

Probability of failure of column and beam in steel structure due to plan irregularities

Vidya Sagar J¹, Manjunath G S²

¹M. Tech, Department of Civil Engineering, The Oxford College of Engineering & Technology, Bengaluru, Karnataka, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, The Oxford College of Engineering & Technology,

Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Abstract - *The evaluation of safety of the structure is an* important task for the engineers. The safety of structure depends mainly on the parameters like Resistance denoted by 'R' of the structure and action denoted by 'S' on the structure. Here action is the function of loads, which are random variables such as live load, wind load etc., and resistance of the structure depends on the physical properties of materials used and geometrical properties of the structure which are probabilistic. The concept wherein several outcomes of a situation are possible is used for Probabilistic approach. Probabilistic modelling aims at the study of a range of outcomes for a given input data. The design variables are random hence it is much more important to assess the safety levels in the probabilistic design situation. Column is the vital most component of the structure, probability of failure of column is linked to the overall safety of the structure. Considering the above an attempt is made to assess the safety of the structure ensured by the design methodology of IS 800-2007.

Key Words: Column-Beam failure, Reliability, Steel structure, Axial load, Uniaxial moments, Biaxial moments.

1. INTRODUCTION

The evaluation of safety of the structure is an important task for the engineers. The safety of structure depends mostly on the parameters like resistance (R) of the structure and action (S) on the structure. The action is the function of loads which are random variables such as live load, wind load etc., and the resistance of structure depends on materials used, geometrical properties of the structure which are probabilistic. Even though it was always known that these above parameters are random variables, no serious attempts were made to consider their random variations, till 1960 in analysis, design and evaluation of safety. It was probably due to the reason that engineers and research workers were not confident of applying probability theory. It was only around 1960 that engineers started to realise the need for the evaluation of safety of the structure taking into the consideration of random variations of the design parameters like loads, height etc.

1.1 Scope of study

The structural safety depends on Resistance (R) of the structure and the Action (S) on the structure. The Action is the function of loads which are random variables. The resistance depends on the physical properties of materials used and geometrical properties of the structure which are probabilistic.

The design variables being random it is much more important to assess the level of safety in the probabilistic design situation. Column being the vital most structural element, probability of failure of column is linked to the overall structural safety hence it's important to assess the safety levels ensured by design methodology of IS 800:2007.

1.2 Objective of study

In the present study, an unsymmetrical structural frame is considered and level 2 reliability of the design of steel flexural members and compression members are carried out as per the code of practice IS 800-2007.

The axial load, uniaxial moments and biaxial moments in a particular column and the statistics and probability distribution of moments and shear in a particular beam are generated on selected steel frame using ETABS 2015.

The data generated is subjected to the statistical analysis. The probability modelling is done using MATLAB. Probability of failure is determined by Monte Carlo simulation. Monte Carlo simulation is used to determine the statistical value of resistance of the members and chi-square 'goodness of fit' test is employed to determine the type of distribution for the variables.

2. METHODOLOGY

A two storey building with the below details is considered Floor height = 3.0 m Spacing of columns = 4.0 m and 6.0 m Column height = 3.0 m ISMB-450 Beam lengths = 4.0 m and 6.0 m ISMB-300 Live load on storey 1 = 19 KN/m



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 04 Issue: 08 | Aug -2017 www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Live load on storey 2 = 6 KN/mThickness of slab = 200mm Grade of concrete = M20

Frame analysis is carried out and the results obtained are used for deterministic design. Bending moment and shear force are used to check the safety of the beam. Axial load and moments along minor axis and major axis are used to check the safety of the column. If suppose the sections fail it has to be revised in the deterministic design.

2.1. Generation of load and resistance statistics

For the probabilistic design the standard normal deviates are obtained by generating two uniform random numbers v1 and v2 with a uniform density range between 0 to 1. After which the standard normal variates u1 and u2 are calculated. In the present study, the variations are applied for f_{ck} characteristic strength of concrete in slab, live load on slab, depth of slab, length of beam, live load on beam and height of column. For 100 values of standard normal variates the above parameters are applied and 100 number of times frame analysis is carried out in ETABS and results are used to find the probability of failure of columns and beams of the structure.

2.2. RANDOM VARIABLES

The numerical variable whose specific values cannot be predicted with certainty before an experiment is known as Random variable. The assumed value of a random variable associated with an experiment depends on the result or outcome of the experiment. This value we get is associated with every simple defined on the sample space but different simple events may have the same associated value of random variable. e.g. The wind speed at a location, compressive strength of the concrete, etc.

