

Longitudinal Parameter Estimation of Aerospace Vehicle with Different **Initial Conditions**

Bijily Rose Varghese¹, Ms. Manju G²

¹PG Student, Dept. of EEE, MBCET, Kerala, India ²Assistant Professor, Dept. of EEE, MBCET, Kerala, India

-----_____

Abstract - This paper aims to estimate the longitudinal aerodynamic parameters of the aerospace vehicle from the available measurements with different initial conditions. In this current work Filter Error Method (FEM) which accounts for both process and measurement noise, is used to estimate the longitudinal aerodynamic parameters from vehicle data. FEM algorithm is developed in MATLAB environment. With the developed algorithm studies are carried out at different instance of the vehicle and the estimated are compared with wind tunnel parameters predictions.

Key Words: Aerodynamic parameter, Filter Error Method, Gauss Newton Method, Kalman Filter

1. INTRODUCTION

Parameter estimation, is the subfield of system identification, which determines the best estimates of the parameters occurring in the model. Aerospace vehicle parameter estimation is the best example of system identification. An aircraft motion is described by equations of motion derived from the Newtonian mechanics, considering the vehicle as a rigid body. The aerodynamic forces and moments cannot be measured directly. However, aerodynamic modeling followed by parameter estimation helps to determine the aerodynamic parameters. Conventional methods of parameter estimation are (i)Equation Error Method[2] (ii) Output Error Methods (OEM)[3,4] and (iii) Filter Error Methods (FEM). Out of these methods Filter Error Method (FEM), is the most general stochastic approach for aircraft parameter estimation, which accounts for both process and measurement noise. In this current work Filter Error Method (FEM), is used to estimate the longitudinal aerodynamic parameters. FEM algorithm is developed in MATLAB environment. State estimation is carried out with Kalman Filter and the parameters are updated using Gauss Newton Method. With the developed algorithm studies are carried out at different instance of the vehicle and the

estimated parameters are compared with wind tunnel predictions.

2. LONGITUDINAL DYNAMICS

Aerospace vehicle experiences aerodynamic forces and moments during their motion in atmosphere.



Fig -1: Aerodynamic forces and moments

Fig.1 shows the different forces and moments acting on the vehicle along the three axis. Aerodynamic forces acting on the vehicle with respect to longitudinal plane are given from (1)-(2). [1]

$$F_x = -C_A \overline{q} S \tag{1}$$

$$F_z = -C_N \overline{q} S \tag{2}$$

Aerodynamic moment is given by (3).

$$M = C_m \overline{q} S c \tag{3}$$

Where \overline{q} is the dynamic pressure, S is the reference area, *c* is the longitudinal reference length, C_A, C_N, C_m are the total axial force coefficient, total normal force coefficients



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSN: 2395 -0056Volume: 02 Issue: 07 | Oct-2015www.irjet.netp-ISSN: 2395-0072

and total pitching moment coefficients. The state equations are given from (4)-(7)

$$\dot{V} = \frac{u\dot{u} + v\dot{v} + w\dot{w}}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2 + w^2}}$$
(4)

$$\dot{\alpha} = \frac{u\dot{w} - w\dot{u}}{u^2 + w^2} \tag{5}$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{1}{\cos\psi} (q\cos\phi - r\sin\phi) \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{q} = \frac{C_m \bar{q} Sc + I_{zx} (r^2 - p^2) + rp(I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + I_{xy} (\dot{p} + qr) + I_{yz} (\dot{r} - pq)}{I_{yy}} \quad (7)$$

Where u, v, w are the translational velocity, p, q, r are the body rates, V is the vehicle velocity, α is the angle of attack, I_{xx}, I_{yy}, I_{zz} are the moment of inertia and I_{xy}, I_{yz}, I_{zx} are the product of inertia, θ, ϕ, ψ are the euler angles. The aerodynamic coefficients are modelled in linear form as:

$$C_{A} = C_{A0} + C_{A\alpha}\alpha + C_{A\delta el}\delta_{el} + C_{A\delta e2}\delta_{e2} + C_{A\delta rl}\delta_{rl} + C_{A\delta r2}\delta_{r2}$$
(8)

$$C_{N} = C_{N0} + C_{N\alpha}\alpha + C_{N\delta e1}\delta_{e1} + C_{N\delta e2}\delta_{e2} + C_{N\delta r1}\delta_{r1} + C_{N\delta r2}\delta_{r2}$$
(9)

$$C_m = C_{m0} + C_{m\alpha}\alpha + C_{m\delta e1}\delta_{e1} + C_{m\delta e2}\delta_{e2} + C_{m\delta r1}\delta_{r1} + C_{m\delta r2}\delta_{r2}$$
(10)

where $C_{A0,}C_{N0,}C_{m0,}C_{A\alpha}, C_{N\alpha}, C_{m\alpha}$ are the basic coefficients and

