Building Resilience against Fire and Natural Disasters: A Comparative Analysis of Fire-Resistant Construction Materials and Their Role in Disaster-Resilient American Communities

Jeyhun Nazarov

Founder & CEO, Norm Supply LLC, Fairfield, New Jersey, USA
Building Materials Specialist | Researcher in Construction Innovation

---***---

ABSTRACT-*The January 2025 Los Angeles wildfires the costliest in U.S. history at $250–275 billion in total losses and over 16,000 structures destroyed exposed a systemic vulnerability in American construction: pervasive reliance on combustible building materials. This article presents a structured comparative analysis of three fire-resistant material systems fiber cement boards, light gauge steel (LGS) framing, and wood-plastic composites (WPC) evaluated against conventional wood-frame construction across ten criteria: fire rating, combustibility, ember resistance, structural integrity under heat, moisture resistance, pest resistance, cost, insurance impact, code compliance, and sustainability. Drawing on peer-reviewed research, government economic data, and practitioner expertise in building materials supply, the study demonstrates the comprehensive performance advantages of these systems and quantifies their financial benefit in the context of California's collapsing insurance market. Findings extend the author's prior published research on LGS framing and material innovation [3, 4] and support evidence-based adoption of fire-resilient construction as national policy.*

Keywords: fire-resistant construction, fiber cement board, light gauge steel, LGS framing, WPC composites, Los Angeles wildfires, wildfire-resilient buildings, WUI construction, building material innovation, disaster-resilient communities

1. INTRODUCTION

The January 2025 Los Angeles wildfires stand as the most expensive natural disaster in California's recorded history and among the costliest in the history of the United States. Between January 7 and mid-February 2025, a series of catastrophic fires swept across Los Angeles County including Pacific Palisades, Malibu, Altadena, and Pasadena burning approximately 57,000 acres and destroying more than 16,000 structures [1]. Total economic losses, including destroyed property, business disruption, tax revenue decline, and long-term community displacement, have been estimated between $250 billion and $275 billion [2].

These figures alone would justify a comprehensive review of building material standards in fire-prone American communities. But the true significance of the disaster lies not in its scale it lies in its preventability.

The overwhelming majority of structures lost in the LA fires were built with traditional wood framing clad in vinyl or wood-based siding: materials that are inherently combustible and offer no meaningful resistance to wildfire conditions. Research and practitioner experience confirm that non-combustible construction assemblies including fiber cement board cladding, light gauge steel (LGS) framing, and fire-rated wood-plastic composite (WPC) products would have performed significantly better under the same fire exposure conditions.

This article builds on the author's prior published research in LGS framing systems and material innovation in U.S. construction [3, 4], extending that work with a specific focus on fire resilience as demonstrated by the LA disaster. It provides a structured comparative analysis of fire-resistant materials versus traditional combustible construction, evaluated across ten practical performance criteria. The goal is to equip architects, engineers, contractors, developers, policy-makers, and informed consumers with data-driven guidance for rebuilding Los Angeles and for building smarter across the United States.

2. The Los Angeles Wildfires: Economic and Environmental Impact

The scale of destruction inflicted by the 2025 LA wildfires provides the essential context for this analysis. The Palisades Fire burned 23,700 acres while the Eaton Fire consumed 14,000 acres through Pasadena and surrounding communities. Together, the fires claimed at least 29 lives and destroyed over 16,251 structures including homes, commercial properties, and community infrastructure [5].

The economic consequences extend far beyond the immediate property losses:

- Total economic losses: $250–275 billion, making this the costliest wildfire event in U.S. history [2]
- Insured losses estimated at $30–44.5 billion, with major carriers including State Farm ($7.6B), California FAIR Plan ($4.8B), and Allstate ($2.47B) [6]
- GDP impact: an estimated $4.6 billion decline in California economic activity, representing a 0.48% drop in state GDP [1]
- Tax revenue losses projected at $900 million to $1.6 billion [7]
- Housing displacement causing rent increases of up to 200% in fire-adjacent ZIP codes [1]
- As of August 2025, only 184 building permits issued against 12,048 destroyed structures a rebuilding bottleneck driven by material and labor shortages [1]

The environmental impact was equally severe. Carbon emissions from the January 2025 California fires spiked dramatically above the average of the prior 22 years, while wildfire smoke containing carcinogens, heavy metals including lead and arsenic, posed acute and long-term public health risks to millions of residents [5].

