## SELECTION OF STRUCTURAL AND INSULATION MATERIAL FOR INFRARED HEATING SYSTEM BY WEIGHED PROPERTY METHOD

Kiran.K<sup>[1]</sup>, Dr.Kiran Kumar P<sup>[2]</sup>, Prof T.Madhusudhan<sup>[3]</sup>

<sup>[1]</sup> M.Tech student, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, S J B Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, India

<sup>[2]</sup> Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, S J B Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, India

<sup>[3]</sup> HOD, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, S J B Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, India

\*\*\*

**Abstract** - An infrared radiator or warmth light is a body with a higher temperature which exchanges vitality to a body with a lower temperature through electromagnetic radiation. *Contingent upon the temperature of the transmitting body, the* wavelength of the crest of the infrared radiation ranges from 780 nm to 1 mm. No contact or medium between the two bodies is required for the vitality exchange. The effectiveness of infrared radiation mainly depends on the type of insulation employed inside the chamber and also there should be a proper structural material for the particular application. In this papers the material considered for structural applications are Mild steel (ASTM A210), Mild steel (ASTM A36), Grey cast iron (ASTM 25), Grey cast iron (ASTM 30), Grey cast iron (ASTM 35) having variable constituents and the materials considered for insulation are Portland cement, refractory clay, glass, concrete, chrome brick and asbestos fiber among these Mild steel (ASTM A210) and refractory clay (ceramic) are selected by weighed property method.

Key Words: Material, WPM, IR, Mild steel (ASTM A210), Refractory clay (ceramic).

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Selection of material is a very important task in every product design process traditionally material selections are taken place at a detailed design stage. In the design and development of infrared heating system. The most common

type of structural materials used are mild steel and cast iron which are economical compared to stainless steel, aluminum and some composite materials. Again mild steel and cast iron comes up with different grades and composition possessing different mechanical properties like which effect the structure, economy and final product by different means. Again glass is the most common insulating material used it shows high cost and very sensitive in operation. Therefore a standard weighted property method is used for selection of materials. The type of structural material and insulation material inside the chamber covers about 50% of its total weight. The different material considered for structural applications are Mild steel (ASTM A210), Mild steel (ASTM A36), Grey cast iron (ASTM 25), Grey cast iron (ASTM 30), Grey cast iron (ASTM 35) having variable constituents and the materials considered for insulation are Portland cement, refractory clay, glass, concrete, chrome brick and asbestos fiber. There are many methods for selecting optimized material for particular type of application they are Fuzzy logic method, Multi-Criteria Decision Making method, Cost analysis, Limits Property Method and Weighted Property Method. WPM method involve more attributes or property and also considers each and every property into account, but some selection methods like Multi-Criteria Decision Making method (MCDM), Limits Property and Cost analysis method consider only some important properties of the material.

L

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSN: 2395 -0056Volume: 03 Issue: 04 | Apr-2016www.irjet.netp-ISSN: 2395-0072

### **2. LITERATURE SURVEY**

WPM is very useful in Selection of material for particular application when there are a large number of important properties to be compare and evaluated. In this method Scaled values of the criteria ( $\beta$ ) are multiplied by the weighting index ( $\alpha$ ). The sum of multiplied scaled properties and weighting factors represents the performance index ( $\gamma$ ). The combination of stock material and matching design process with the highest performance index is the optimum solution.

**Kasim M. Daws, et.al** <sup>[3]</sup>: He has studied about the automated advisory casting process selection system is designed. Selection of material trusted on specified criteria weighted property index algorithm calculates relative importance of each property. Ranking or for rating of each requirements and alternative process was given by Fuzzy Logic method, which shows optimized alternative process.

**Dr. Mohammed Jasim Kadhim, et.al** <sup>[4]</sup>: has made an endeavor to appear, there is no hole in the middle of materials and capacity arranged configuration. Simultaneous subjective determination of materials strategy (CQSM) was created to know the significance of materials properties in the early plan stages. The Weighted Property Method was adjusted from Quantitative technique to Qualitative Method for determination of materials. Weighted element record was figured by Digital Rationale (DL) and contrasted and the conventional strategy, Advanced Logic (DL) strategy indicates precise results because it does not eliminate least important properties.