Table-1: Random variables

V1	V2	U1	U2	V1	V2	U1	U2
0.179	0.738	-0.14	-1.85	0.615	0.324	-0.442	0.881
0.26	0.736	-0.144	-1.635	0.428	0.479	-1.291	0.171
0.8	0.124	0.475	0.469	0.863	0.396	-0.431	0.33
0.112	0.384	-1.561	1.394	0.203	0.175	0.811	1.591
0.746	0.893	0.599	-0.477	0.529	0.213	0.26	1.098
0.191	0.32	-0.775	1.646	0.791	0.59	-0.578	-0.367
0.792	0.776	0.111	-0.674	0.437	0.456	-1.238	0.351
0.842	0.231	0.07	0.582	0.571	0.064	0.974	0.414
0.42	0.645	-0.807	-1.041	0.134	0.285	-0.437	1.957
0.495	0.844	0.66	-0.985	0.81	0.692	-0.231	-0.607
0.683	0.158	0.477	0.731	0.51	0.401	-0.943	0.676
0.569	0.924	0.943	-0.488	0.69	0.351	-0.511	0.694
0.419	0.784	0.28	-1.289	0.807	0.596	-0.539	-0.371
0.974	0.561	-0.213	-0.086	0.667	0.085	0.775	0.458
0.942	0.047	0.331	0.101	0.015	0.276	-0.471	2.86

0.802	0.097	0.545	0.38	0.168	0.047	1.807	0.55
0.878	0.079	0.449	0.243	0.729	0.575	-0.708	-0.361
0.301	0.852	0.927	-1.242	0.139	0.058	1.856	0.708
0.992	0.862	0.082	-0.097	0.435	0.6	-1.044	-0.758
0.658	0.391	-0.709	0.579	0.29	0.684	-0.634	-1.44
0.037	0.894	2.019	-1.587	0.575	0.53	-1.033	-0.197
0.306	0.168	0.758	1.339	0.167	0.736	-0.166	-1.885
0.383	0.117	1.028	0.929	0.938	0.184	0.144	0.327
0.192	0.975	1.794	-0.284	0.773	0.859	0.454	-0.556
0.178	0.077	1.645	0.864	0.53	0.43	-1.02	0.48

2.3. Variations in resistance parameters

The requirements in the reliability study is the collection of data on the physical properties of the materials of the structure, strength of the materials used and the geometric parameters of the sections and statistical analysis of the structure. In the present study, the variations applied for various parameters of resistance which include Geometrical properties, Material properties and loads are as follows:

Table-2: Geometrical and Material Property Variations

SL NO	PROPERTIES	% of variations
1	Geometrical Depth of slab Length of beam Height of column	10 03 03
2	Material Characteristic cube compressive strength of concrete	20

2.4. Generation of normal variates

Table-3: Normal variates for slab. beam and column

		SLAB			COLUM						
SI		JLAD		L(6m							
NO	fck	D	LL))	(19)	(6)	Н			
	19.9	199.9	2.9								
1	7	9	4	5.996	3.996	18.972	5.972	2.996			
	19.9	199.9	2.9								
2	7	9	4	5.996	3.996	18.971	5.971	2.996			
	20.1	200.0	3.1								
3	0	5	9	6.014	4.014	19.095	6.095	3.014			
	19.6	199.8	2.3								
4	9	4	8	5.953	3.953	18.688	5.688	2.953			
	20.1	200.0	3.2								
5	2	6	4	6.018	4.018	19.120	6.120	3.018			
	19.8	199.9	2.6								
6	5	2	9	5.977	3.977	18.845	5.845	2.977			
	20.0	200.0	3.0								
7	2	1	4	6.003	4.003	19.022	6.022	3.003			
	20.0	200.0	3.0								
8	1	1	3	6.002	4.002	19.014	6.014	3.002			
	19.8	199.9	2.6								
9	4	2	8	5.976	3.976	18.839	5.839	2.976			
10	20.1	200.0	3.2	6.020	4.020	19.132	6.132	3.020			

© 2017, IRJET | Impact Factor value: 5.181 | ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal | Page 1023



