A Comprehensive Comparison of Conventional and Additive Manufacturing in Jet Turbine Blade Production and Repair

Anasuri Chanikya, *Design Engineer*

Venkatareddy Chimalamarri, *Senior Manager*

Cyient Ltd

***

Abstract - *Turbine blades are vital in jet engines, enduring extreme temperatures, stress, and rotational speeds. Traditionally, conventional manufacturing (CM) methods like casting, forging, and machining have been used for production and repair. However, additive manufacturing (AM) offers greater design flexibility, reduced waste, and faster repairs. This paper compares CM and AM in jet turbine blade production and repair, highlighting AM's advantages in creating complex geometries and enabling rapid, localized repairs. While CM remains dominant for large-scale production due to its reliability and cost efficiency, AM shows strong potential for low-volume, high-complexity aerospace applications. The study underscores the need for further AM material advancements, certification standards, and broader industry adoption.*

***Key Words*: Turbine blades, jet engines, conventional manufacturing, additive manufacturing, casting, forging, machining, design flexibility, reduced waste, rapid repair, complex geometries, aerospace applications.**

1.INTRODUCTION

Turbine blades are critical components in the aerospace industry, particularly in jet engines, where they operate under extreme temperatures, pressure, and mechanical stress. Their performance directly affects engine efficiency, reliability, and fuel consumption (Kollu, 1). Therefore, turbine blades must be manufactured with exceptional precision, durability, and material integrity to ensure safe and efficient flight operations (Sińczak et al., 2). Traditionally, jet turbine blades have been produced using conventional manufacturing (CM) methods such as casting, forging, and machining. While reliable, these techniques often result in high material waste, longer production times, and limited design flexibility (Sun et al., 3; Wang et al., 4). Repairing damaged blades with CM processes, including welding and brazing, is labour-intensive and costly, especially for localized repairs (Brice et al., 12).

In recent years, additive manufacturing (AM) has emerged as a transformative technology in aerospace production and repair. AM, or 3D printing, enables the fabrication of complex geometries, reduces material waste, and accelerates repair processes (DebRoy et al., 6). Techniques such as Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) and Directed Energy Deposition (DED) are increasingly used for both blade production and repair, offering greater precision, design flexibility, and repair efficiency (Thompson et al., 5; Gong et al., 7).

2. CONVENTIONAL MANUFACTURING OF TURBINE BLADES

Conventional manufacturing involves established techniques like casting, forging, and machining to shape materials into finished components. These methods are widely used across industries for their reliability, scalability, and ability to produce high-performance parts. Despite advancements in additive manufacturing, conventional techniques remain essential, especially in aerospace applications. Jet engine turbine blades operate under extreme conditions, requiring precise manufacturing for durability and efficiency. Conventional methods such as casting, forging, and machining offer specific advantages in material properties, geometric complexity, and production efficiency. This section examines these key processes in aerospace turbine blade manufacturing.

2.1 Casting

Casting is a formative manufacturing process where molten metal is poured into pre-formed molds, allowing it to solidify into the desired shape. This method is widely used for jet turbine blade production due to its ability to create near-net shapes in large volumes. It is particularly advantageous for producing complex blade geometries with internal cooling channels that are essential for withstanding high temperatures and mechanical stresses inside jet engines.

Process Steps:

- Wax Model Creation: The process begins with the creation of a wax model, which represents the turbine blade shape. The wax model is injected into a master mold to form the cooling passages by surrounding ceramic cores. Pinning wires are inserted to secure the ceramic core throughout the process (Kollu, 1).
- Mold Preparation: The wax models are assembled into clusters, and multiple layers of ceramic slurries (alumina, silica, zirconium) are applied to form the

investment shell. The model is then heated to melt away the wax, leaving the ceramic core intact.

- Metal Pouring: The mold is preheated and placed in a vacuum chamber. Molten metal, often a nickel- or cobalt-based superalloy, is poured into the mold cavity at around 1500°C to create the blade shape.
- Solidification: The cooling process is meticulously controlled to create precise microstructures. The solidification technique determines the grain structure, which significantly impacts the blade's performance and longevity (Kollu, 1).
- Post-Processing: Once solidified, the investment shell is broken off and the ceramic core is chemically dissolved. The blade undergoes heat treatment, machining, and polishing to achieve the desired surface finish and dimensional accuracy.