**Suresh talur, et.al**<sup>[5]</sup>: In his paper he mainly studied on the selection of suitable material for wind turbine blades by Weighted Property Method, for manufacturing of small scale Savonius Vertical Axis Wind Turbine (SVAWT),material considered are Aluminium (7020 Alloy), Mild Steel (grade 55), Stainless Steel (A580) and Polycarbonate sheet, among these optimized material is selected based and weighed property method to increase performance of wind turbine ,

the selected material for SVAWT shows low density, corrosion resistant, economic, good

### 3. DISCUSSION AND CALCULATION

The below table shows the list of different materials to be investigated for structural and insulation applications and their properties

ials		Properues									
	D	Cor	Со	Th	Therm	Stre	Ultim	Young'			
	е	ros	st	er	al	ngth	ate	S			
	n	ion	±.,	ma	expans	to	tensil	modul			
	si		\$/	<sup>\$/</sup> l ion kg co	ion	weig	е	us			
	ty	μm	kg			ht	stren				
		/ye		nd	KN-	ratio	gth	Gpa			
	G	ar		uct	m/kg						
	m			ivit			Мра				
	/c			у							
	m										
	3			w/							
				m-							
				К							
Mild	7	58	1.8	50	11.8	68	530	210			
stool	у. 8	00	1.0	50	11.0	00	550	210			
(AST	0 2	00									
M	2										
M A210)											
A210J											
Mild	7.	58	1.8	50	11	62	480	210			
steel	8	00									
(AST											
М											
A36)											
Gu	7	64	2	10	105	25	100	102			
Grey	/.	61	3	46	10.5	25	180	102			
cast	2	00									
iron											
(AST											
M 25)											

 Table 1.1 properties of structural materials



<b>T</b> Volume: 03 Issue: 04   A	Apr-2016	
-----------------------------------	----------	--

www.irjet.net

C	7	(1	2	4.6	10 5	20	250	110
Grey	7.	61	3	46	10.5	29	250	113
cast	2	00						
iron								
(AST								
M 30)								
Grey	7.	61	3	46	10.5	38	270	119
cast	2	00						
iron								
(AST								
M 35)								

Table 1.2 properties of insulation materials

Materi	Properties										
als											
	Density	Cost	Temper	Therma	Specific heat						
	(p)		ature	1	(c)						
		Rs/ Kg		conduct							
	Kg/m <sup>3</sup>		<sup>0</sup> C	ivity	J/Kg/K						
				W/m-K							
Portlan	1900	20	30	0.3024	1130						
d		-									
cement											
cement											
Refract	1845	12	450	1.036	1089						
ory											
clay(ce											
ramic)											
Glass	2500	23	20	0.7443	670						
Concre	419	22	100	0.1907	1214						
te											
Chrom	3000	18	200	2 320	840						
o briek	3000	10	200	2.320	040						
e DI ICK											
Asbest	470	900	50	0.1105	816						
os											
fibre											

Now the weighting factor ( $\alpha$ ) is obtained by giving relative priorities to the properties of material. This factor is obtained by using the past experience or the digital-logic method. Digital-logic method calculates by the comparison of properties, weighed property method is used in combined properties with having different units. WPM shows each material requirement (or property) is assigned to a certain weight depending on its importance in the design. Value for each weighing factor ( $\alpha$ ) should be given based on its importance.

SL.	PROPERTY	Weight index (a)
NO		
1	Density	8
2	Corrosion µm/year	7
0	0	
3	Cost \$/kg	6
4	The served a served section its and the	
4	Thermal conductivity w/m-k	5
5	Thermal expansion KN-m/kg	4
	. , , ,	
6	Strength to weight ratio	3
7	Ultimate tensile strength Mpa	2
8	Young's modulus Gpa	1
		·

### Table 2.1 weighed factors for structural materials

# **Table 2.2** weighted factors for insulationmaterials

SL.	PROPERTY	Weight index (a)
NO		
1	Density	5
2	Cost	4
3	Temperature	3
4	Thermal conductivity	2
5	Specific heat	1

Now, the weighed factor ( $\beta$ ) is calculated using the formula Scaled property value for lower value of the property is required example costs, mass loss, etc.

