

INVESTIGATION ON PROPERTIES OF STRUCTURAL STEEL JOINTS USING ARC AND MIG WELDING PROCESSES

Prajulraj.p¹, V.D. Prabhu²

¹Final Year ME Manufacturing Engineering, Shree Venkateshwara Hi-Tech Engineering College, Gobi, Tamil Nadu, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shree Venkateshwara Hi-Tech Engineering College, Gobi, Tamil Nadu _____***_______****_______****_______

Abstract - Welding technique is one of the widely used permanent fastener techniques, where in different types of welding are used for different applications. Welding creates property changes in the welded location. So it is important to investigate the mechanical properties after welding to create better joint design using welding techniques. In this work the effect of welding process using Metal Inert Gas Welding (MIG) and Manual Arc Welding (MAW) process on mild steel is studied. Welding process is carried out on 4 mm, 5 mm, 6 mm thick plates of mild Steel using MIG and MAW welding processes. Properties such as tensile strength, toughness, hardness and microstructure of the each welding process of weld joints are evaluated and compared with the properties of MIG and MAW processes. The investigation reveals that MIGW joints are having higher mechanical properties than MAW joints while joining mild steel plates and V joint design is better than square butt joint.

Key Words: MIG, MAW, ASTM, SEM.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally mechanical components have been joined through fasteners, rivet joints etc. In order to reduce time for manufacturing and improvement in mechanical properties, welding process is usually adopted. Today, a variety of welding processes are available. However MAW welding is extensively used for fabrication process to join metals. A

Welded joint is obtained when two clean surfaces are brought into contact with each other either by pressure, heat or both. The tendency of atoms to bond is the fundamental basis of welding. The inter-diffusion between the materials that are joined is the underlying principles in all welding processes exist. In welding the metallic materials are joined by the formation of metallic bonds and a perfect connection is formed. Any welding process needs some form of energy; often heat to connect the two metals. The relative amount of heat and pressure required to join two metals may vary considerably between two extreme cases in which either heat or pressure alone is applied. When heat alone is applied to make the joint, pressure is used merely to keep the joining members together.

Welded joints are finding applications in producing critical components where failures are catastrophe. Hence,

inspection methods and adherence to acceptable standards are essential. These acceptance standards represent the minimum weld quality, which is based upon test of welded specimen containing some discontinuities. Welding of steel is not always easy. There is the need to properly select welding parameters for a given task to provide a good weld quality.

Steel is an important engineering material. It has found applications in many areas such as vehicle parts, truck bed floors, automobile doors, domestic appliances etc. It is capable of presenting economically a very wide range of mechanical and other properties.

This paper deals with Comparative Studies on Joining of Structural steels using MIG Welding and ARC welding processes. Groove design, plate thickness are the welding parameters and Tensile strength, Impact strength, Hardness, Microstructure analysis are the investigated mechanical properties.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1 Parent Metal

L

The Mild Steel specimen selected for the investigation is Indian standard with code IS2062 and is taken as the parent metal for welding. It is easily available. Figure 2.1 shows the mild steel plate taken for welding operation. The chemical compositions of the base metals obtained from the supplier are shown in table 1.

Table1: composition of mild steel for welding

Element	Wt.%	
Carbon	0.23	
Manganese	1.50 Max	
Silicon	0.40	
Sulphur	0.045	
Phosphorus	0.045	
Iron	Remainder	

ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal Page 868 T

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSN: 2395 -0056INJETVolume: 04 Issue: 05 | May -2017www.irjet.netP-ISSN: 2395-0072



Fig -2.1: Mild Steel Plate

2.2 METAL INERT GAS WELDING (MIG)

In this work Mild steel plates of dimensions (200×200×4,5,6) mm are taken for MIG welding operation. In order to perform this, the finished plates are again cut transversally into four equal sheets of (50×50×4,5,6) mm using power hacksaw. These plates are cleaned from dirt, grease and other foreign materials to obtain a good quality weld. Edge preparation is carried out where single V edge is prepared for a bevel angle of 60 and square butt joint plates are prepared by smoothing their faces. In all the cases the root gap of 2 mm is maintained. The mild steel plates are placed on welding table and in order to avoid the distortion the right size of stiffeners are provided at critical locations where the welding process is carried out. The welding machine of model WIM ECO400F is used as shown in figure 2.2. During welding machines set at a range of, Current: (100-110) A and Voltage: (19-22) V. The welding wire rod (MIG/CO2 welding wire AWS ER70S-6 precision layer wound) used is of mild steel having 1.20 mm diameter.