Key Characteristics for Jet Turbine Blades:

- Material Compatibility: Casting is compatible with high-performance superalloys such as Inconel, Hastelloy, and titanium alloys, which are commonly used for jet turbine blades due to their thermal stability and mechanical strength (Kollu, 1).
- Grain Structure Control: Advanced casting techniques, including directional solidification (DS) and single-crystal (SC) casting, are used to produce highly durable, heat-resistant jet turbine blades with superior creep resistance. These techniques enhance the blade's resistance to thermal fatigue and operational stress.
- Cooling Channel Integration: The investment casting process allows for the formation of complex internal cooling channels, which improves the thermal efficiency of the turbine blades by enabling internal airflow for cooling. This feature is critical for enhancing engine performance and extending blade lifespan (Kollu, 1).

2.2 Forging

Forging is a formative manufacturing process where metal is heated and shaped using compressive forces, resulting in turbine blades with superior mechanical properties. This method is favored in jet turbine blade production due to its ability to create strong, dense, and defect-free components with excellent fatigue and creep resistance.

Process Steps:

- Heating the Metal: The raw material, typically a nickel-based superalloy, is heated to a high temperature (around 980°C) to make it malleable and easier to shape (Sińczak et al., 2).
- Deformation: The heated metal is subjected to compressive forces using hammers, presses, or dies. The forging process shapes the blade while refining its internal grain structure for improved mechanical properties (Sińczak et al., 2).
- Cooling and Heat Treatment: The forged blade is cooled under controlled conditions. It may undergo further heat treatment to enhance its strength, fatigue resistance, and creep properties (Sińczak et al., 2).
- Post-Machining: Additional CNC machining or grinding is performed to achieve the final dimensions and surface finish, ensuring precision and uniformity (Sińczak et al., 2)

Key Characteristics for Jet Turbine Blades:

- Enhanced Mechanical Properties: Forging produces blades with finer grain structures, enhancing their strength, fatigue resistance, and creep resistance—qualities critical for jet engine performance (Sińczak et al., 2).
- Material Efficiency: Compared to machining, forging generates less material waste. However, some material loss occurs due to trimming and finishing processes (Sińczak et al., 2).
- Dimensional Limitations: While forging ensures excellent mechanical performance, it offers less design flexibility, making it more suitable for simpler jet turbine blade geometries. Complex cooling channels and intricate features are harder to achieve with forging alone (Sińczak et al., 2).

2.3 Machining

CNC (Computer Numerical Control) machining is a subtractive manufacturing process that involves cutting, drilling, and shaping jet turbine blades from metal blocks. This method is widely used in aerospace manufacturing for fine-tuning and finishing blades, ensuring precise dimensions and surface quality.

Process Steps:

- Raw Material Selection: The process begins with the selection of a solid block of nickel-based superalloy, such as Inconel or Hastelloy, known for their high-temperature strength and corrosion resistance.
- CNC Programming: The machining operations are controlled by CNC programming, which ensures high precision and repeatability by automating tool movements and cutting paths.
- Material Removal: Using multi-axis CNC machines, blades are shaped through milling, turning, and grinding operations. Advanced machining strategies, such as high-speed milling (HSM) and adaptive roughing, are employed to optimize the material removal rate while preserving surface integrity (Sun et al., 3).

- Finishing and Inspection: The blade undergoes surface finishing, polishing, and quality inspection to meet aerospace standards. Post-processing steps, such as deburring and edge rounding, are performed to enhance surface smoothness and reduce stress concentration points.

Key Characteristics for Jet Turbine Blades:

- High Precision and Accuracy: CNC machining offers exceptional accuracy and consistency, enabling the production of intricate geometries and tight tolerances essential for jet turbine blades. Advanced tool path strategies, such as trochoidal milling, improve precision and extend tool life by reducing tool wear (Sun et al., 3).

- Surface Quality and Finish: Wang et al. (2021) highlight the importance of surface integrity in aerospace components, noting that CNC machining can achieve surface roughness values as low as 0.4 µm, which is essential for reducing aerodynamic drag and improving blade performance (Wang et al.,4).

- Material Waste: Unlike casting or forging, machining is inherently wasteful, as large portions of the material are cut away. This reduces material efficiency and increases production costs.
- Time-Consuming: CNC machining is a relatively slow process, making it less efficient for mass production. However, it is highly effective for creating complex, high-precision blade components.
- Tool Wear and Thermal Effects: High-speed cutting of nickel superalloys generates significant heat, leading to tool wear and surface hardening. To mitigate this, cutting fluids and advanced cooling techniques, such as minimum quantity lubrication (MQL), are employed to enhance tool life and surface quality (Wang et al.,4).