🍌 International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

IRJET Volume: 04 Issue: 08 | Aug -2017

www.irjet.net

	3	7	6							50	19.8	199.9	2.5	F 060	2.060	19 706	F 706	2.060
11	20.1 0	200.0	3.1 9	6.014	4.014	19.095	6.095	3.014	-	50	19.6	0 199.8 2	2.2	5.969	3.909	18,630	5.790	2.969
12	20.1 9	200.0	3.3 8	6.028	4.028	19.189	6.189	3.028	-	 E2	19.6 7	199.8	2.3	5.945	2 051	10.030	5.030	2.943
13	20.0 6	200.0	3.1 1	6.008	4.008	19.056	6.056	3.008	-	52	20.0	200.0	3.1	6.014	4.014	10.073	6.004	2.931
14	19.9 6	199.9 8	2.9 1	5.994	3.994	18.957	5.957	2.994	-	55	20.2	200.1	3.5	6.042	4.014	10.270	6 270	2.042
15	20.0 7	200.0	3.1 3	6.010	4.010	19.066	6.066	3.010	-	55	19.9	4 199.9 5	2.8	5 986	3 086	19.279	5 905	2 986
16	20.1	200.0	3.2	6.016	4.016	19.109	6.109	3.016	-	56	20.3	200.1	3.6	6.049	4 049	19 3 2 9	6 3 2 9	3.049
17	20.0	200.0	3.1 8	6.013	4.013	19.090	6.090	3.013	-	57	19.8 7	199.9	2.7	5 980	3 980	18.865	5.865	2 980
18	20.1	200.0	3.3	6.028	4.028	19.185	6.185	3.028	-	58	20.1	200.0	3.2	6.017	4.017	19.116	6.116	3.017
19	20.0	200.0	3.0	6.002	4.002	19.016	6.016	3.002	-	59	19.7 9	199.9 0	2.5	5 969	3 9 6 9	18 792	5 792	2 969
20	19.8 6	199.9 3	2.7	5.979	3.979	18.858	5.858	2.979	-	60	19.8	199.9	2.6	5.970	3.970	18 803	5.803	2.909
21	20.4	200.2	3.8	6.061	4.061	19.404	6.404	3.061	-	61	20.1	200.0	3.2	6.022	4 022	19 146	6.146	3.022
22	20.1	200.0	3.3 0	6.023	4.023	19.152	6.152	3.023	-	62	19.9 0	199.9 5	2.8	5 985	3 985	18 902	5 902	2.985
23	20.2	200.1	3.4 1 2.7	6.031	4.031	19.206	6.206	3.031	-	63	19.7 4	199.8 7	2.4 8	5.961	3.961	18.742	5.742	2.961
24	20.3 6 20.2	200.1 8 200.1	3.7 2	6.054	4.054	19.359	6.359	3.054	-	64	19.9 8	199.9 9	2.9 7	5.997	3.997	18.983	5.983	2.997
25	20.3 3 10.0	200.1 6 100.0	5.0 6 2.8	6.049	4.049	19.329	6.329	3.049		65	20.0 2	200.0 1	3.0 4	6.003	4.003	19.020	6.020	3.003
26	19.7	6 199.8	2.0	5.987	3.987	18.912	5.912	2.987		66	20.0 8	200.0 4	3.1 5	6.011	4.011	19.076	6.076	3.011
27	4	7	8 2.4 2.8	5.961	3.961	18.742	5.742	2.961	-	67	20.0 5	200.0 2	3.1 0	6.007	4.007	19.049	6.049	3.007
28	1	6	3	5.987	3.987	18.914	5.914	2.987	-	68	19.7 5	199.8 8	2.5 0	5.963	3.963	18.752	5.752	2.963
29	<u>6</u>	8	2	6.024	4.024	19.162	6.162	3.024	-	69	19.9 8	199.9 9	2.9 6	5.997	3.997	18.981	5.981	2.997
30	5 19.8	3	0	6.008	4.008	19.052	6.052	3.008	-	70	20.1 2	200.0 6	3.2 3	6.017	4.017	19.116	6.116	3.017
31	8	4	2, 7 2.5	5.983	3.983	18.884	5.884	2.983		71	19.6 8	199.8 4	2.3 7	5.952	3.952	18.683	5.683	2.952
32	5	8	0	5.963	3.963	18.752	5.752	2.963		72	20.2 7	200.1 3	3.5 4	6.040	4.040	19.268	6.268	3.040
33	9	0	9 2.8	6.029	4.029	19.195	6.195	3.029		73	20.1 9	200.0 9	3.3 7	6.028	4.028	19.186	6.186	3.028
34	1 19.9	6 199.9	3	5.987	3.987	18.913	5.913	2.987		74	19.9 4	199.9 7	2.8 9	5.991	3.991	18.943	5.943	2.991
35	5 19.8	8 199.9	1 2.6	5.993	3.993	18.954	5.954	2.993		75	20.1 7	200.0 9	3.3 5	6.026	4.026	19.173	6.173	3.026
36	1 19.9	1 199.9	2 2.8	5.972	3.972	18.811	5.811	2.972		76	20.1 8	200.0 9	3.3 5	6.026	4.026	19.176	6.176	3.026
37	0 19.8	5 199.9	0 2.7	5.985	3.985	18.898	5.898	2.985	-	77	20.0 3	200.0 2	3.0 7	6.005	4.005	19.034	6.034	3.005
38	9 20.1	5 200.0	8 3.3	5.984	3.984	18.892	5.892	2.984	-	78	20.0 7	200.0 3	3.1 3	6.010	4.010	19.066	6.066	3.010
39	5 19.9	8 199.9	1 2.8	6.023	4.023	19.155	6.155	3.023	-	79	20.3	200.1	3.6	6.048	4.048	19.318	6.318	3.048
40	1 20.3	5 200.1	1 3.7	5.986	3.986	18.906	5.906	2.986	-	80	20.2	200.1	3.4	6.033	4.033	19.220	6.220	3.033
41	6 19.8	8 199.9	2 2.7	6.054	4.054	19.361	6.361	3.054	-	81	19.9 3	199.9 6	2.8 5	5.989	3.989	18.927	5.927	2.989
42	6 20.3	3 200.1	2 3.7	5.979	3.979	18.858	5.858	2.979	-	82	20.0	200.0	3.1	6.011	4.011	19.070	6.070	3.011
43	7 19.7	9 199.9	4 2.5	6.056	4.056	19.371	6.371	3.056	-	83	20.0	200.0	3.1 7	6.012	4.012	19.083	6.083	3.012
44	9 19.8	0 199.9	8 2.7	5.969	3.969	18.791	5.791	2.969	-	84	20.3 9	200.2 0	3.7	6.059	4.059	19.391	6.391	3.059
45	7 19.7	4 199.9	5 2.5	5.981	3.981	18.873	5.873	2.981		85	19.8 8	199.9 4	2./ 6	5.982	3.982	18.879	5.879	2.982
46	9 19.9	0 199.9	9 2.9	5.969	3.969	18.793	5.793	2.969		86	20.1 4	200.0	3.2 7	6.020	4.020	19.135	6.135	3.020
47	7 20.0	8 200.0	3 3.0	5.995	3.995	18.967	5.967	2.995		87	20.1 4	200.0 7	3.2 8	6.021	4.021	19.139	6.139	3.021
48	3 20.0	1 200.0	6 3.1	6.004	4.004	19.029	6.029	3.004		88	19.9 3	199.9 6	2.8 5	5.989	3.989	18.926	5.926	2.989
49	9	5	8	6.014	4.014	19.091	6.091	3.014		89	20.0	200.0	3.1	6.014	4.014	19.092	6.092	3.014