Fig -2.2: MIG Welding Machine

L

2.3 MANUAL ARC WELDING (MAW)

In this investigation Mild steel plates with dimensions (200×200×4, 5, and 6) mm are taken for MAW welding technique. In order to perform welding operation the finished sheets are again cut transversally into four equal sheets of $(50 \times 50 \times 4, 5, and 6)$ mm by power hacksaw. These sheets are cleaned of dirt, grease and other foreign materials to obtain a good quality weld. Edge preparation is carried out where single V edge is prepared for a bevel angle of 60 and square butt joint plates are prepared by smoothing their faces. In all the cases the root gap of 2 mm is maintained. The mild steel sheets are placed on welding table and in order to avoid the undesired distortion to the minimal the right size of stiffeners are provided at critical locations where the welding process is carried out. The power source used for welding is a Rectifier type air-cooled welding machine of model Adore Arc 601 as shown in figure 2.3. During welding the unit is set at Current: (100-110) A and Voltage: (80-100) V. The welding rod used is of mild steel having length: 350mm and diameter: 3.15mm for filling the groove and 2.5mm for weld take.



Fig -2.3: MAW Machine



Fig -2.4: Mild Steel Plates after Welding (a) MAW (b) MIG Welding



Fig -2.5: V- Groove Joint



Fig -2.6: Square Butt Joint

3. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND MECHANICAL TESTINGS

The samples for tensile, impact tests are prepared of the strips, cut from the welded plate followed by machining them according to the ASTM standards. The samples for hardness and SEM examination are prepared according to the Indian standards. Welding has been carried out using MIG and ARC welding. Welding plates have been sized both for butt joint and V-joint.





3.1 TENSILE TEST

Tensile test specimens are prepared as per ASTM E8-09 standard. Tensile testing is carried out using Universal

Testing Machine of 400 KN capacity and the geometry of the test specimen is as shown in Figure 3.2.



Fig -3.2: Standard Tensile Test Specimen (ASTM E8-09)

The typical tensile specimen shown in Fig 3.3 the crosssectional area of the gauge section is reduced relative to that of the remainder of the specimen so that deformation and failure will be localized in this region. The gauge length is the region over which measurements are made and is centered within the reduced section

The prepared samples are shown below,











Fig -3.5:6mm Thick Plate Tensile Test Specimens

3.2 CHARPY IMPACT TESTING

Test samples for Charpy V-notch impact toughness evaluation are prepared according to the ASTM E 23 standard.

3.3 CHARPY IMPACT TESTING

Test samples for Charpy V-notch impact toughness evaluation are prepared according to the ASTM E 23 standard.



Fig -3.6: Standard Charpy Impact Test Specimen (ASTM E-23)



Fig -3.7: Specimens after Charpy Impact Testing

3.4 HARDNESS TESTING

Hardness testing of welding joints is performed in accordance to ASTM A370-14 standard using Rockwell Testing Machine (RHc) C Scale as shown in figure 3.8. The sample specimen is placed with the surface on the anvil, and slowly turning the hand wheel until the specimen is raised to touch the indenter. The numbers are read directly from the dial indicator and converted to the Rockwell number. Hardness Test is carried out to the whole width of weldmnt.



Fig -3.8: Hardness Tester

3.5 DYE PENETRANT TEST

A red colored dye penetrant is applied to the surface and the dye enters crack interfaces through capillary action. After a specific amount of time, the surface is wiped dry with clean, dry cloths. The dye will seep back out of the cracks through reverse capillary action. Developer is applied to the surface and the cracks are highlighted as red lines on the white background.





3.6 SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE (SEM)

The welded samples made as described previously are subjected to microstructure study under optical microscope. The specimens of area 0.5 cm² surfaces are initially dry grinded and then wet grinded on abrasive belts. then the surfaces are polished first roughly and then finely with emery belts. The final fine polishing is done by using a wet rotating wheel covered with a special cloth that is charged with fine polishing abrasives so that a mirror like fine polishing is achieved. The setup is shown in figure 3.10.



Fig -3.10: Scanning Electron Microscope

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 TENSILE TEST

Tensile testing is carried out using universal testing machine of 400 KN capacity as per ASTM code E8-09. Tensile strength of MIG and MAW welds of mild steel for each observation three test coupons are cut and the average strength values are noted. Similarly butt joints of three specimens are made and the average values are tabulated.

Tensile strength of welded test samples varies from 348 to 423 MPa depending upon the welding conditions. 6 mm thick with V-groove MIG welded specimen has shown highest ultimate tensile strength and 4 mm thick with square groove MAW specimen has shown least UTS. It is analyzed that the ultimate strength is proportional to the thickness of the specimen and also it is clear that V-groove weld is better than square. The observations are shown in figure 4.1 and figure 4.2.



Fig -4.1: Effect of Tensile Strength on Thickness of Welded Plates Subjected to MAW



Fig -4.2: Effect of Tensile Strength On Thickness Of Welded Plates Subjected To MIG

4.2 CHARPY IMPACT STRENGTH TEST

The energy absorbed by breaking the test samples using charpy impact tester are measured in joules. The results obtained are shown in figure 4.3. It is evident that, the 6 mm V-groove MIG welded specimen which absorbed more energy with a value of 75 J compared to other specimens. This is the measure of toughness of the materials.