Scaled Property

 $(\beta) = (\frac{lowest \ value \ in \ the \ list}{numerical \ value \ of \ the \ property}) X100$ 

Dimensionless scaled property value for higher value for



the is required for example hardness, tensile strength, etc.

Scaled Property

$$(\beta) = \left(\frac{numerical value of the property}{highest value in the lis}\right) X100$$

**Table 3.1** scaled properties for structural materials

Mat	Scaled Properties (β)										
eria											
ls											
	D	6	<u> </u>	<b>T1</b>	The	Chara	Tilt	Variation			
	De	Cor	Co	Iner	Iner	Stre	Ultim	roung			
	nsit	rosi	st	mai	mai	ngtn	ate	S			
	у	011	\$/	ctivity	expa	woig	censii	niouui			
	Gm	μm	kg	cuvity	n	ht	e	us			
	/c	/ye		w/m-		ratio	øth	Gpa			
	m <sup>3</sup>	ar		k	KN-		8				
					m/k		Мра				
					g						
Mil	02	100	10	100	100	100	100	100			
d	07	100	0	100	100	100	100	100			
stee	07		Ŭ								
1											
(AS											
ТМ											
A21											
0)											
M:1	02	100	10	100	02	01.1	00 5	100			
Mil	92. 07	100	10	100	93	91.1 7	90.5	100			
u stee	07		0			/					
1											
(AS											
TM											
A36											
)											
-	100	05			0.0	a		105			
Gre	100	95	60	92	88	36.7	33.9	48.5			
y cast						D					
iron											
(AS											
TM											
25)											
-											
Gre	100	95	60	92	88	42.6	47.16	53.8			
У						4					
cast											
iron											

(AS								
ТМ								
30)								
Gr	10	95	6	92	88	55.	50.9	56.6
ey	0		0			88		
cas								
t								
iro								
n								
(AS								
ТМ								
35)								

Table 3.2 scaled properties for insulation materials

Mat	Properties										
eria											
ls											
	Density	Cost	Temper	Thermal	Specific heat (c)						
	(p)		ature	conductiv							
		Rs/		ity	j/kg/k						
	Kg/m <sup>3</sup>	kg	<sup>0</sup> C								
				w/m-k							
Port	22.1	60	6.66	13.03	93.03						
land											
cem											
ent											
Dofr	22.7	100	100	44 GE	90.7						
neto	22.7	100	100	44.05	09.7						
acto											
clav											
(cer											
ami											
c)											
cj											
Glas	16.7	52.1	4.44	32.04	55.18						
S		7									
Con	100	54.5	22.2	8.2	100						
cret											
e											

ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal

T



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395 -0056

Volume: 03 Issue: 04 | Apr-2016

www.irjet.net

p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Chr	14	66.6	44.4	100	69.19
ome					
bric					
k					
Asb	89.2	1.33	11.1	4.7	67.2
esto					
s					
fibre					

Now the performance index is calculated

Performance Index,  $\gamma = \Sigma (\beta^* \alpha)$ 

Table 4.1 performance value and ranking of structural materials

Mat	Scaled Properties (β)									
eri										
als										
				-			-			
	D	С	С	The	The	Str	Ulti	Yo	Pe	Rankin
	e	or	0	rm	rm	eng	ma	ung	rf	g
	n	ro	s	al	al	th	te	's	or	
	si	si	t	con	exp	to	ten	mo	m	
	t	0	<i>.</i>	duc	ans	wei	sile	dul	an	
	у	n	\$	tivi	ion	ght	str	us	ce	
			/	ty		rati	eng		va	
	G	μ	k		KN-	0	th	Gp	lu	
	m	m	g	w/	m/			а	е	
	/	/у		m-	kg		Мр			
	с	ea		k			а			
	m	r								
	3									
Mil	2	1	1	12	11	0.2	E.	27	0	1
d	0	0	6	13. o	11.	0.5	5	2.7	7	1
u	0. 2	9. 4	0	0	1				1.	
stee	2 F	4	•						1 r	
	5		0						5	
(AS										
AZ1										
0)										
Mil	2	1	1	13.	10.	7.5	4.5	2.7	9	2
d	0.	9.	6	8	32				5.	
stee	2	4	•						0	
1										