© 2017, IRJET | Impact Factor value: 5.181 | ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal | Page 1024



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISS

ET Volume: 04 Issue: 08 | Aug -2017

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

	9	5	8					
	20.5	200.2	4.1					
90	7	9	4	6.086	4.086	19.572	6.572	3.086
	20.1	200.0	3.2					
91	1	5	2	6.016	4.016	19.110	6.110	3.016
	19.9	199.9	2.8					
92	3	6	6	5.989	3.989	18.928	5.928	2.989
	20.1	200.0	3.2					
93	4	7	8	6.021	4.021	19.142	6.142	3.021
	19.8	199.9	2.7					
94	5	2	0	5.977	3.977	18.848	5.848	2.977
	19.7	199.8	2.4					
95	1	6	2	5.957	3.957	18.712	5.712	2.957
	19.9	199.9	2.9					
96	6	8	2	5.994	3.994	18.961	5.961	2.994
	19.6	199.8	2.2					
97	2	1	5	5.943	3.943	18.623	5.623	2.943
	20.0	200.0	3.1					
98	7	3	3	6.010	4.010	19.065	6.065	3.010
	19.8	199.9	2.7					
99	9	4	8	5.983	3.983	18.889	5.889	2.983
	20.1	200.0	3.1					
100	0	5	9	6.014	4.014	19.096	6.096	3.014

3. RESULTS

PROBABILITY OF FAILURE OF BEAM (ISMB-300)

i. Shear = Very low {<10)-6]
--------------------------	------

- ii. Flexure = Very low $\{<10^{-6}\}$
- iii. Deflection = 6/100

PROBABILITY OF FAILURE OF COLUMN (ISMB-450) Combined axial force and biaxial bending = 13/100

