

# Assessing the Efficacy of Warm Mix Asphalt

# Tanuj parmar<sup>1</sup>, Nilam Gamit<sup>2</sup>, Nidhi Parmar<sup>3</sup>, Chaudhari Vaishali<sup>4</sup>, Gharasiya Himani<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Government Engineering College, Surat (GJ), India. <sup>2,3,4,5</sup> B.E. Student, Government Engineering College, Surat, Gujarat, India. \*\*\*

**Abstract** - This paper focus on the research of the attributes and performance of warm mix asphalt containing compound added substance. Viscosity grade 30 bitumen was used for this study and the WMA added substances used as a part of this review were Evotherm and Sasobit. Consistency tests demonstrated the utilized warm mix additives are within permissible limit. Information got from indirect tensile test, tensile strength ratio test demonstrated that the blends containing warm mix asphalt added substances performed better in contrast with hot mix asphalt. Warm mix asphalt samples indicated lesser aggregate lasting strain gathering in contrast with HMA specimens, Sasobit altered warm mix asphalt specimens demonstrated the minimum deformation.

#### *Key Words: Warm Mix Asphalt; Marshall Stability; Moisture Susceptibility*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The hot mix asphalt (HMA) industry searches for rising developments that diminish natural impact in the midst of formation of bituminous clearing materials. Warm mix asphalt is nonspecific term for a grouping of advances that allow the creators of hot mix asphalt to cut down the temperatures at which the material is mixed and set all over the place in India (Hurley and Prowell, 2004 and Anderson et al. 2008). The possibility of WMA was introduced in late 1990's in Europe. After that different WMA shapes have been made in Europe and the United States (Mallick et al. 2007, Prowell et al. 2007). Regardless of the way that there is no standard measure of workability of dark best clearing blends, a couple of researchers have made correct tests to check workability of clearing mix for relative purposes. A

couple of researchers have used workability tests as a piece of an undertaking to choose which WMA advancements give improved workability, what estimation of WMA included substance gives perfect workability and compaction (Bennert et al. (2010). The mixing and compaction temperatures are typically chosen the introduce of the temperature-consistency chart. The thickness is considered as a suitable marker for the estimation of cover workability (Airey et al., 2008). Cut down mixing temperatures can achieve poor bitumen covering and along these lines make the bituminous mix powerless to suddenness hurt (L Mo et al., 2012). Decreased compaction temperatures furthermore provoke to lacking mix compaction which can achieve inopportune asphalt disappointment. Writing study reveals that consistency diminish is by all record not by any means the only framework that backings decreased creation temperatures for WMAs (Hanz et al. (2010), yet the lubricity effects of these warm blend included substances into the latch were essential to propel blend workability and compact ability at bring down temperatures (Fabricio and Randy, 2008). This paper hopes to inquire about the execution of warm mix asphalt containing two primary classifications of WMA innovations included substances at brought down temperature, which can lead down to a decrease in configuration black-top substance if joined in the mix setup process.

### 2. RESEARCH RUBRIC

#### **2.1 AGGREGATE TEST FOR THE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT**

Aggregate with specific characteristics is used for road laying. The aggregate is chosen on the basis of its strength, porosity and moisture absorption capacity. The aggregate properties are shown in Table 1

| Sr.<br>No | Property                                       | Test   | Recommended Value<br>as per MoRTH 500-8 | Test Results            |  |
|-----------|--|--|---|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |  | pass 30-ret 24mm-0.34%                  |                         |  |
| 1         | Cleanliness (dust)                             | Grain size anallysis                             | max 5% passing<br>0.075 IS- Seive       | pass 24-ret 14 mm-0.46% |  |
|           |  |  |   | pass 14-ret 6mm-0.81%   |  |
| 2         | Particle shape IS:2386( part-1 )-<br>1963      | Flackiness and Elongation<br>Indices(combined)   | 35% max                                 | 28%                     |  |
| 3         | Strength,IS-2386(part-4)-1963                  | aggregate impact value                           | 27% max                                 | 14%                     |  |
|           |  |  | Soundness                               |                         |  |
| 4         | Durability IS-2386(part-5)-1963                | Magnesium sulphate                               | max 18%                                 | 0.58%                   |  |
|           |  | Sodium sulphate n                                |   | 0.27%                   |  |
| 5         | Stripping IS-6241                              | Coating and stripping bitumen aggregate mixtures | min retained coating<br>95%             | 93%                     |  |
| 6         | Water absorption<br>value,IS:2386(part-3)-1963 | water absorption                                 | 2% max                                  | 1.24%                   |  |

Table 1 Summary of Aggregate Test

Representative samples of each aggregate were obtained from producer stockpiles, chikhali quarry, Gujarat for the dense bituminous macadam (DBM) blend. An aggregate blend was determined to meet Job-Mix Formula (JMF) gradation requirements, designed for 26.5 mm nominal size aggregate gradation as per Indian Specifications as given in Table 2.