CNC machining is particularly valuable for producing prototype blades or fine-tuning components that require extreme dimensional accuracy in jet engines. It is often used as a finishing step after casting or forging to achieve the desired surface quality and tolerances (Sun et al., Wang et al., 3,4).

3.OVERVIEW OF ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES

Additive Manufacturing (AM) is a cutting-edge, layer-by layer fabrication process that enables the production of highly complex and customized jet turbine blades with minimal material waste. Unlike conventional manufacturing methods, which often involve extensive material removal or reshaping, AM builds components directly from digital models, offering significant design freedom and efficiency. In aerospace applications, AM is revolutionizing jet turbine blade production and repair by enabling the fabrication of intricate geometries, integrating internal cooling channels, and reducing lead times. The primary AM techniques used in jet turbine blade manufacturing and repair are discussed below:

3.1 Powder Bed Fusion (PBF)

Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) is a widely used AM technology for manufacturing high-precision, complex blade geometries with superior mechanical properties, including jet turbine blades. It involves the layer-by-layer melting or sintering of metal powders using a heat source, typically a laser or electron beam.

Types of PBF Techniques:

- Selective Laser Melting (SLM): Uses a high-powered laser to fully melt metal powder, creating strong and dense jet turbine blades. PBF-SLM offers superior material properties and fine surface finishes, making it ideal for aerospace applications (Thompson et al.,5).

- Electron Beam Melting (EBM): Utilizes an electron beam in a vacuum environment to melt and fuse metal powder, producing parts with lower residual stress and improved material properties. EBM is particularly suited for high-strength superalloys, such as Ti-6Al-4V and Inconel 718, commonly used in jet engines (DebRoy et al., 6).

- Selective Laser Sintering (SLS): Employs a laser to partially fuse metal powder particles, resulting in a porous structure. While not as strong as SLM, it offers faster processing for prototype applications (Gong et al., 7).

Key Characteristics for Jet Turbine Blades:

- Material Options: PBF is compatible with high-performance alloys such as Inconel 718, Inconel 625, and Ti-6Al-4V, which are commonly used in jet turbines due to their heat and corrosion resistance (DebRoy et al., 6).

- Precision and Complexity: PBF enables the creation of intricate internal cooling channels and complex aerodynamic shapes that are challenging impossible to achieve with conventional manufacturing methods (Zhao et al., 8).

- Post-Processing: PBF-produced jet turbine blades often require heat treatment, surface polishing, and machining to achieve the desired mechanical properties and surface finish. Post-processing is necessary to improve fatigue resistance and reduce surface roughness, which affects the aerodynamic performance of jet turbine blades (Levy et al.,9).

3.2 Directed Energy Deposition (DED)

Directed Energy Deposition (DED): An AM process used for jet turbine blade production and repair, involving the precise layer-by-layer deposition of metal powder or wire using a concentrated energy source (laser, electron beam, or plasma arc). It offers high deposition rates, precision, and scalability for both manufacturing and localized repairs.

Types of DED Techniques:

- Laser-Based DED: Uses a laser as the heat source, offering high precision and minimal heat-affected zones. This is ideal for localized jet turbine blade repairs, such as fixing edge cracks or worn areas. Laser-based DED enables near-net-shape repairs with reduced thermal distortion (Wu et al.,10).
- Electron Beam DED: Utilizes an electron beam in a vacuum to melt metal powder or wire, resulting in parts with improved material density and reduced residual stress. This technique is suitable for large-scale turbine blade repair due to its high deposition rate (Tammas-Williams et al.,11).
- Plasma Arc DED: Employs a plasma arc as the heat source, suitable for larger-scale deposition but with lower precision compared to laser-based DED. Plasma arc DED offers a high deposition rate, making it effective for rapid repairs of large jet turbine blades (Gong et al., 7).

Key Characteristics for Jet Turbine Blades:

- Localized Repair Capabilities: DED is widely used for repairing damaged jet turbine blades, as it enables precise material deposition in worn or cracked areas, reducing the need for complete part replacement. This enhances cost-efficiency and extends blade lifespan (Tammas-Williams et al.,11).
- Material Options: DED supports a variety of high-performance alloys and offers flexibility in material selection, allowing for multi-material deposition to enhance specific blade properties. For example, different alloys can be deposited in high-wear and low-wear regions to optimize durability and performance (DebRoy et al., 6).
- Deposition Rate and Scalability: DED offers a faster build rate than PBF, making it suitable for larger-scale blade repairs or part reinforcement. The higher deposition rate makes DED more cost-effective for restoring worn turbine blades in aerospace maintenance applications (Wu et al.,10).