3.1. Histograms and probability distribution curve for beams



Fig 1. Histogram for Moment M3 of ISMB 300 Beam section



Fig 2. Normal Distribution curve for Moment M3 of ISMB 300 Beam section



Fig 3. Log Normal Distribution curve for Moment M3 of ISMB 300 Beam section



Fig 4. Histogram for Shear V2 of ISMB 300 Beam section



Fig 5. Normal Distribution curve for Shear V2 of ISMB 300 Beam section



Fig 6. Log Normal Distribution curve for Shear V2 of ISMB 300 Beam section

3.2. Histograms and probability distribution curve for columns



Fig 7. Histogram for Axial Load P of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 8. Normal Curve for Axial Load P of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 9. Log Normal Curve for Axial Load P of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 10. Histogram for Moment M3 (Top) of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 11. Normal Curve for Moment M3 (Top) of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 12. Log Normal Curve for Moment M3 (Top) of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 13. Histogram for Moment M2 (Top) of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 14. Normal Curve for Moment M2 (Top) of ISMB 450 column section



Fig 15. Log Normal Curve for Moment M2 (Top) of ISMB 450 column section

4. CONCLUSIONS

- The deterministic design methodology suggested by IS 800:2007 by adopting limit state method with appropriate partial safety factors is assessed for explicit level of safety by using methods of Reliability.
- Monte Carlo digital simulation is used to generate random variables by using the statistics of design variables. The statistics of design variables are taken from literature. MATLAB is used to conduct the digital simulation.
- The statistics of action are generated by repeatedly analysing a multi storeyed steel frame using ETABS software. The randomly generated values of design variables are supplied as input to the program. Similarly, the statistics of resistance are generated using the same technique.
- The safety margin is defined as difference between Action and Resistance. When the random values of resistance (R) and action (S) are generated, check is made if R < S. If so, it is counted as failure. The probability of failure is the ratio of

Number of such failures

Number of simulations

• With the above listed procedure, the probability of failure in each limit state is listed below

Limit State	Flexure	Shear	Deflection				
BEAMS	Very low	Very low	(6/100) = 0.06				
	(<10-6)	(<10-6)					
Limit State o	of Combin	Combined Axial force and Bending					
COLUMNS		(13/100) = 0.13					

• It is possible to evaluate the explicit level of safety of a steel beam and a steel column design by using the specification of IS 800:2007. The overall factor of safety is implicitly built into deterministic design but it is not explicitly known to the designer. The reliability analysis helps to obtain the explicit level of safety by using a given design philosophy.

- It may be concluded that probability failure in limit state of Flexure and Shear for a beam is very low and is of order of 10^{-6} which is almost equal_{2×10}to zero. However, probability of failure in limit state of deflection is found to be 2×10^{-2} .
- The present work attempts to demonstrate the procedure for evaluating safety level in terms of probability failure by using the methods of reliability analysis.
- The advantage of reliability analysis is that it helps to formulate the basis for reliability based design and this will help the designer to design the structural elements for a known probability of failure.

REFERENCES

- 1) R. Ranganathan, Reliability Analysis and Design of Structures, Tata Mc Graw hill Publishing Company limited.
- 2) S. Ramamrutham, Design of Steel Structures, Dhanpat Rai Publishing Company limited.
- Ravi G, (1987), "Reliability studies of Steel Structures in Flexure, Tension and Compression", MTech Thesis, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.
- Yadunandan C.N, Sundararju Iyengar K.T, (2000), "Partial Load Safety Factor for Strength Design of Steel Structures", IE(I) Journal-CV, Vol.81, pp 33-36.
- 5) Andrzej s. Nowak, Kevin R. Collins, (2000), "Reliability of Structure's", McGraw Hill Publishers, United States of America.
- 6) CIRIA Construction Industry Research and Information Association (1977), Report 63, "Rationalisation of Safety and Serviceability factors in structural codes", London.
- 7) Idrish A., Edache P, (2007), "Reliability Analysis of Simply Supported Steel Beams", Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science 1(1), INSInet publications pp 20-29.
- 8) N Subramanian, Design of Steel Structures, Oxford University press.
- 9) Samik Raychaudhuri, INTRODUCTION TO MONTE CARLO SIMULATION, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India.

- 10) Dr. Vasant Matsagar, Design of Steel Structures, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
- 11) V.N. Vazirani, M.M. Ratwani, Vineet Kumar, Design and Analysis of Steel Structures, Khanna Publishers.
- 12) IS: 800-2007, "General construction in steel Code of practise", Bureau of India Standards, New Delhi.
- 13) SP: 6(1) 1964, "Handbook for Structural Engineers", Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.

BIOGRAPHY



VIDYA SAGAR J ¹

B. tech in Civil Engineering from Gopalan College of Engineering, MTech in structural engineering from The Oxford College of Engineering [VISVESVARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, BELGAUM]