

# **MANAGEMENT OF COST AND TIME IN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS**

## **K.B.VIGNESWARAN**

*ME*, *Dept. of civil Engineering, Jerusalem college, Tamilnadu, India* 

ABSTRACT: Construction planners face the challenge of optimum resource utilization to compromise between different aspects of projects, especially time and cost. Recent contracts consider the quality performance of projects in addition to time and cost. These new and emerging contracts impose an increasing pressure on decision makers in the construction industry to search for an optimal/near-optimal resource utilization plan that minimizes the construction cost and the time, while maximizing its quality. This creates new and pressing need for advanced resource utilization models that are capable of optimizing the multiple and conflicting objectives of construction time, cost and quality. If durations of the activities are compressed, the cost will increase due to more resources allocated to their rapid accomplishment. On the other hand, using fewer resources will result in extended duration of activities. In addition to time and cost of activities, every resource utilization option will yield a specific performance quality. Trade-off between these conflicting aspects of project is a challenging job and as such planners are faced with numerous possible combinations for project delivery.

#### **OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this project is to reduce the increased project duration from original duration and to meet a specific deadline, with the least cost. . Recent contracts consider the quality performance of projects in addition to time and cost. These new and emerging contracts impose an increasing pressure on decision makers in the construction industry to search for an optimal/nearoptimal resource utilization plan that minimizes the construction cost and the time, while maximizing its quality. If durations of the activities are compressed, the cost will increase due to more resources allocated to their rapid accomplishment. On the other hand, using fewer resources will result in extended duration of activities.

In addition to time and cost of activities, every resource utilization option will yield a specific performance quality. In addition to that it might be necessary to finish the project in a specific time to:

- Finish the project in a predefined deadline date.
- Recover early delays.
- Avoid liquidated damages.

### METHODOLOGY

The Methodology for achieving the above objectives are as follows:



#### **BUILDING DETAILS**

- The building is a residential building consisting of 2 floors.
- Each floor has 5 flats.
- Foundation of the building is normal single rectangular foundation
- The building is a framed structure,

#### **ESTIMATION**

The total budgeted estimation the building is Rs.**79,50,000/-**

#### **SCHEDULING**

The total budgeted duration of the project is from  $10^{\rm th}$  Nov 2016 to  $27^{\rm th}$  June 2017.

## FACTORS AFFECTING THE COST & TIME

- Local social problems.
- Environmental conditions.
- Lack of resources.
- Local festivals.

#### TICHNIQUE FOR COST & TIME MANAGEMENT

The cost and time can be manager by using crunching and crashing technique and it is analysed in the primavera software.

## REPORT

## • BY CRUNCHING TECHNIQUE

Tracking										
	Activities Tracking Resources Projects									
Г	La Distance Desirable									
	Visplay: Projects									
	WBS Code	E Actual Total Cost	WBS Name	Total Activities	Earned Value Labor Units					
	∎ 😭 G+2-5	rs7,787,602	sri appartments 1 project	153	5095h					
	🖕 G+2-5.1	rs928	SITE WORK	3	25h					
	🖶 G+2-5.2	rs703,100	FACILITATE AT SITE	3	72h					
	🖶 G+2-5.3	rs413,961	FOUNDATION	11	233h					
	🖶 G+2-5.4	rs287,006	FLINTH BEAM	9	216h					
	🖃 🖬 G+2-5.9	rs1,160,523	GROUND FLOOR	24	848h					
	- 🖶 G+2·5.9.1	rs612,976	COLUMN 1	6	220h					
	- 🖬 G+2-5.9.5	rs353,092	BEAM 1	5	111h					

ACTUAL COST =7787602, Project ends on 5<sup>th</sup> june 2017.

## • BY CRASHING TUCHNIQUE

acking					
Activities Tracking Res	ources Projects				
∨ Display: Projects					
	1		I		
NBS Code	Actual Total Cost	WBS Name	Total Activities	Earned Value Labor Units	A
🖃 😭 G+2-5	rs8,254,269	sri appartments 1 project	153	5095h	
G+2-5.1	rs928	SITE WORK	3	25h	
🖕 G+2-5.2	rs703,100	FACILITATE AT SITE	3	72h	
🖷 G+2-5.3	rs413,961	FOUNDATION	11	233h	
🖷 G+2-5.4	rs287,006	PLINTH BEAM	9	216h	
⊡ 🖬 G+2-5.9	rs1,160,523	GROUND FLOOR	24	848h	
G+2-5.9.1	rs612,976	COLUMN 1	6	220h	
3.02.5.05					

ACTUAL COST =8254269, Project ends on 27<sup>th</sup> june 2017.

### RESULT

The cost and time of the construction project is managed effectly by crunching technique, from that the actual cost will be Rs 7787602 and actual project completion is on  $5^{\text{th}}$  june 2017.

### REFERENCES

- Castro-Lacouture, D., Süer, G., Gonzalez-Joaqui, J., and Yates, J. (2009). "Construction Project Scheduling with Time, Cost, and Material Restrictions Using Fuzzy Mathematical Models and Critical Path Method." J. Constr. Eng. Manage., 135(10), 1096–1104.
- 2. Chassiakos, A. and Sakellaropoulos, S. (2005). "Time-Cost Optimization of Construction Projects with Generalized Activity Constraints." *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.*, 131(10), 1115–1124.
- EhsanEshtehardian(2009)" Optimization of uncertain construction time-cost trade-off problem" Ph.D. Candidate of Construction Management, Department of Civil Engineering of IUST, Tehran, Iran
- 4. El-Kholy.(2013) "New Aspects in Time-Cost Tradeoff Analysis". Associate prof,Dept of civil eng.
- 5. Ezeldin, A. and Soliman, A. (2009). "Hybrid Time-Cost Optimization of Nonserial Repetitive Construction Projects." *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.*, 135(1), 42–55.



- 6. Feng, C., Liu, L., and Burns, S. (2000). "Stochastic Construction Time-Cost Trade-Off Analysis." *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.*, 14(2), 117–126.
- Geem, Z. (2010). "Multiobjective Optimization of Time-Cost Trade-Off Using Harmony Search." J. Constr. Eng. Manage., 136(6), 711
- 8. Hegazy, T. and Wassef, N. (2001). "Cost Optimization in Projects with Repetitive Nonserial Activities." *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.*, 127(3), 183–191.
- 9. Hegazy, T. and Menesi, W. (2010). "Critical Path Segments Scheduling Technique." *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.*, 136(10), 1078–1085.
- 10. Heravi, G. and Nezhad, S. (2012). "A Group Decision Making for StochasticOptimization of Time-Costtime.