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#### Abstract

Design of Bell-Mouth Spillway has special features, because the hydraulic behavior of this structure is strongly related to boundary topography crest diameter, curve or bend downstream, spillway profile and the funnel dimension towards the outlet section. In the case of Barvi Dam which is located on Barvi River near Badlapur in Thane district which has flooding nature mostly. So to overcome this condition, a solution adopted by foreign countries to stop the flowing water a Bell Mouth Spillway is widely used. The objective of this investigation is to study the flow conditions in Bell Mouth Spillway in order to develop design information. In this project we design spillway on the basis of determination of flow pattern, control condition, model capacity and inlet outlet data correspondence to dam site. The major effort of this investigation was to determine experimentally the flow conditions associated with incipient sealing in the horizontal leg of the spillway.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Spillway is a hydraulic structure which is used to divert overflow water from upstream to downstream side and prevent the overflow condition in reservoir.

## i. BELL-MOUTH SPILLWAY

Bell-mouth is a funnel shaped structure by which water enter through entire perimeter. Bell-mouth is an uncontrolled spillway which can be controlled by providing mechanical gates. E.g. Morning Glory spillway, Plug hole .

## ii. COMPONENTS OF BELL-MOUTH SPILLWAY

1) Crest
2) Mechanical gate/ Overflow piers
3) Transition tube
4) Vertical shaft
5) Circular bottom bend
6) Tunnel/Conduit


Fig No1: Cross section of bell-mouth

## 2. NEED OF PROJECT

- To create head for a turbine.
- To control the flood situation.
- Use the overflow water for the benefit for a city as shown below

1) For irrigation.
2) For Industrial use.
3) For domestic purpose.

Due to completion of $3^{\text {rd }}$ stage of Barvi dam flood situation is developed. Due to this reason people residing there need to migrate.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

| Year | Annual Rainfall |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2016 | 2437 |
| 2015 | 1841 |
| 2014 | 2840 |
| 2013 | 3798 |
| 2012 | 2431 |

Table No1: Rainfall data
3.1 Calculation of design flood

Catchment Area $=166.08 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
From Inglis Formula,
Approximate Discharge,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\frac{124 \mathrm{~A}}{\sqrt{A+10.4}} \\
& =1550.21 \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{3}}{\mathrm{sec}}
\end{aligned}
$$

1) Design Flood = 3118 cumec
\& coefficient of Discharge, $C_{d}=0.2$
2) R.L. of Foundation Level $=48.78 \mathrm{~m}$
R.L. of Spillway Crest $=68.6 \mathrm{~m}$

Total Height of Dam $=76.22 \mathrm{~m}$
Therefore, Height of Shaft $=68.6-48.78$

$$
\mathrm{H}=19.82 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Assuming or constructing two No. of bell mouth spillway
For one bell mouth,
Discharge $=\frac{Q}{2}=\frac{3118}{2}=1559$ cumecs
3.2 To find Diameter of Shaft

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} & =\frac{2}{3} C_{d} \sqrt{2 g} \pi \mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{H}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
1559 & =\frac{2}{3} \times 0.2 * \sqrt{2 * 9.81} * \pi^{* D} \\
\mathrm{D} & =9.52=10 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check for Diameter/Radius:-

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{2}{3} C_{d} \sqrt{2 g} \pi \mathrm{D} H^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Where, Ha is the difference between the water surface and the elevation under consideration. Assuming losses to account for contraction, friction, etc. as $10 \%$ of the head

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{R}=0.275 \frac{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{H d^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\
\mathrm{R}=0.275 * \frac{1559^{\frac{1}{2}}}{19.82^{\frac{1}{4}}}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}=5.15 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{D}=10.3 \mathrm{~m}$
Diameter of shaft $=10.3 \mathrm{~m}$
3.3 Calculate the crest discharge Q :

$$
\mathrm{Q}=C_{o}\left(2 \pi R_{s}\right) H_{o}{ }^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Where,
$C_{o}=$ Coefficient of circular crest
$R_{s}=$ Radius of crest circle
$H_{o}=$ Total head over the solid crest


Fig No 2: crest profile
We have, Distance from the cross section in question to the crest,

$$
H_{s}=72.6-68.2=4 \mathrm{~m}
$$

To Find:- $H_{o}$
Assuming $Y_{s}=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$
Therefore, $H_{o}=H_{s}-Y_{s}=3.6 \mathrm{~m}$

### 3.4 To Find COEFFICIENT OF DISCHARGE (Co)

$$
\text { 1) } \begin{aligned}
& \frac{H_{O}}{H_{S}}=\frac{3.6}{5.15} \\
& =0.7
\end{aligned}
$$

| Ho/R <br> (range) | PROFILE |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<=0.45$ | FREE FLOW |
| $0.45<\mathrm{X}<1$ | PARTLY SUBMERGED |
| $>=1$ | FULLY SUBMERGED |