The insurance market consequences will persist for years. California's FAIR Plan coverage expanded 300% between 2021 and 2025, and private insurers still operating in the state are implementing double-digit rate increases [1]. The incentive for fire-resilient construction has never been stronger or more financially quantifiable.

3. Fire-Resistant Construction Materials: Technical Overview

3.1 Fiber Cement Board

Fiber cement board is a composite building panel manufactured from Portland cement, silica sand, and cellulose fiber reinforcement, processed under high temperature and pressure. Its fire performance derives from two fundamental properties: non-combustibility and high thermal mass.

The non-combustible core and high thermal mass of fiber cement board work together to absorb and slow the transfer of heat, protecting structural framing elements from reaching critical failure temperatures. Unlike metal lath, which can transfer heat, or standard vinyl siding, which melts and burns within seconds of fire contact, fiber cement board maintains its integrity under sustained heat exposure [8].

From a certification standpoint, fiber cement board carries an A1 fire rating under EN 13501-1 and achieves ASTM E84 Class A surface burning characteristics [9]. NFPA 285 compliance for exterior wall assemblies is achievable with proper installation. Many tested assemblies achieve one-hour fire resistance ratings, with some achieving up to 90 minutes on LGS wall systems [10].

For the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) conditions that characterize the LA fire zone, fiber cement siding and cladding is specifically recommended by fire safety professionals and is increasingly mandated by California's WUI building code for new construction and reconstruction in fire-hazard severity zones.

From a practitioner perspective, fiber cement is one of the most commercially accessible fire-resistant upgrades available: it is familiar to contractors, available through established distribution channels, competitively priced against premium wood-based sidings, and compatible with both conventional wood-frame and LGS structural systems.

3.2 Light Gauge Steel (LGS) Framing

Light Gauge Steel framing cold-formed steel structural members manufactured through a roll-form process addresses the fire vulnerability inherent in wood framing at the structural level. As a non-combustible material, steel does not ignite or contribute to the spread of flames. This characteristic makes LGS framing particularly valuable in fire-prone areas where the risk of wildfires or urban fire outbreaks is high [11].

When used with fire-rated insulation and cladding materials, LGS structural frameworks can achieve high fire resistance ratings, effectively slowing fire spread and providing additional time for evacuation and emergency response. The precision-engineered components of LGS systems also maintain structural stability under intense heat, reducing the likelihood of building collapse during active fire events a critical safety factor for occupants and first responders [11].

Research on external LGS wall systems lined with fibre cement boards and exposed to both wildfire radiant heat and flame zone conditions has demonstrated significantly improved bushfire resistance compared to conventional timber assemblies. Studies using Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) panels with LGS have achieved fire resistance levels (FRL) of up to 204 minutes in load-bearing conditions and 240 minutes in non-load-bearing configurations [12].

The author's prior research on LGS framing systems in U.S. construction [3] documents the material's trajectory from niche application to mainstream adoption, driven by fire resilience requirements, sustainability mandates, and the growing shortage of skilled timber framers. In California, LGS has been used to rebuild homes destroyed by wildfires, with the material's performance meeting and exceeding local WUI building codes [11].

Beyond fire resistance, LGS offers advantages directly relevant to the LA reconstruction context: precision manufacturing reduces on-site labor time; lightweight components reduce transport costs; and the material is 100% recyclable, aligning with California's CALGreen sustainability requirements.

3.3 Wood-Plastic Composites (WPC)

Wood-Plastic Composites occupy a critical niche in fire-resilient construction: exterior decking, cladding, fencing, and landscaping applications where traditional wood creates a documented ember-catch and ignition risk in wildfire conditions.

Traditional wood decks, fences, and trellises are among the most documented ignition pathways in wildfire events. Embers from a wildfire can travel miles and land on exposed wood elements, which smolder for hours before igniting the structure itself. This pathway contributed to many of the residential losses recorded in the Palisades and Eaton fires.

