general form.

Definition (3.1): A product $k = g A h$ for any two arithmetic functions g, h is called a discriminative A -product if $k(n) = g(1)h(n) + g(n)h(1)$ holds only when n is A -primitive.

In other words, $k = g A h$ is a discriminative $-A$ -product only if

$$[3.1 (1)] \quad \sum_{\substack{d \in A(n) \\ d \neq 1, d \neq n}} g(d)h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \neq 0 \text{ when } n \text{ is not } A - \text{ primitive}$$

Theorem (3.2): Let f, g, h be any three arithmetic functions, then f is completely $-A$ -multiplicative if and only if [1.1 (1)] holds for a discriminative $-A$ -product $k = gAh$.

Proof: It is easy to verify that [1.1 (1)] holds for all arithmetic functions g, h whenever f is completely $-A$ -multiplicative. In particular, it holds when $k = gAh$, a discriminative A -product.

For the converse, we assume $r = p_1^{t_1} p_2^{t_2} \dots p_m^{t_m}$, where the A -primitives $p_i^{t_i}$ are distinct or not.

We prove by induction on m , the necessary result for completely $-A$ -multiplicatively, viz.,

$$[3.2 (1)] \quad f\left(\prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{t_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^m f(p_i^{t_i})$$

If $m = 1$, (3.2) is trivial.

Assume (3.2) is true for all $m < n$. Let gAh be a discriminative

A-product and $m = n$. Then, since f satisfies [1.1(2)],

$$\begin{aligned} f(p_1^{t_1} p_2^{t_2} \dots p_n^{t_n}) \sum_{d \in A(r)} g(d)h\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) &= \sum_{d \in A(r)} f(d)g(d)f\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \\ &= f(p_1^{t_1})f(p_m^{t_m}) \sum_{\substack{d \in A(n) \\ d \neq 1, d \neq n}} g(d) + f(p_1^{t_1} \dots p_n^{t_n},) h(p_1^{t_1} \dots p_n^{t_n}) g(1) \\ &\quad + f(p_1^{t_1} \dots p_n^{t_n}) h(1) g(p_1^{t_1} \dots p_n^{t_n}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left[f(p_1^{t_1} \dots p_n^{t_n}) - f(p_1^{t_1}) \dots f(p_n^{t_n}) \right] \sum_{\substack{d \in A(n) \\ d \neq 1, d \neq n}} g(d)h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = 0,$$

So, That (3.2) holds for $m = n$, as the product gAh is discriminative.

In particular, the converse is true, when [1.1 (1)] holds for all arithmetic functions f, g, h .

Proof of the Theorem (1.2): It is enough if we that the result is true for p^{it} , $1 \leq i \leq \rho$ for any A-primitive p^t .

Note that for any A-regular convolution there exist a corresponding unique “unitary” s-regular convolution B. In fact

$$(f_2 B f_3) p^{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ f_2(p^{it}) + f_3(p^{it}), & \text{if } i \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore (f_1 A (f_2 B f_3)) p^{it} = \sum_{1 \leq j+\ell \leq i} f_1(p^{it}) f_2(p^{j\ell}) + f_3(p^{i\ell}) + f_1(p^{it})$$

$$(f_1 A f_k) p^{it} = \sum_{0 \leq j+\ell \leq i} f_1(p^{it}) f_k(p^{j\ell}), k = 2, 3$$

Therefore

$$(f_1 A (f_2 B f_3) B f_1) p^{it} = (f_1 A f_3) p^{it}.$$

Hence

$$f_1 A (f_2 B f_3) B f_1 = (f_1 A f_2) B (f_1 A f_3)$$

Proof of the theorem (1.3): Consider any primitive base p^t .

Let $a_i = f_1(p^t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$a_1 \neq a_2 ; a_3 \neq a_4$$

Then for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad i \neq j$ we have,

$$(f_1 A f_j)_{p^t}(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho} \left[\frac{a_i^{\ell+1} - a_j^{\ell+1}}{a_i - a_j} \right] x^{\ell} \dots \dots$$

and

$$(f_i f_j)_{p^t}(x) = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} a_i^{\ell} a_j^{\ell} x^{\ell}$$

Then if $f = (f_1 A f_2) (f_3 A f_4)$ in view of, [2.4 (1)] we have

$$F_{p^t}(x) = (1 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 x^2) (1 - a_1 a_3 x)^{-1} (1 - a_2 a_3)^{-1} \\ (1 - a_1 a_4 x)^{-1} (1 - a_2 a_4 x)^{-1}$$

Further, we will have

$$\theta_{p^t}(x) = 1 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 x^2 + \dots \dots + a_1^{\ell} a_2^{\ell} a_3^{\ell} a_4^{\ell} x^{2\ell} \\ = (1 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 x^2)^{-1} |_{\rho}$$

Where $\ell = [\rho (p^t) / 2]$.

Hence Theorem (1.3) follows:

Proof of Theorem (1.4): It follows on the same lines, using generating power series to bases p^t modulo $x^{\rho+1}$ and on verifying that left side of (1.9) has the generating series to base p^t given by

$$[(1 - acrx)^{-1} (1 - acsx)^{-1} (1 - adrx)^{-1} (1 - adsx)^{-1} (1 - bcrx)^{-1} (1 - bcsx)^{-1} (1 - bdrx)^{-1} \\ (1 - bdsx)^{-1}] |_{\rho} \\ = [1 - wx^2 + 2 abcdrs (a+b) (c+d) (r+s) x^3 - abcdrswx^4 - (abcdrs)^3 x^6] |_{\rho} \\ = \theta_{p^t}(x).$$

Conclusion: The proof Theorem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) follows with the use of generating power series and Bell series so that (3.2) holds for $m=n$ as the product of $g A h$ is discriminative. In particular the converse is true when [1.1 (1)] holds for all arithmetic function f, g, h .

Reference

1. Lambek, J. - Arithmetical functions and distributivity, Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (1996) 969-973.

2. Langford, Eric - Distributivity over Dirichlet product and completely Multiplicative arithmetic functions,. Amer. Math. Monthly (80) (1973) 411-414.
3. Padmavathamma, M.- A study of arithmetic Functions Relative to certain Convolutions in Number Theory. Ph.d Thesis, S. V. University (1990).
4. Subrahmanya Sastri., V. V. Padmavathamma, M. , Balasekher, J.- Arithmetic functions and s-regular convolutions, Ranchi University Math. Journal 27 (1996) 39-52.
5. Subbarao, M. V. - Arithmetic functions and distributivity, Amer. Math., Monthly 73 (1996) 969-973.
6. Siyaramakrishnan - Classical Theory of Arithmetic functions, Marcel Dekker Inc., (1989)
7. Vaidyanatha Swamy, R. - The Theory of multiplicative arithmetic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1931) 579-662.
8. Chan, heng huat (2009), Analysis Number theory for Undergraduates. Monograph in Numsber theory. World Scientific Publishing Company ISBN 978-981-4271-36-3.
9. Bahri, Mawardi, Ashino, Ryuiehi, Vaillancourt, Remi (2013) Convolution Theorem for Quaternion fourier Tranform, Properties and Application (PDF) Abstract and applied Analysis; 2013.
10. Padvathamma. M. and Subrahmniya V.V. – On Certain Distributive and Quasi – Distributive Properties of Airthmetic function, 1997, R.U.M.J.. ,Ranchi,Jharkhand,India.