

Manpower Optimization and Method Improvement for a Warehouse

Swapnil Tonape¹, Kapil Patil², Prof. Dr. Varsha Karandikar³

¹ M.Tech. Dept. of Industrial and Production Engineering, Vishwakarma Institute of Technology, Pune. ² M.Tech. Dept. of Industrial and Production Engineering, Vishwakarma Institute of Technology, Pune. ³Professor, Dept. of Industrial and Production Engineering, Vishwakarma Institute of Technology, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract - Warehouses are scientific storage structures especially constructed for the protection of the quantity and quality of stored products. Warehousing may be defined as the assumption of responsibility for the storage of goods. It may be called the protector of national wealth, for the produce stored in warehouses is preserved and protected against rodents, insects and pests, and against the ill-effect of moisture and dampness. The warehousing scheme in India is an integrated scheme of scientific storage, rural credit, price stabilization and market intelligence and is intended to supplement the efforts of co-operative institutions. Between the time an order is released to the warehouse and the time it takes to reach its destination, there is ample opportunity for errors in both accuracy and completeness, not to mention time lost. There is also room for improvement. Industry has come up with innovative solutions, making it possible to attain productivity up to 1,000 picks per person hour.

***______*

Key Words: Manpower optimization, Time study, Method improvement, Layout modification, Material Handling System.

1. INTRODUCTION

The utilization and optimization of the manpower is the need of the project. The flow of the empty and loaded cages in unloading area is not satisfactory. The material was handled 6 to 7 times and then it goes in the cage from the truck. So for reducing the handling of material, we improve the method of unloading. The need of the project is to improve the method for unloading activity in unloading area. There was the problem of excess manpower. The manpower comes in large numbers for working. The available manpower was greater in numbers. The problem for supervisor is to give the work for the number of workers. The manpower distributed for loading, unloading and stacking area. The scope of project is to optimize the manpower of warehouse. The problems identified on shop floor for the method improvement. The scope of the project is to set the target manpower for docks at the loading and unloading stations. The improvement for unloading the trucks is to reduce the excess manpower. The main reason for method improvement is to set the flow of material and handling of the material.

1.1 Manpower Optimization

The manpower for warehouse was not fixed. The manpower comes in the morning that distributed in loading, unloading and stacking area. Many of the times the manpower comes in large numbers. The task for the supervisor is to distribute the manpower for each and every area. The loading side supervisor, unloading side and the stacking area supervisor take the manpower as per their requirement. But many of the times problem arises in the distribution of the manpower. For every day in the morning 15 minutes goes into distribution of the manpower. The total time for 7 docks in unloading area and 9 docks in loading area were loosen by the supervisor because not properly planned the manpower. Every day the activities start after at least 30 min. after shifts actually starts. In unloading area the empty cages were not available in starting of shifts. So that time also loosen by the supervisor for unloading the trucks. Many of the times chaos between workers as well as supervisors for collecting hand pallet truck and empty cages. That was also the reason for loss time of unloading. The next problem for unloading docks is that the unloading of truck activity. The 7 to 8 workers stand in front of docks and the empty cages were stacked in front of them in a row. The minimum 8 empty cages were placed in front of each other and filled cage from long distance from truck. For that activity supervisor needs 7 to 8 people for unloading. The maximum material was handling done on unloading side.

Similarly for loading side supervisor need to distribute manpower. The similar material handling problem arises on loading area. The supervisor also used around 7 workers to load the truck. In that 7 worker 4 workers need to load the truck and remaining workers need to drawn out the bags and boxes from the stacking area. The communication gap between supervisor and workers arises, because the order includes more than 70 to 80 items. The workers need to drawn out each and every item as per order. In stacking area there was only one supervisor and 2 workers to guide the unloading and loading side worker for stacking the material and drawing the material in racks. The main problem for supervisor was the cages that were filled with bags and boxes tagged by unloading supervisor but it was not properly stacked in particular racks. Therefore, the loading side workers confused and loose the time to find out wanted

material put exactly at which place and which material to be drawn out from which month.

The problem is that to set the manpower for warehouse at each and every area that means unloading, loading and stacking. The optimization of the manpower is the best solution that to utilize all the docks from loading and unloading side including stacking.

1.2 Material Handling System Improvements

The warehouse design for unloading area was taken into consideration at the time of the Time study for manpower utilization. The time and manpower is to be utilized and design should be done for the warehouse at the unloading docks. Before the study the stacking of the empty cages was not proper. For improper stacking activity takes much time and handling was not proper from unloading docks to stacking area. The problem arises when material unloaded and store into the cages that was not drawn out from first to last cage in a sequence. The filled cages were stucked in that unloading area and create confusion for workers to material to be drowned out and where to be placed.