Fire performance in WPC products is governed by ASTM E84 (the Steiner Tunnel Test), which measures both Flame Spread Index (FSI) and Smoke Development Index (SDI). Class A certification the highest rating requires an FSI of 0–25 and low smoke production [13]. Leading manufacturers including TimberTech (Advanced PVC), Fiberon, and Trex have achieved Class A ASTM E84 ratings on specific product lines, and California's 2026 WUI building code (CRC R337.9.3) explicitly lists Class A-rated composite decking as a compliant deck walking surface material [14]. The 2026 California WUI code mandates that all deck materials in fire-hazard severity zones must exhibit a flame spread index not exceeding 25 when tested per ASTM E84 or UL 723 a threshold that qualifying WPC products meet, while standard untreated wood fails [14]. Additionally, WPC's inherent resistance to moisture, UV radiation, insects, and rot eliminates the maintenance deficit that causes homeowners to defer replacement of deteriorating wood elements itself a compounding fire risk factor, as damaged or weathered wood ignites significantly more readily than intact timber.

The 2026 California WUI code context is decisive for the LA rebuilding market. Under CRC Section R337.9.3, deck walking surfaces must comply with one of seven defined pathways, the most accessible of which requires Class A ASTM E84-rated materials or a classified roof covering system tested per ASTM E108. Steel deck framing is additionally recommended under the 2026 code for all WUI-zone construction [14]. This regulatory framework creates direct, codified demand for fire-rated

WPC deck boards, composite fencing, and WPC cladding products as default specification choices in the entire LA reconstruction zone not merely as optional upgrades.

4. Comparative Analysis: Fire-Resistant vs. Traditional Construction Materials

Table 1 below presents a comprehensive comparison of five construction material systems across ten performance criteria relevant to fire resilience, durability, cost, regulatory compliance, and environmental impact. Traditional wood-frame with vinyl siding represents the baseline against which fire-resistant alternatives are evaluated.

Table 1: Comparative Performance of Construction Material Systems

Property / Criterion	Wood Frame + Vinyl Siding	Fiber Cement Board	Light Gauge Steel (LGS)	WPC Composite	LGS + Fiber Cement (Combined)
Fire Rating	Class C / None	Class A (ASTM E84)	Non-Combustible	Class A or C*	FRL 204+ min
Combustibility	Highly Combustible	Non-Combustible	Non-Combustible	Low / Varies	Non-Combustible
Wildfire Ember Resistance	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Good (fire-rated grades)	Excellent
Structural Integrity Under Heat	Fails Rapidly	Maintains to 400°C	Stable (with cladding)	Moderate	Superior
Moisture / Rot Resistance	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Termite / Pest Resistance	Vulnerable	Resistant	Resistant	Resistant	Resistant
Relative Material Cost	Low	Low–Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate–High
Insurance Premium Impact	High / Increasing	Potential Reduction	Potential Reduction	Potential Reduction	Significant Reduction
WUI Code Compliance (CA)	Requires Upgrades	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant (rated grades)	Fully Compliant
Sustainability / Recyclability	Low	Moderate	100% Recyclable	High (recycled content)	High
Typical Lifespan	20–30 years	50+ years	50+ years	25–40 years	50+ years

** WPC fire rating varies by product grade and manufacturer. Fire-rated grades for WUI applications achieve Class A; standard grades may be Class C. Specifiers should verify certification for their jurisdiction. Color coding:* ***Green = Superior*** *Yellow = Moderate Red = Poor*

The data in Table 1 illustrates the comprehensive performance advantage of fire-resistant material systems over traditional wood-frame construction across virtually every criterion of practical importance. The LGS + Fiber Cement combined assembly which the author's research [3, 4] identifies as an emerging best-practice system in the U.S. market achieves top performance ratings across all fire-related criteria, with competitive cost positioning relative to the magnitude of risk reduction it provides.