4. COMPARATIVE STUDY

The production and repair of turbine blades are crucial processes in the aviation industries, requiring precision, durability, and efficiency. This section presents a comparative analysis between conventional manufacturing (CM) and additive manufacturing (AM) based on the following factors:

Table -1: Comparison Table

Factor	**Conventional Manufacturing (CM)**	**Additive Manufacturing (AM)**
1. Production Speed and Efficiency		
Production Time	Time-consuming, multi-step processes (casting, forging, machining) [1, 2].	Faster cycles, reduced setup time [5, 6].
Lead Time	Longer due to mold prep, forging dies, and machining [1, 3].	Shorter (days/weeks) via direct digital fabrication [6].
Batch Efficiency	More efficient for large-scale, reusable molds [2].	Better for small-batch, custom production [5, 7].
2. Design Flexibility and Complexity		
Process Automation	Partially automated; needs manual post-processing [2, 4].	Highly automated, minimal manual steps [5].
Geometric Complexity	Limited by tooling/mold constraints [1, 3].	Enables complex geometries (e.g., cooling channels) [6, 7].
Design Modifications	Costly retooling for changes [2].	Easy CAD updates enable rapid modifications [6].
Internal Features	Difficult, costly internal channels [3].	Easily produces complex internal features in one step [7, 10].
3. Material Properties and Performance		
Customization	Limited, expensive for unique designs [1].	Highly customizable; ideal for small-batch production [5, 7].
Material Density	High density and consistency [2, 4].	Slightly lower density; may need post-processing [8, 10].
Mechanical Strength	Superior tensile and fatigue strength [3, 4].	Anisotropic properties; weaker along some directions [7, 8].
Thermal Stability	Excellent, especially single-crystal castings [3].	Improving, but still lags castings [8, 11].

4. Cost and Economic Viability		
Surface Finish	Smoother, less post-processing required [3, 4].	Rougher; needs polishing/machining [5, 6].
Initial Investment	Lower, uses standard equipment [2].	Higher due to AM machine and software costs [6, 9].
Material Costs	Higher waste, raising expenses [1, 3].	Less waste, reducing costs [7, 9].
Labor Costs	Requires skilled labor [2].	Lower due to automation [6, 7].
5. Repair Capabilities and Turnaround Time		
Overall Cost Efficiency	Better for mass production [2].	Better for small-batch/custom production [6].
Repair Techniques	Welding, brazing; material removal required [3].	Localized AM repairs restore geometry directly [7, 10].
Repair Quality	Less precise; reduces blade lifespan [2, 3].	Restores geometry with minimal material loss [5, 7].
Turnaround Time	Longer due to complex removal processes [3, 4].	Faster, reducing engine downtime [6, 9].
6. Environmental Impact and Sustainability		
Cost of Repair	Higher due to complex processes [3, 4].	Lower with direct material restoration [7, 10].
Material Waste	High waste from machining [1, 2].	Minimal waste, better efficiency [6, 7].
Energy Consumption	Higher for large-scale operations [3].	Lower for small-batch AM [5, 9].
Emissions	Higher due to material processing [1,2].	Lower due to reduced waste [7, 9].

Additive manufacturing (AM) offers faster production cycles and shorter lead times, making it ideal for small-batch and complex production, while conventional manufacturing (CM) remains superior for large-scale batch production due to its efficiency and cost-effectiveness.AM enables greater design flexibility, creating complex geometries and internal cooling channels, which CM struggles to achieve due to mold and tooling constraints.CM provides better material consistency, density, and strength, making it more reliable for high-performance applications. However, AM is improving, though it still lags in material strength and surface finish. For repairs, AM offers precise, localized fixes with faster turnaround times, reducing engine downtime. In contrast, CM repair methods like welding are slower, less precise, and costlier.AM is also more sustainable, generating less material waste and consuming less energy, while CM remains less eco-friendly due to its resource-intensive processes.

5. CASE STUDIES

To reinforce the theoretical comparison, this section presents real-world case studies demonstrating the practical application of Conventional Manufacturing (CM) and Additive Manufacturing (AM) in turbine blade production and repair. Each case study highlights the techniques, outcomes, and industry implications.