TABLE NO 2: Nappe profile
So, $\mathrm{Ho} / \mathrm{R}$ is between 0.45 and 1 Therefore weir is partly submerged.

$$
\text { 2) } \begin{aligned}
\frac{P}{R_{S}} & =\frac{19.82}{5.15} \\
& =3.85 \\
\frac{P}{R_{S}} & \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{P}=$ height of the crest shaft spillway from the bed

Therefore,,$\frac{P}{R_{S}} \geq 2 \& \frac{H_{S}}{R_{S}}=0.78$


Fig No 3: Discharge characteristics based on Wagner


Fig No 4: Coefficient of discharge for heads other than design head

We get, $\mathrm{Co}=1.38$
Therefore, $\mathrm{Q}=1.38 \times 2 \times \pi \times 5.15 \times 3.6^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$

$$
\mathrm{Q}=305.01 \text { cumecs }
$$

Therefore, Total discharge from two spillway $=2 * \mathrm{Q}$

$$
=2 * 305.01
$$

$$
Q=610.02 \text { cumecs }
$$

3.5 Tunnel Design


FIG NO 5: Barvi dam cross section

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =\tan ^{-1} \frac{1}{0.82} \\
\theta & =50.65 \\
\tan (50.65) & =\frac{65.55}{x} \\
X & =53.75 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, total length $=61.25 \mathrm{~m}$ Length of dam in $C / S=61.25 \mathrm{~m}$

### 3.6 To find conduit diameter

We establish portal invert elevation or Rl of conduit placing $=48.78 \cong 49 \mathrm{~m}$ (assumed foundation level)

Case (1) The radius of the overflow crest may be any size, and sub atmospheric pressures along crest must be minimized:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\emptyset=5.6 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{R}=2.8 \mathrm{~m} \\
\mathrm{~A}=0.75 \pi r^{2}=0.75^{*} \pi * 2.8^{2}=18.47 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
\text { Velocity }==\frac{305.01}{18.47}=16.51 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=54.17 \mathrm{feet} / \mathrm{sec} \\
h_{v}=\frac{V^{2}}{64.4}=\frac{54.17^{2}}{64.4}=45.56 \text { feet } \\
\frac{d}{D}=0.702 \\
r=0.2964 * 5.6 * 3.28=5.44
\end{gathered}
$$

Submergence depth above crest cross section area of shaft,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& S=\left(\frac{V n}{1.486 r^{3}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{54.17 * 0.017}{1.486 * 5.444^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right)^{2} \\
&=0.04
\end{aligned} \\
& h_{f}=0.063 * 61.25 * 3.28=8.06 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

The invert elevation at the downstream portal of the conduit will then be equal to
(1) The elevation of the throat, plus
(2) The velocity head at the throat, minus
(3) The velocity head in the conduit flowing 75 percent
full, minus
(4) The friction losses in the conduit, minus
(5) The depth of flow at the downstream portal.

The required portal invert elevation for this trial conduit diameter is approximately

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Required portal elevation }=68.6^{*} 3.28+\frac{1}{1.1}(72.6 \text { - } \\
& 68.6)^{*} 3.28-45.56-8.06-0.702 * 5.15^{*} 3.25 \\
& =170.42 \text { feet } \\
& \stackrel{\cong}{\mathbf{5 1 . 9 6} \mathbf{~ m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, actual losses through the conduit be larger at invert elevation this diameter is correct

Required diameter of conduit or tunnel $=5.6 \mathrm{~m}$


FIG No 6: Tunnel c/s
3.7 FORCES ACTING ON BELLMOUTH


Fig No 6: Forces on shaft of bell-mouth Table forces

## 1. Water Pressure

Table No 3: Water Pressure

| Description | Force kN | Lever <br> Arm | Moment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water <br> pressure | 1926.84 | 6.61 | 12736.41 |

## 2. Self Weight

Table No 4: Self Weight

| Description | Force kN | Lever <br> Arm | Moment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self Weight | 30964.88 | 2.575 | 79734.57 |

3. Silt Pressure

Table No 5: Silt Pressure

| Description | Force kN | Lever <br> Arm | Moment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silt <br> Pressure | 15.78 | 1.33 | 20.99 |

4. Earthquake Pressure

Table No 6: Earthquake Pressure

| Description | Force kN | Lever <br> Arm | Moment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthquake <br> Pressure | 246.76 |  | 2014.23 |

5. Inertial Force due to earthquake

Table No 7: Inertial force Due To earthquake

| Description | Force kN | Lever <br> Arm | Moment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inertial <br> Force due <br> to <br> earthquake | 2786.84 | 2.575 | 7176.11 |

6. Total Loads

Table No 8: Load Combination

| Description | Force kN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | V |
| Water pressure | 1926.84 |  |
| Self Weight |  | 30964.88 |
| Silt Pressure | 15.78 |  |


| Earthquake Pressure | 246.76 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inertial Force due to <br> earthquake | 3715.79 | -2786.84 |
| TOTAL | 5905.17 | 28178.04 |