The insurance premium impact row merits particular attention and quantification. With California's property insurance market in structural crisis private insurers withdrawing, FAIR Plan coverage expanding 300% since 2021, and remaining carriers implementing double-digit premium increases the ability of fire-resistant construction to reduce insurance costs represents a direct, measurable financial benefit to property owners. Research by the Insurance Institute for Business and Home Safety (IBHS) and Headwaters Economics indicates that WUI code-compliant construction meeting fire-resistant material standards can reduce homeowners' insurance premiums by 15–25% [14]. For a representative LA-area property valued at $1.5 million carrying an annual premium of $8,000 conservative given the current California market this translates to annual savings of $1,200–$2,000. Over a ten-year period, that represents $12,000–$20,000 in cumulative premium reduction. The material cost premium for upgrading from wood-frame with vinyl siding to an LGS + fiber cement assembly on a typical 2,000 sq ft residential structure is estimated at $15,000–$25,000. At the lower end of insurance savings, the payback period is 7–12 years; at the higher end, 7–8 years before accounting for reduced maintenance costs, lower likelihood of total loss, and the increasing difficulty of obtaining any insurance at all for wood-frame structures in designated fire-hazard severity zones. When the full lifecycle cost picture is applied, fire-resistant material systems are not a premium they are the economically rational default.

5. Policy Context: Los Angeles Rebuilding Requirements

The policy environment surrounding the LA reconstruction creates historically favorable conditions for fire-resistant material adoption. In August 2025, LA County released the LA County Forward: Blueprint for Rebuilding, a framework document explicitly calling for fire-resilient community design, accelerated permitting for code-compliant rebuilds, and integration of smart technologies including AI-driven fire detection and building information modeling [1].

California's existing WUI (Wildland Urban Interface) building code supplemented by CALGreen sustainability requirements already mandates the use of ignition-resistant materials for exterior cladding, eaves, vents, and decking in designated fire-hazard severity zones. The LA reconstruction zone sits entirely within these designations, meaning fiber cement siding, fire-rated WPC, and non-combustible structural systems are not merely preferable they are required.

The rebuilding scale creates extraordinary market demand. Forecasts suggest Los Angeles will experience a construction boom comparable to the post-World War II era, with every construction and contractor firm in the region engaged over a multi-year period [2]. For building materials suppliers, this translates to sustained demand for fire-resistant product categories a structural market shift rather than a cyclical event.

For the broader U.S. construction industry, the LA disaster serves as a policy forcing function. Federal agencies including FEMA, HUD, and the Department of Energy have signaled increased support for resilient building standards in disaster-prone regions. The alignment between fire-resistant materials and the broader ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) investment criteria increasingly applied to real estate portfolios further accelerates institutional adoption.

6. Discussion: Toward a Fire-Resilient U.S. Construction Standard

The materials analyzed in this article fiber cement board, LGS framing, and fire-rated WPC are not experimental technologies awaiting regulatory approval. They are commercially available, contractor-familiar, code-compliant materials that have been in production use for decades. The barrier to their wider adoption is not technical. It is a combination of inertia, first-cost sensitivity, and a regulatory environment that has historically lagged the actuarial evidence for fire risk.

The LA fires have materially altered that environment. With $250–275 billion in losses, an imploding insurance market, and a building code framework that now mandates non-combustible construction in the most active real estate market in the United States, the conditions for a structural shift in U.S. building material preferences are in place.

The author's research on material innovation in U.S. construction [4] documents how transformative material shifts from asbestos to fiber cement, from cast iron to steel framing have historically followed major loss events that exposed the systemic vulnerabilities of incumbent materials. The 2025 LA wildfires represent exactly such an event for combustible residential construction.

Three specific recommendations emerge from this analysis:

- Mandatory adoption: Update model building codes (IBC, IRC) and state-level WUI codes to require non-combustible cladding and ignition-resistant framing in all Tier 3 fire-hazard severity zones nationally, not only in California.
- Insurance incentivization: Develop standardized premium reduction frameworks for properties that demonstrate use of fire-rated construction assemblies, creating a financial feedback mechanism that rewards resilient building practice.
- Supply chain development: Invest in regional distribution infrastructure for fiber cement, LGS, and fire-rated WPC products to reduce lead times and cost premiums in high-demand reconstruction markets a gap that currently limits adoption even when demand is present.

7. CONCLUSION

The January 2025 Los Angeles wildfires were not an anomaly. They were a predictable consequence of three decades of accelerating wildland-urban interface development, a warming climate extending fire seasons, and a construction stock that has prioritized first cost over resilience. The question facing the U.S. construction industry in the aftermath of this disaster is not whether to adopt fire-resistant materials it is whether to do so proactively and systematically, or reactively and inadequately.