(AS	5		6						/	
ТМ										
A36										
)										
Gre	2	1	9	12.	9.7	3.0	1.6	1.3	7	5
у	2	8.		76	6	6	9	4	9	
cast		4	9							
iron		3	6							
(AS										
ТМ										
25)										
-	0		0	40	0.5	0.5			0	
Gre	2	1	9	12.	9.7	3.5	2.3	1.4	8	4
У	2	8.	•	76	6	5	5	9	0.	
cast		4	9						3	
iron		3	6							
(AS										
ТМ										
30)										
Gre	2	1	9	12.	9.7	4.6	2.5	1.5	8	3
У	2	8.		76	6	5		9	1.	
cast		4	9						6	
iron		3	6						5	
(AS										
ТМ										
35)										

### Table 4.2 performance value and ranking of

insulation materials

Mat	Properties									
erial										
s										
	De	Cos	Temp	Ther	Specif	Perform	ranking			
	nsi	t	eratu	mal	ic heat	ance				
	ty		re	cond	(c)	value				
	(p)	Rs/		uctivi						
		kg	<sup>0</sup> C	ty	j/kg/k					
	Kg									
	/m			w/m-						
	3			k						
Portl	7.2	15.	1.33	1.69	5.58	31.85	5			
and	9	96								
cem										

ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSN: 2395 -0056Volume: 03 Issue: 04 | Apr-2016www.irjet.netp-ISSN: 2395-0072

ent							
Refr	7.4	26.	20	5.8	5.3	65.19	1
actor	9	6					
у							
clay							
(cera							
mic)							
Glass	5.5	13.	0.89	4.16	3.3	27.6	6
	1	8					
Conc	33	14	4.4	1.06	6	58.96	2
roto	55	5	7.7	1.00	0	50.70	2
Tete		5					
Chro	4.6	17.	8.88	13	4.15	48.27	3
me	2	7					
brick							
Asbe	29.	0.3	2.22	0.6	4.03	36.03	4
stos	43	5					
fiber							

### **5. CONCLUSION**

Ranking of materials in Weighted factor computed by Digital logic method, among the different types of structural materials Mild steel (ASTM A210), Mild steel (ASTM A36), Grey cast iron (ASTM 25), Grey cast iron (ASTM 30), Grey cast iron (ASTM 35) and the different types of insulating materials Portland cement, refractory clay, glass, concrete, chrome brick and asbestos fiber. The Mild steel (ASTM A210) higher performance of 97.15% and refractory clay with the performance of 65.19% is selected since; they are showing higher performance than all others.

### **6. REFRENCES**

 Boothroyd G, Dewhurst P, Knight W. "Product design for manufacture and assembly", Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2002, U.S.A.
 Deng YM, Edwards KL." The role of materials identification and selection in engineering design", Mater Design 2007; 28:131-139. [3] Kasim M. Daws , Zouhair I. AL-Dawood , Sadiq H. AL-Kabi "Selection of Metal Casting Processes: A Fuzzy Approach" Jordan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Volume 2, Number 1, Mar. 2008, ISSN 1995-6665, Pages 45 – 52.

[4] Dr. Mohammed Jasim Kadhim, Dr.Mithal A. AlBassam &Dr. Suad Hamzah Abdas "Materials Selection in ConceptualDesign using Weighting Property Method" Eng. & Tech.Journal, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2011.

[5] Suresh talur, Dr kiran kumar P and prof T Madhusudan"Selection of Material by weighted property method forSavonius Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Rotor blade" Volume:02 Issue: 01 | Apr-2015.

### BIOGRAPHY



### Kiran K

M.Tech (machine design) Student, Department of mechanical engineering SJB Institute of Technology, Bengaluru.





Professor, Department of mechanical engineering SJB Institute of Technology, Bengaluru.

#### Prof. T Madhusudhan

HOD, Department of mechanical engineering, SJB Institute of Technology, Bengaluru.

Т