 $C_{A\delta e1}, C_{A\delta e2}, C_{A\delta r1}, C_{A\delta r2}, C_{N\delta e1}, C_{N\delta e2}, C_{N\delta r1}, C_{N\delta r2}, C_{m\delta e1}, C_{m\delta e2}, C_{m\delta r1}, C_{m\delta r2}$ are the incremental coefficients due to control surface deflections. Thus the unknown parameters to be estimated are given as:

$$\theta^{T} = [C_{A0}C_{A\alpha}C_{A\delta e l}C_{A\delta e 2}C_{A\delta r l}C_{A\delta r 2}C_{N0}C_{N\alpha}$$

$$C_{N\delta e l}C_{N\delta e 2}C_{N\delta r l}C_{N\delta r 2}C_{m0}C_{m\alpha}C_{m\delta e l} \qquad (11)$$

$$C_{m\delta e 2}C_{m\delta r 1}C_{m\delta r 2}]$$

Observation equations are given by (12)-(18)

$$V_m = V \tag{12}$$

$$\alpha_m = \alpha \tag{13}$$

$$\theta_m = \theta \tag{14}$$

 $q_m = q \tag{15}$

$$\dot{q}_{m} = \frac{C_{m}\overline{q}Sc + I_{zx}(r^{2} - p^{2}) + rp(I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + I_{xy}(\dot{p} + qr) + I_{yz}(\dot{r} - pq)}{I_{yy}}$$
(16)

$$a_{xm} = \frac{-C_A \overline{q}S}{m} \tag{17}$$

$$a_{zm} = \frac{-C_N \overline{q}S}{m} \tag{18}$$

Where, *m* is the mass of the vehicle, $V_m, \alpha_m, \theta_m, q_m$ are the measured velocity, angle of attack, euler angle, and body rate, \dot{q}_m is the measured angular accelerations and $a_{_{XM}}, a_{_{TM}}$ are the measured accelerations.

3. EFFECT OF INITIAL CONDITION

The effect of initial condition of the coefficient on the estimates is studied by considering different initial conditions. The different initial conditions are shown in table 1.

Fable -1: Different i	initial	conditions
-----------------------	---------	------------

Parameters	Initial	Initial	Initial
	values	values	values
	(case 1)	(case 2)	(case 3)
C _{A0}	0.0623	0.0400	0.0001
$C_{A\alpha}$ /degree	0.0149	0.0080	0.0052
C_{Ae1} /degree	0.0304	0.0252	0.0223
C_{Ae2} /degree	0.0304	0.0252	0.0223
C _{N0}	-0.2080	-0.0400	-0.3000
$\overline{C}_{N\alpha}$ /degree	2.2689	1.2605	1.7131
\overline{C}_{Ne1} /degree	0.1209	0.1203	0.1209



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395 -0056

T Volume: 02 Issue: 07 | Oct-2015

www.irjet.net

p-ISSN: 2395-0072

$C_{\rm Ne2}$ /degree	0.1209	0.1203	0.1209
$\mathrm{C}_{_{\mathrm{Nrl}}}$ /degree	0.0008	0.0006	0.0008
$\rm C_{Nr2}$ /degree	0.0008	0.0006	0.0008

The presence of process noise makes Equation Error Method ,Output Error Method estimation techniques less efficient. Therefore, Filter Error Method [5] is used in this work which account for process and measurement noise. Since the system is stochastic in nature a steady state Kalman filter is used for obtaining the true state variables from the noisy measurements.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Estimation is carried out for a period of 10s with 500 samples. Region considered for the estimation process is for the vehicle time 310-320s. The initial values of the estimates are taken from the wind tunnel data. The variation of angle of attack, dynamic pressure, Mach number, relative velocity and control surface deflections during this region are shown in Fig.3 and 4. The estimated longitudinal aerodynamic coefficients are shown in Fig 5,6 and 7.



Fig -2: Mach number, relative velocity, dynamic pressure and alpha during the estimation region



Fig -3: Control surface deflections during the estimation region



Fig -4: The estimated total aerodynamic force coefficient $C_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$



Fig -5: The estimated total aerodynamic force coefficient $$C_{\!\scriptscriptstyle N}$$



Fig -6: The estimated total aerodynamic moment coefficient C_m

5. CONCLUSION

Filter error algorithm is formulated and developed in MATLAB environment. With the developed algorithm longitudinal aerodynamic parameters are estimated with different initial conditions.The estimated longitudinal aerodynamic coefficients are compared with wind tunnel prediction. Maximum of 0.3%, 0.13%, error, are observed in total aerodynamic force coefficients, 0.0002 difference are observed in total aerodynamic moment coefficient.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.E.Malne and L.W.Lfiff, "Identification of dynamic systems-applications to aircraft, part1-output error approach," *AGARDL flight test techniques series*, vol. 3, pp. 7-33, July 1986.
- [2] Rakesh Kumar, "Lateral parameter estimation using regression", *International Journal of Engineering Inventions ISSN*, vol. 1,pp: 76-81, October2012.
- [3] Luiz C.S.Góes, Elder M.Hemerly and Benedito C.O Maciel, "Parameter estimation and flight path reconstruction using output-error method",24th international congress of the aeronautical sciences,pp:1-10,2004.
- [4] R V Jategaonkar and F Thieleckec, "Aircraft parameter estimation, A tool for development of aerodynamic databases," Saadhanaa, Vol. 25, Part 2, pp.119-135,April 2000.
- [5] R.V Jategoankar, "Flight Vehicle System Identification : A time domain methodology", volume 216, 2006.