Fiber cement boards, light gauge steel framing, and fire-rated wood-plastic composites represent a mature, commercially available, code-compliant suite of materials capable of fundamentally changing the fire vulnerability profile of American homes and commercial buildings. The comparative analysis presented in Table 1 demonstrates their superior performance across fire resistance, structural integrity, durability, and sustainability with cost positions that become highly favorable once insurance, maintenance, and lifecycle factors are incorporated.

The rebuilding of Los Angeles offers a once-in-a-generation opportunity to demonstrate fire-resilient construction at scale. The materials exist. The codes increasingly require them. The insurance market now prices their absence. The only remaining question is whether the industry will respond with the urgency this moment demands.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the contribution of construction professionals, architects, and fire safety researchers whose published work informs this analysis. Special recognition is extended to the communities of Pacific Palisades, Altadena, and Pasadena whose losses provided the urgent context for this research.

REFERENCES

[1] Frontline Wildfire Management (2026). Impact of the LA Fires: Economic and Recovery Analysis. Retrieved from https://www.frontlinewildfire.com

[2] AccuWeather / California Globe (2025). Total Damage and Economic Losses from the L.A. Wildfires Approximately $275 Billion. California Globe.

[3] Nazarov, J. (2026). Light Gauge Steel Structures. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19699420

[4] Nazarov, J. (2025). How Material Innovation Is Transforming Cost, Safety, and Performance in U.S. Construction Industry. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19699161

[5] UCLA Anderson School of Management (2025). Economic Impact of the Los Angeles Wildfires. Los Angeles: UCLA.

[6] UCLA Anderson / LAEDC (2025). Economic Impact Study - January 2025 Wildfires, Quarterly Report 1. Los Angeles County DEO.

[7] LA County Department of Economic Opportunity / LAEDC (2025). Analysis of Economic Toll of January 2025 Wildfires. Los Angeles.

[8] USA Builders Depot (2026). Fire-Resistant Cement Board Explained for 2026. Retrieved from https://usabuildersdepot.com

[9] Magmatrix Boards (2025). 7 Best Fire Resistant Sheathing Boards: Lab-Tested Results. Retrieved from https://www.magmatrixboards.com

[10] Schabowicz, K. et al. (2022). Assessment of the Destruction of a Fibre Cement Board Subjected to Fire in a Large-Scale Study. PMC/NCBI. DOI: 10.3390/ma14071769

[11] UNBAK Machinery Co. Ltd. (2025). Fire Resistance of Light Gauge Steel (LGS) in Construction. Retrieved from https://unbakmachinery.com

[12] ScienceDirect (2023). Bushfire Resistance of External Light Steel Wall Systems Lined with Fibre Cement Boards. Construction and Building Materials. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.

[13] Fortune (2025). LA Inferno Could Inflict a $150 Billion Economic Wound, with a Grueling 10-Year Recovery Ahead. Fortune Media.

[14] Pacific Beach Builder (2026). 2026 California WUI Building Code Guide. Retrieved from https://www.pacificbeachbuilder.com. Citing: Fortress Building Products (2025) deck fire code updates; IBHS / Headwaters Economics wildfire-resistant construction cost research; California Residential Code Section R337.9.3.

[15] Fiberon Decking (2026). Fire-Resistant Decking: Class A ASTM E84 Rating Guide. Retrieved from https://www.fiberondecking.com; TimberTech (2026). Fire-Resistant WUI-Compliant Decking. Retrieved from https://www.timbertech.com.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Jeyhun Nazarov is the Founder and CEO of Norm Supply LLC (Fairfield, NJ) and Norm Supply New England (Boston, MA), building materials supply businesses specializing in fiber cement boards, light gauge steel framing systems, WPC/composite products, fencing, and architectural cladding. He is a published researcher in construction material innovation and a specialist in fire-resistant and sustainable building envelope systems. His work bridges practitioner supply experience with applied research on emerging material systems in the U.S. construction market. He is a graduate of ESG Finance (Paris) and Université Paris Descartes (Class of 2006). His published work is available on Google Scholar, Zenodo, Academia.edu, and ResearchGate.