Table No 9: Load Combination

| Description | Moment (KNm) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mr | Mo |
| Water pressure |  | 12736.41 |
| Self-Weight | 79734.57 |  |
| Silt Pressure |  | 20.99 |
| Earthquake Pressure |  | 2014.23 |
| Inertial Force due to <br> earthquake |  | 7176.11 <br>  <br> TOTAL |

Where , $\mathrm{H}=$ horizontal force, $\mathrm{V}=$ vertical force
$\mathrm{Mr}=$ resisting moment, $\mathrm{Mo}=$ overturning moment

## Stability check :-

FOS Overturning (F.S.) $=\frac{\Sigma M+}{\Sigma M-}=\frac{79734.57}{31515.95}=2.53$
FOS Against Sliding (F.S.S.) $=\frac{\mu \Sigma V}{\Sigma H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{0.55 * 28178.04}{5905.17} \\
& =2.62
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of stresses
Normal stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{x}} & =\frac{\Sigma M_{s}}{\Sigma V}=\frac{48218.62}{28178.04}=1.71 \mathrm{~m} \\
\mathrm{e} & =\frac{b}{2}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{5.15}{2}-1.71=0.865 \mathrm{~m} \\
P_{n \max } / \min & =\frac{\Sigma V}{b}\left(1 \pm \frac{\sigma e}{b}\right) \\
& =\frac{28178.04}{61.25}\left(1 \pm \frac{680.865}{61.25}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P_{\max }=499.26 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad P_{\min }=420.84 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}>0
$$

$$
\therefore \text { safe }
$$

Principle Stress, $\sigma=p_{n \sec \emptyset^{2}}=499.26 * \sec (40)^{2}$

$$
=850.78
$$

Shear stress, $\tau=P_{n \tan \emptyset}=499.26 * \tan (40)$

$$
=41.93 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

3.8 Losses in shaft and tunnel/conduit

1. Friction loss in shaft and in the tunnel


$$
\mathrm{N}=\text { Manning's constant }=0.017
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}=305.01 \text { cumecs }
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}=\pi R^{2}=\pi * 5.15^{2}
$$

$$
R_{H}=5.15 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
L=19.82+61.25=81.07 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
\mathrm{SL}=81.07 * \mathrm{n}\left(\frac{0.017^{2}}{5.15^{\frac{4}{3}}}\right) *\left(\frac{305.01^{2}}{83.32^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
=0.035 \mathrm{~m}
$$

2. Entrance loss

$$
\begin{gathered}
=0.05 \frac{Q^{2}}{2 g A^{2}} \\
=\frac{0.05 * 305.01^{2}}{2 * 9.81 * 83.32^{2}}=0.034 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

3. Losses at bend

$$
\left(0.13+1.8 \frac{r^{\frac{7}{2}}}{P}\right)\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{2 g A^{2}}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{P}=$ Radius of bend $=2.8 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{R}=$ Radius of shaft $=5.15 \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(0.13+1.8 * \frac{5.15^{\frac{7}{2}}}{2.8}\right)\left(\frac{305.01^{2}}{2 * 9.81 *\left(\pi * 2.575^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$

$$
=12.12 \mathrm{~m}
$$

4. Exit loss
$0.2\left(1-\left(\frac{A}{A_{1}}\right)^{n}\right)\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{2 g A^{2}}\right)$
$\mathrm{A}=$ cross section of tunnel
$A_{1}=$ wetted area at the tunnel exit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.2\left[1-\left(\frac{0.75 * \pi * R^{2}}{\pi * R^{2}}\right)\right] *\left(\frac{305.01^{2}}{2 * 9.81 *\left(\pi * 2.8^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =0.39 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total loss of head from tunnel and shaft

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =12.12+0.39+0.034+0.035 \\
& =12.579 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Table No 10: Design parameter

| PARAMETER | VALUE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total design flood | 3118 cumec |
| Height of shaft (H) | 19.82 m |
| No of bellmouth spillway | 2 nos |
| Diameter of shaft | 10.3 m |
| Bottom diameter of shaft | Partly submerged |
| Condition of bellmouth <br> spillway | 305.01 cumec |
| Discharge from one <br> bellmouth spillway | 610.02 cumec |
| Combined discharge of two <br> bellmouth spillway | 61.25 m |
| Length of Tunnel/conduit | $48.76 \sim 49 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Invert elevation of <br> conduit/Tunnel | 5.6 m |
| Diameter of <br> conduit/Tunnel | 12.579 m |
| Total loss of head through <br> tunnel and shaft |  |

## 4. CONCLUSION

1. Flood situation of barvi dam and surrounding region can be controlled by constructing bellmouth spillway.
2. Hydroelectricity can be generated by installing the turbines through cannels.
3. Excess water can be used for agriculture and industrial purpose.
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Fig No 6: Design C/S of Bellmouth