Fig -4.3: Impact Strength In Terms of Grooves and Thickness

4.3 HARDNESS TEST

Rockwell hardness number for the specimens is checked with diamond cone. The average values are taken and shown in the figures. External load - 100 kg

Internal load - 10 kg



Fig -4.4: Hardness Profile of 4 mm Thick Specimens



Fig -4.5: Hardness Profile of 5 mm Thick Specimens



Fig -4.6: Hardness profile of 6 mm thick Specimens

Figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 reveals that the hardness profile of 6 mm V-groove MIG is superior to all other weld joints. In all joints the maximum hardness values are measured in the area of heat affected zone (HAZ). The variation in hardness across the weld can be attributed to several factors, mainly to residual stresses just after welding. However, other

factors like grain size, phase composition and metallic inclusion can also contribute to this hardening.

4.4 DYE PENETRANT TEST RESULTS

Dye penetrant test has been performed to check the quality of the weldments before tensile test sample preparation. The results of the tests are presented in table 2.

SPECIMEN	THICKNESS	STATUS	TEST DATE
MIG – V	4,5,6	ОК	10-04-2017
MIG - SQUARE BUTT	4,5,6	ОК	10-04-2017
SMAW – V	4,5,6	ОК	10-04-2017
SMAW - SQUARE BUTT	4,5,6	ОК	10-04-2017

Table -2: Results of The DPI Test

4.5 METALLOGRAPHIC TEST RESULTS

Microstructural changes have been analysed using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The microstructure of welded metals are observed after completion of surface preparation namely grinding, polishing and etching. The magnifications fixed for viewing SEM samples vary from X1000 to X5000. However for uniformity magnification X1000 is fixed for samples with square butt and V butt joints welded both using Manual Arc Welding (MAW) and MIG welding respectively.



Fig -4.7: microstructure of MAW-SQUARE BUTT joint weld



Fig -4.8: microstructure of SMAW-V joint weld

Figure 4.7 represents microstructure of m.s plate square butt welded joint using manual arc welding. It is observed that the weldment structure is having coarse grains with dendritic structure. However the weldment section subjected to manual arc V joint reveals that the structure becomes smooth and refined with fine crystals. This also support tensile strength effect that manual V joint gives higher strength than square butt joint and is seen in figure 4.8.



Fig -4.9: microstructure of MIG-SQUARE BUTT joint weld



Fig -4.10: microstructure of MIG-V joint weld

The SEM pictures of test pieces subjected to MIG welding of square butt and V butt joints are shown in figures 4.9 & 4.10 respectively. Here also plate like structures visible for square butt whereas fine equiaxed grains are seen in MIG-V joints. In strength analysis also it is clear that MIG-V produces higher tensile strength, hardness, impact strength than MIG square type.

Here it is proved that MIG welding for joining M.S plates gives better mechanical properties than weldment produced by manual arc welding. The same trend is noticed while changing the plate thickness.

5. CONCLUSIONS

During the project, mild steel plates are joined using MIG and Manual arc welding. The mechanical properties such as tensile strength, hardness, impact strength of welded joints are investigated. From the work, following are the conclusions.

1. Adequate edge preparation of the weldment enhances the strength of the weld joint.

2. The tensile strength of V groove joint is found more than simple butt joint.

3. In both these process it is found that increase in plate thickness increases the tensile strength as more amount of depth of penetration is possible for higher thickness plates.

4. In charpy impact, the metal inert gas welded (MIG) specimen absorbed more energy while comparing with square butt specimens.

5. The strength of weldment of MIG welded joints are better than MAW joint.



REFERENCES

- [1] O P Khanna"A Text Book of Welding technology ",Dhanpat Rai Publications,(2013).
- [2] S.Utkarsh, P.Neel, et.al, "Experimental Investigation of MIG welding for ST-37 Using design of experiment" IJSRP,Vol 4, Issue 5, may 2014.
- [3] SuvarnaRaju , N Ramakrishna, et.al, "Experimental Investigation on Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Mild Steel Weldments" IJISET, Vol. 2 Issue 8, August 2015.
- [4] Brajesh Kumar Singh, A.K.Jha, et.al, " Effects of joint geometries on welding of mild steel by shielded metal arc welding" IRJET, Vol 2 Issue 7, oct 2015.
- [5] Radha Raman Mishra, Visnukumar Tiwari, et.al, "A study of tensile strength of MIG and TIG welded dissimilar joints of mild steel and stainless steel" IJAMSE ,vol 3, No.2, April 2014.
- "Effect of welding processes on tensile properties of [6] AA6061 aluminum alloy joints", IJAMT, vol 40, Issue 3-4 , Jan 2009.
- [7] Vinoth.V, Madhavan.R, et.al, "Investigation on property relationship in various Austenitic Stainless Steel 304L welds" IJSRP, vol 5, Issue 3, March 2015.
- [8] Rakeshkumar ,Satishkumar, "Study of mechanical properties in mild steel using metal inert gas welding" IJRET, vol 3, Issue 4, April 2014.
- [9] Influence of welding current and joint design on the tensile properties of SMAW welded mild steel joints by prof.Rohitjha, Dr.A.K.Jha
- [10] Singh, Jasvinder. The Sterling Dictionary of Physics. New Delhi, India: Sterling, 2007. 122.