In that particular activity the tags that are stick on the cages for particular filled cages were also misplaced. The unloading activity has excess manpower and creates confusion was that who will go to place the tags on the cages. A study done for the placing of the cages when truck to be unloaded and truck stand in front of the docks. The empty cages should be placed at the right hand side of the worker who unload the truck and worker should fill the cage by drawing from cage right hand side to left hand side. The left hand side cage of the worker then drawn out by the material handling worker from unloading area to stacking area. The "U" type flow for unloading the truck is the method improvement and improvement in warehouse designing.

2. DATA COLLECTION

In this technique we use the overall time study. The study carried out to observe the time required for loading and unloading of the truck.

There are 7 docks on unloading side and 8 docks on loading side. For unloading side 5 docks are in use. In those 5 docks, 1 dock was the dock level. By using the time study we calculate the overall time required for unloading the truck on each dock that was in use. The manpower used by supervisor for unloading was taken into consideration. The overall data was collected that was truck number, truck weight, total tonnage inside the truck, manpower used by supervisor and the start time of unloading the truck to end time of unloading the truck (clock time). In this technique we use the overall time study. The study carried out to observe the time required for loading and unloading of the truck.

There are 7 docks on unloading side and 8 docks on loading side. For unloading side 5 docks are in use. In those 5 docks, 1 dock was the dock level. By using the time study we calculate the overall time required for unloading the truck on each dock that was in use. The manpower used by supervisor for unloading was taken into consideration. The overall data was collected that was truck number, truck weight, total tonnage inside the truck, manpower used by supervisor and the start time of unloading the truck to end time of unloading the truck (clock time).

Table -1: Data collection format of unloading/loading

SR. NO.	DOCK NO.				
1	ST: 9:10	ST:8:40	ST:9:30	ST:10:00	ST: 9:25
	ET: 10:30	ET:11:20	ET:1:30	ET:12:00	ET:12:20
	Manpower:6	Manpower:6	Manpower:6	Manpower:6	Manpower:6
	Vehicle No.:				
2	ST: ET:				
	Manpower:	Manpower:	Manpower:	Manpower:	Manpower:
	Vehicle No.:				

Table -2: Data collection format of unloading/loading

Date :		Vehicle no. :				
Dock no. :		Total weight :				
No. o	f cages :	Parent locat	tion of goods :			
Sr. no.	Activity	Start Time (ST)	End Time (ET)	Elapsed Time (ELP)	REMARK	
1	Vehicle gate entry	8:50	9:10	20 min		
2	Vehicle dock/shutter entry	9:10	9:20	10 min		
3	Unloading vehicle	9:20	10:30	70 min		
4	Cage loading	-	-			
5	Vehicle left the dock	10:35	10:50	15 min		
6	Vehicle out from gate	10:50	-			
Unloa	ading Supervisor :					

The format used for collecting start time of unloading activity that means the unloading workers starts to actual unloading activity and end time of that activity. The clock time used to calculate overall time in minutes. The manpower for each and every dock for actual unloading and the vehicle number is also taken into consideration. The use of considering the vehicle number was to identify the truck size and according to that give the accurate solutions for the optimization of the manpower.

Т

Another area to be analyzed was the stacking area, for that purpose we track the cages that are filled with boxes and bags. We differentiate the box cages and bag filled cages to track for unloading station to the stacking area where they stack on vertical racks. They used the 2 race stackers for stacking the cages filled with box and bags. Remaining bags and boxes they were stack on the ground. For boxes stacking and material handling they used 2 manpower and for bags stacking they used only 1 manpower. We track the box cages and bag cages and record the clock time for transportation.

Table -3: Data	collection	format of	stacking
----------------	------------	-----------	----------

Date :	Date :		no.:				
Dock	no. :	Total weight :					
Total	shifted cages :	Parent	Parent location of goods :				
Sr. No.	Activity	Start Time (ST)	End Time (ET)	Elapsed Time (ELP)	Cage weight	Remark	
1	Vehicle dock entry	8:35	9:00	25 min			
	Material unloading 1st cage	9:00	9:10	10 min	200 kg		
2	Cage movement to rack	9:10	9:12	2 min			
	Unloading on Rack						
	Cage movement from Rack						
3	Cage movement to rack						
	Unloading on Rack						
	Cage movement from Rack						
Last cage	Cage movement to rack						
	Unloading on Rack						
	Cage movement from Rack						
Stacki	ng Supervisor :						

The loading activity carried out in 6 to 7 workers. The actual loading is done by 4 workers and material drawn by 2 or 3 workers as per their availability. The loading supervisor gave the order sheet to workers who drawn out the material from stacking area. The actual loading workers start loading when the material was drawn out as per the order given by the supervisor. The waiting for loading for docks was Sat least an hour because time required for drawing material activity takes much time. This activity depends on the number of items given in the order sheet.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