Case Study 1: Additive Manufacturing for Rapid Turbine Blade Repair – General Electric (GE)

- Company: General Electric (GE) Aviation, Cincinnati, USA
- Objective: GE aimed to reduce the repair time for damaged turbine blades in jet engines by using AM for localized repairs. The goal was to extend the lifespan of worn blades and minimize engine downtime.
- Process Details: GE employed directed energy deposition (DED) with laser melting to restore worn-out turbine blades by applying a nickel-based superalloy powder in precise layers, effectively reconstructing the original geometry. Following deposition, the blades underwent heat treatment and surface machining to ensure they met dimensional and performance standards.
- Outcomes: AM significantly reduced repair time from several weeks to a few days, minimizing engine downtime, while also lowering repair costs by 40% compared to conventional welding and machining. The restored blades maintained mechanical properties comparable to the originals. However, surface roughness required additional finishing, and the process may not be suitable for severely damaged blade
- Industry Implications: AM provides localized and cost-effective repairs, offering a faster and more economical solution, particularly for small-scale and customized restorations. The success of this application has contributed to the growing adoption of AM in aerospace maintenance and repair operations. (General Electric,16)

Case Study 2: Additive Manufacturing for Blade Production – MTU Aero Engines

- Company: MTU Aero Engines, Munich, Germany.

- Objective: MTU Aero Engines aimed to produce fully functional turbine blades with reduced lead times, minimal material waste, and improved performance through complex geometric designs.

- Process Details: Powder bed fusion (PBF) using selective laser melting (SLM) was used to fabricate turbine blades from nickel-based superalloy powder, enabling precise, layer-by-layer construction. The design incorporated complex internal cooling channels, improving thermal efficiency and performance. After fabrication, the blades underwent heat treatment, surface finishing, and non-destructive testing (NDT) to ensure they met dimensional and performance standards.

- Outcomes: Additive manufacturing reduced lead time by up to 90% compared to conventional methods. The ability to create intricate cooling channel designs improved thermal management and blade efficiency. Cost efficiency was achieved through lower material waste and faster production cycles, although high initial setup costs remained a challenge. Limitations included the significant investment required for AM machines and infrastructure, as well as the need for extensive post-processing to achieve the desired surface quality.

- Industry Implications: AM enables the production of complex, high-performance jet turbine blades, enhancing design flexibility and driving its adoption in aerospace manufacturing. The success of this application has encouraged a broader shift toward AM for both prototyping and functional blade production. (MTU Aero Engines ,17).

Case Study 3: Hybrid Manufacturing for Turbine Blade Repair – Rolls-Royce

- Company: Rolls -Royce, Derby, UK. Technique Used: Hybrid manufacturing (CM + AM) – Machining + directed energy deposition (DED).

- Objective: Rolls-Royce aimed to combine CM and AM techniques to achieve efficient, high-quality turbine blade repairs with reduced costs and turnaround time.

- Process Details: The hybrid technique combined conventional machining to remove damaged sections with directed energy deposition (DED) using laser melting to restore worn areas with new material. Nickel-based superalloys, commonly used in aerospace turbine blades, were employed for repairs. Post-processing included heat treatment, grinding, and polishing to meet OEM standards.

- Outcomes: The hybrid process reduced repair time by 50% compared to conventional methods, while the combination of CM for material removal and AM for material addition led to 30% lower repair costs. The repaired blades met original specifications in strength, durability, and thermal performance. However, the process required significant post-processing and had limited scalability for large-scale repair applications.

- Industry Implications: Emerging hybrid solutions that combine conventional manufacturing and additive manufacturing are proving to be practical and cost-effective alternatives for turbine blade repairs. These methods enhance repair quality while reducing costs and turnaround times. (Rolls-Royce ,18).

6. CONCLUSIONS

The comparison between Conventional Manufacturing (CM) and Additive Manufacturing (AM) in turbine blade production and repair highlights their distinct strengths. CM remains the preferred method for large-scale production due to its reliability, material consistency, and cost-effectiveness. Meanwhile, AM is transforming the industry with greater design flexibility, faster repair cycles, and sustainability benefits. The future of turbine blade manufacturing is gradually shifting towards AM, especially for custom, low-volume production and on-demand repairs. However, CM will remain essential for large-scale, high-strength production. As AM technologies advance, they are expected to rival CM in material properties and scalability. Moving forward, hybrid approaches combining CM and AM are likely to become industry standards, offering an optimal balance of efficiency, quality, and cost-effectiveness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Venkatareddy Chimalamarri, Senior Manager – Division, Aero & Defense Delivery at Cyient, for his invaluable support and guidance throughout the course of this research. I also extend my appreciation to Cyient for their assistance and resources, which were instrumental in the successful completion and publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Kollu, A. (2015). The manufacturing process of jet turbine blades. Academia.edu. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/24321203

[2] Sińczak, J., Łukaszek-Sołek, A., Bednarek, S., & Chyła, P. (2010). The forging process of aircraft engines turbine blades. Metallurgy and Foundry Engineering, 36(2), 83-89. AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland.

