

# Data Network based on Cumulative Security Metric

A.TENU SURYA TEJA

Student, Dept. Of CSE KI University Andhra Pradesh. India

\*\*\*

**Abstract** - The multiplication of networks to a substantial populace has expanded network openness for a huge segment of programmers to manhandle. More grounded security strategies, for example, propelled encryption calculations, productive verification procedure and 'resistance top to bottom' methodologies are being utilized to address these dangers. This paper gives a brief review of different vulnerabilities connected with every layer of the OSI Model. Issues identified with the "eighth layer" have additionally been illustrated. The creators propose to do execution examination of the combined impact of utilizing security instruments kept up at all layers of the network.

**Key Words:** Network, cyber security, OSI, eighth layer, Vlan.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Expanding slips of cyber security in resistance, non-military networks, a developing danger of inserted malware, cyber assaults from unfriendly components and countries has conveyed to fore the colossal significance of network security. At the same time, the expansion of networks to a vast populace has expanded network openness for a huge area of programmers to manhandle, which is at last being tended to by more grounded security techniques, for example, propelled encryption calculations, effective confirmation procedure and 'safeguard top to bottom' methodology. Each network director guarantees building satisfactory security measures at his level. Since all framework directors work in a disjoint way and oversee security arrangements at various layers of OSI model, the worldwide picture develops a situation, where the sender discovers his information experiencing some encryption/security process at all levels beginning from Application layer down to Physical layer and an unscrambling process at all layers at the collector end. This paper makes a proposition to complete execution examination of the total impact of utilizing security instruments at all layers of the network.

## 2. MATERIAL

The Open Systems Interconnection is an applied model that tells us and institutionalizes the inner elements of a correspondence framework by dividing it into deliberation layers. The model gatherings comparative correspondence

capacities into one of seven consistent layers. A layer serves the layer above it and is served by the layer underneath it. The utilization of OSI Model is wide to the point that it characterizes the way IT industry ought to plan networking conventions. In this model, every layer can speak with the layer above and underneath it. Every layer is produced freely which permits adaptability and advancement in one layer to advance immediately from whatever other layer. As data goes through every layer applicable data from that layer is connected - this procedure is regularly known as Encapsulation. Taking after is the brief review of different vulnerabilities connected with every layer.

**Physical Layer Vulnerabilities:** - These incorporate Loss of Power, Loss of Environmental Control, Physical Theft of Data and Hardware, Physical Damage to the practical environment (information associations, removable media including/evacuating assets), Disconnection Links unnoticeable prevention of information, Keystroke and Other input Logging. The security issues turn out to be more affirmed when the network depends on a remote media. A nearly capable transmission at same frequencies can without much of a stretch influence the nature of administration; if not completely deny the support of the client. The odds of aloof assaults on remote media are more as it is more defenseless to capture

**Data link Layer Vulnerabilities:** - A gadget running in unbridled mode and a bundle channel could be useful or unsafe instruments at OSI Layer two. Permitting stream examination, issue determination and code troubleshooting can be useful. Be that as it may, in the wrong hands the capacity to duplicate datagrams represents a risk. An illustration of a layer two danger is LINPAC, a parcel catch driver that strengths a NIC into unbridled mode, permitting it to ingest activity bound to different machines. Different known dangers at layer 2 are: - Content-Addressable Memory (CAM) table flood, VLAN bouncing, Private VLAN assault and DHCP starvation

**Network Layer Vulnerabilities:** - The network layer gives the utilitarian and procedural method for exchanging variable length information successions from a base host on one network to a final host on an alternate network (as opposed

to the information join layer which interfaces has inside of the same network), while keeping up the nature of administration asked for by the vehicle layer. The IP address permits a framework to contact the outside world and permits the outside world to contact the host. It is intelligent to consider this outskirts to our framework defenseless. The accompanying are the key security dangers at the Network Layer connected with the IP: - IP Spoofing, Routing (RIP) Attacks, and ICMP Attack.

**Transport Layer Vulnerabilities:** - One way the Transport Layer guarantees that there is dependability and blunder checking is through the Transport Control Protocol (TCP). Another convention utilized at Layer 4 is UDP (User Datagram Protocol). Finding a framework on the Internet requires knowing people in general IP address allocated to it. To focus on a particular application on a framework, an interloper would need to know the IP location to find the framework and the port number relegated to the application, all things considered alluded to as an attachment. A PC framework has 65535 ports. These ports can be further separated into three classes: understood, enrolled and dynamic. This is the place Layer 4 security is connected. Numerous applications use surely understood TCP and UDP ports. An assailant will assemble data around a framework utilizing TCP and UDP. There are numerous routes in which TCP and UDP are utilized to penetrate, refuse any assistance, or output networks. The key security dangers connected with transport layer are: - TCP "SYN" Attack, SSL Man-in-the-Middle Attack.

**Session Layer Vulnerabilities:** - The "session" is made utilizing the three-way handshake. At the point when a customer builds up an association with a server, the customer sends a SYN ask for; the server reacts with a SYN/ACK bundle and the customer accepts the association with an ACK (affirmation) parcel. A TCP association can't be set up until these 3 stages have been finished.