Table -5:	Number o	of manpower	for unloading	g(Before)
rubie of	i amber (or manpower	ioi uniouun	Bererer

Before condition (unloading)						
Total average Average number tonnage of trucks		Dock 2,3,6,7	Dock 4	Total manpower		
77 ton/day	20/day	24	5	29		

Table -6: Number of manpower for unloading (After)

After condition (unloading)						
Total average Average number tonnage of trucks		Dock 2,3,6,7	Dock 4	Total manpower		
77 ton/day	20/day	4*4=16	3	19		

Table -7: Number of manpower for stacking (After)

	Box cages (data collection for 5 days)	Bag cages (data collection for 5 days)	
Total cages	390	390	
Average cages	390 / 5 = 78	390 / 5 = 78	
Average time per cage	17	6	
Manpower	2	1	
Man min for per cage	17 * 2 = 34	6 * 1 = 6	
Shifting time per day	78 * 34 = 2652 min	78 * 6 = 468	
Total shifting time	2652 + 468 = 3120		
Manpower required for Stacking area	3120 / 450 (working time) = 6.93 = 7 manpower		

Гable -8:	Number	of manp	ower for	loading	(Before))
-----------	--------	---------	----------	---------	----------	---

Before condition(Loading)						
Total average tonnage	Average number of trucks	Manpower				
		For single dock	Dock 2,3,4,5,7,8, Export Dock	Drawing activity	Total manpower	
44 ton/day	14/day	6	28	14	42	

Table -9: Number of r	nanpower for	loading (After)
-----------------------	--------------	-----------------

After condition(Loading)						
Total average tonnage	Average number of trucks	Manpower				
		For single dock	Dock 2,3,4,5,7,8, Export Dock	Drawing activity	Total manpower	
44 ton/day	14/day	44/14=3.14 = 3	21	14	35	



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395 -0056

Volume: 03 Issue: 07 | July-2016

www.irjet.net

p-ISSN: 2395-0072



Fig -1: Present situation of unloading and loading activity with manpower



Fig -2: Present situation of material handling system





Fig -3: Proposed situation of unloading and loading activity with manpower



Fig -4: Proposed situation of material handling system



The above figure shows the present and proposed situation of warehouse. The yellow colored circle shows the actual unloading and loading activity manpower. The red colored circle shows the actual material handling manpower of unloading and loading side. The green colored circle shows the actual stacking manpower of warehouse. The results and savings related to cost as shown in results.

4. RESULTS

Table -10: Sample Table format

Activity	Unloading and Stacking	Loading and Drawing	Total
Manpower(Before)	29	42	71
Manpower (After)	26	35	61
Manpower(saving)	3	7	10
Manpower (% Reduction)	10%	25%	14%

Table -11: Improvement related cost

Manpower	Loading	Unloading	Total	Cost per day(Rs.)	Total cost for worker(Rs.)
Before	42	29	71	250	17750
After	35	19	61	250	15520

Savings (Rs.)	Savings per Month(Rs.)	Savings per Year(Rs.)
2500	62,500	7,50,000

We **Select** the work to be studied and define the actual procedure. Then we **Record** the relevant facts about the job by direct observation and collect such additional data as needed from appropriate resources that was for time consider for handling the cages. We **Examine** the way job is being performed and challenge its purpose, place, sequence and method of moving the cages. By analyzing the method we **Develop** the most practical, economic and effective method, drawing on the contribution of those Concerned

By developing the method we **Evaluate** different alternatives to developing a new improved method comparing the cost effectiveness of the selected new method with the current method of performance.

By considering various methods we **Define** the new method, as a result, in a clear manner and present it to those concerned, that is management, supervisor and workers. Then we **Install** the new method as a standard practice and train the persons involved in applying it. The task for the supervisor and the workers to **Maintain** the new method and introduce control procedure to prevent a drifting back to the previous method of work.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The improvements done after implementing the manpower and method improvements in warehouse. The utilization of manpower reduces the cost of excess manpower and increases the total savings for a year as shown above. The benefit related to cost for month and it helps to improve increase in year. The management will take the decision of increasing the business and manufacturing ability in future. This excess manpower can be used for other productive work. Similar technique can be used for manpower optimization in other areas also to improve overall effectiveness of the manpower.

REFERENCES

- [1] International Labour Organization (ILO) ,Introduction to Work Study, Universal Publishing Corp., India. Third Revised Edition, 1986.
- [2] Khalil A. El-Namrouty, Mohammed S. AbuShaaban. Seven Wastes Elimination Targeted by Lean Manufacturing Case Study "Gaza Strip Manufacturing Firms", International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences. Vol. 1, No. 2, 2013.
- [3] Nor Diana Hashim, Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Time Study Method Implementation In Manufacturing Industry, May 2008.