[3] Sun, J., Guo, Z., Sun, Y., & Hu, J. (2020). Multi-Axis CNC Machining of Aeroengine Turbine Blades: Challenges and Solutions. Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 56(1), 194-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2020.04.030

[4] Wang, Y., Chen, X., & Li, Y. (2021). Surface integrity and tool wear in high-speed milling of nickel-based superalloy for aeroengine turbine blades. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 295, 117103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2021.117103

[5] Thompson, S. M., Bian, L., Shamsaei, N., & Yadollahi, A. (2016). An overview of Direct Laser Deposition for additive manufacturing; Part I: Transport phenomena, modeling, and diagnostics. Additive Manufacturing, 8, 36-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2015.07.001

[6] DebRoy, T., Wei, H. L., Zuback, J. S., Mukherjee, T., Elmer, J. W., Milewski, J. O., ... & Zhang, W. (2018). Additive manufacturing of metallic components – Process, structure, and properties. Progress in Materials Science, 92, 112-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.10.001

[7] Gong, H., Snelling, D., Kardel, K., & Carrano, A. (2014). Comparison of laser and electron beam powder bed fusion additive manufacturing of Ti-6Al-4V parts. Rapid Prototyping Journal, 20(6), 473-481. https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-02-2013-0013

[8] Zhao, X., Li, W., Zhang, Y., & Liu, S. (2020). Microstructure and mechanical properties of Inconel 718 alloy fabricated by laser powder bed fusion: Effect of heat treatment. Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 58, 19-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2020.07.008

[9] Levy, G. N., Schindel, R., & Kruth, J. P. (2019). Rapid manufacturing and rapid tooling with layer manufacturing (LM) technologies, state of the art and future perspectives. CIRP Annals, 52(2), 589-609. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-8506(07)60206-6

[10] Wu, X., Liang, J., Mei, J., Mitchell, C., Goodwin, P. S., & Voice, W. (2016). Microstructures of laser-deposited Ti-6Al-4V. Materials and Design, 25(2), 137-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2004.11.001

[11] Tammas-Williams, S., Zhao, H., Leonard, F., Derguti, F., Todd, I., & Loretto, M. H. (2019). XCT analysis of the influence of melt strategies on defect population in Ti-6Al-4V samples manufactured by Selective Electron Beam Melting. Materials Characterization, 102, 47-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2015.02.008

[12] Brice, C. A., Flitcroft, J. M., Li, X., Morrow, J. D., & Shin, Y. C. (2021). Comparative study of conventional and additive manufacturing for turbine blade repair. Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, 143(9), 091001. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4049123

[13] Frazier, W. E. (2014). Metal additive manufacturing: A review. Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance, 23(6), 1917-1928. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-014-0958-z

[14] Koepf, E., Price, M., & Weiland, R. (2022). Sustainability analysis of additive manufacturing versus conventional methods in aerospace production. Sustainable Manufacturing Journal, 4(1), 45-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susman.2022.04.005

[15] Guo, Y., Zhang, L., & Du, W. (2019). A study on the repair of Inconel 718 turbine blades using directed energy deposition. Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 48, 322-331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.11.023

[16] General Electric. (2018). GE uses 3D printing for gas turbine blade repair. GE Additive. Retrieved from https://www.ge.com/additive/press-release/ge-uses-3d-printing-gas-turbine-blade-repair

[17] MTU Aero Engines. (2020). Hybrid additive manufacturing for efficient turbine blade repair. MTU Press Release. Retrieved from https://www.mtu.de/news-media/press/press-releases/hybrid-am-for-turbine-blade-repair

[18] Rolls-Royce. (2019). Additive manufacturing for aerospace turbine components. Rolls-Royce Technical Journal. Retrieved from https://www.rolls-royce.com/media/press-releases/additive-manufacturing-aerospace-turbine