**Presentation Layer Vulnerabilities:** The presentation layer changes information into the structure that the application acknowledges. This layer organizes and scrambles information to be sent over a network. It is once in a while called the grammar layer. Encryption administrations are connected with the Upper Layers of the OSI model, particularly the Presentation Layer. At the point when the information is gotten, what structure will it take? Encryption systems permit us to scramble the parcel substance, requiring an exceptional code to uncover them. The more advanced the encryption calculation, the harder it is to access the information. Clearly, this serious handling capacity could influence framework execution. Legitimate arranging is important to figure security needs and adjust them with asset restrictions. Vulnerabilities at this layer regularly begin from shortcomings or deficiencies in the execution of the presentation layer capacities. Proceeding on the subject of exploiting the first climate of understood trust and basic usefulness that frameworks were (and keep on being) implicit, aggressors encourage startling or illicit data into presentation-layer offices, picking up results that are

undesired or in spite of what the first planners expected. A few strategies utilized are:- Buffer Overflows, Format String Vulnerabilities and Attacking the NetBIOS.

**Application Layer Vulnerabilities:** - This OSI layer is nearest to the end client, which suggests that both the OSI application layer and the client collaborate straightforwardly with the product application. Application-layer works regularly incorporate recognizing correspondence accomplices, deciding asset accessibility and synchronizing correspondence. While distinguishing correspondence accomplices, the application layer decides the personality and accessibility of correspondence accomplices for an application with information to transmit. Like the physical-layer, the open-finished nature of the Application Layer bunches numerous dangers together at its end of the stack.

**The Eighth layer:** - A typical misguided judgment about the Open Systems Interconnection model is that it contains just seven layers. This layer must be considered while investigating a system issue, the same number of times it can end up being even more a typical cause than the physical layer. An oversight or a planned messing with any of the above layers by this eighth layer part can play devastation with the system. Regular foundations for disappointments at the Eighth layer of the OSI model incorporate ID10T blunders and strategy related issues. Seeing concerning how the eighth layer interfaces specifically with the application layer, an issue at the eighth layer can bring about issues at different layers at different seriousness, contingent upon system security and benefit settings. Numerous layer 8 blunders even cause disappointments at the physical layer, which is a genuinely basic event. In reality, the eighth layer can be by and large exceptionally hard to investigate, however in the event that kept inside of thought up and down the procedure of investigating different layers, then a layer 8 issue may uncover itself to the troubleshooter without experiencing the greater part of the layers in the middle. Regular reasons for layer eight issues are:- Users who think they are changing a basic setting to make something "better" or "faster" without the smallest hint about what the setting really does.

### 3. ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY

In perspective of the dangers recorded above, there exists a void in building up a minimal model which likewise incorporates the 'eighth layer'. Further, no examination exists on a combined appraisal of the security arrangements actualized at individual levels. This paper along these lines expects to make an assessment criteria which considers the security arrangements actualized in every one of the layers of the system. The creator is in this way of the perspective that in any system the security affirmation must be assessed in view of a record that is a numerical capacity of individual layer security lists.

#### METHODOLOGY: -

It is suggested that every layer of the OSI model, including the Eighth Layer, be evaluated for any given system. Contingent on the quality and amount of security components utilized for that specific system,

certain imprints will be recompensed to every layer. This future weighted against the danger affectability of that specific layer, suggesting that for any bargain in that specific layer, what might be the effect of loss of data in that system. Once every layer has been evaluated and weighted, the individual layer qualities will be included and again standardized as a rate. This last rate will at long last be allocated a letters in order reviewing, e.g. A is more than 90, B is more than 80 etc. This would be the last degree of the system under test. A sample of "Arrangement" layer table is given underneath.

parameter	Weightage (%)
Adopted an international state of the art policy.	15
Periodical Testing of users in their understanding of the laid down policy is being carried out.	20
Performance of the organization in the yearly audit.	15
Ratio of number of Incidents reported to number of System-users in the organization.	20
Number of external audit carried out by the organisation in five years	20
Ratio of number of external employee.	10

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

Late writing has demonstrated that the worldwide pattern is towards embracing a comprehensive way to deal with Network Security. As needs be broad exploration is as of now in advancement to investigate and display system streams. The present paper is foreseen to make another benchmark in this imperative territory of system security measurements. While the perusers are acquainted with records for (say) money related soundness of AAA CRISIL reviewing, there is no oversimplified evaluating for Information Security. This paper plots an approach to accomplish the same, by evaluating People, Process and Technology and reviewing these parameters to introduce a shortsighted reviewing for the Information Network.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Scarfone, K. & Mell, P. (2009). The common configuration scoring system (CCSS): Metrics for software security configuration vulnerabilities (Draft). Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology. Available at <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/nistir-7502/Draft-NISTIR-7502.pdf>.
- [2] Swanson, M. (2001). Security self-assessment guide for information technology systems. Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- [3] Implementing a Network Security Metrics Program By Paul W Lowans GIAC available on 23 Sep 13 at url - <http://www.giac.org/paper/gsec/1641/ implementing-network-security-metrics-programs/103004>
- [4] Seddigh, N., Piedad, P., Matrawy, A., Nandy, B., Lambadaris, I., & Hatfield, A. (2004). Current trends and advances in information assurance metrics. Proceedings of PST2004: The Second Annual Conference on Privacy, Security, and Trust. Fredericton, NB.
- [5] NIST SP 800-55 (Revision 1).
- [6] ISO / IEC 27004 and ISO / IEC 15939.
- [7] Huang, Yan and Yang (2009). Research of Security Metric Architecture for Next Generation Network. Proceedings of IC-NIDC2009.