#### Table 2 Aggregate Gradation for DBM Grade II

| IS Sieve | Recommended Range<br>(MoRTH-500-10) | Grading Adopted(%<br>passing) |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Size(mm) | Total % by Weight                   | of Aggregate passing          |
| 37.5     | 100                                 | 100                           |
| 26.5     | 90-100                              | 92                            |
| 19       | 71-95                               | 85                            |
| 13.2     | 56-80                               | 70                            |
| 4.75     | 38-54                               | 48                            |
| 2.36     | 28-42                               | 36                            |
| 0.3      | 7.0-21                              | 15                            |
| 0.075    | 2.0-8.0                             | 6                             |

### **2.2 BITUMEN TEST**

VG-30 (Viscosity Grade) bitumen grade is chosen for the review. Two warm mix included substances particularly Sasobit and Evotherm were used to prepare warm blend cover. Each additional substance was mixed in required estimations by weight of the bitumen. To set up a warm mix cover, the folio was warmed up to a temperature of 140 -150°C and required estimations of included substance was incorporated and mixed for 20 minute with high shear blender. Tests of Evotherm is acquired from MeadWestvaco and Sasobit from Sasol Company. The required measurements for Evotherm is 0.2% and for Sasobit is 1-3% according to the item rules of the organization. The diverse percent doses, 0.2%, 0.4% and 0.6% for Evotherm and 1%, 2% and 3% for Sasobit with VG 30 fastener was taken under as pilot ponder and to locate the most reasonable measurements from economy and toughness perspective. The examples were sans given of cost. The physical properties acquired are appeared in Table 3.

| Characteristics of tests          | VG-30 | VG-<br>30+0.2% E | VG-<br>30+0.4%E | VG-<br>30+0.6% E | VG-<br>30+1%S | VG-<br>30+2%S | VG-<br>30+3%S | Min<br>Limit | code            |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Penetration (mm)                  | 65    | 57.8             | 57.2            | 56.1             | 45.2          | 46.4          | 47.6          | min 45       | IS:1203         |
| Softening point<br>(degree)       | 57    | 48               | 49              | 51               | 70            | 68            | 64            | min<br>47    | IS:1204         |
| Ductility (cm)                    | 70+   | 70+              | 70+             | 70+              | 70+           | 70+           | 70+           | min 40       | IS:1208         |
| Absolute viscosity<br>at 60 poise | 2454  | 2478             | 2492            | 2515             |               |               |               | min<br>2400  | IS:1206(PART-2) |
| kinematic<br>viscosity,135 cst    | 453   | 381              | 422             | 430              | 436           | 364           | 360           | min<br>350   | IS:1206(PART-3) |
| Characteristics of tests          | VG-30 | VG-<br>30+0.2% E | VG-<br>30+0.4%E | VG-<br>30+0.6% E | VG-<br>30+1%S | VG-<br>30+2%S | VG-<br>30+3%S | Min<br>Limit | code            |
| Penetration (mm)                  | 67    | 56.8             | 56.2            | 55.1             | 45.2          | 45.4          | 46.6          | min 45       | IS:1203         |
| Softening point<br>(degree)       | 58    | 49               | 51              | 52               | 70            | 69            | 63            | min<br>47    | IS:1204         |
| Ductility (cm)                    | 70+   | 70+              | 70+             | 70+              | 70+           | 70+           | 70+           | min 40       | IS:1208         |
| Absolute viscosity<br>at 60 poise | 2456  | 2477             | 2494            | 2514             |               |               |               | min<br>2400  | IS:1206(PART-2) |
| kinematic<br>viscosity,135 cst    | 453   | 381              | 422             | 430              | 436           | 364           | 360           | min<br>350   | IS:1206(PART-3) |

Table 3 Summary of test results of VG 30 Grade bitumen with and without warm mix additives

Marshall Mix Design This test methodology is utilized as a part of planning and assessing DBM mixes and is widely utilized as for the paving jobs. Appropriately composed bituminous blend will withstand substantial loads due to traffic loads under antagonistic climatic conditions furthermore satisfy the prerequisite of auxiliary and asphalt surface qualities. At first the Marshall Test specimens are set up in agreement to the standard method for DBM Grade II (MoRTH-500-10), with chose total reviewing and differing bitumen substance are arranged and tried for assessing

Marshall Properties. The Marshall method of mix design was employed to design the mixture for dense bituminous macadam as shown in Table 4



e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Table 4 Volumetric Properties of VG 30

| % Bit. By<br>weight of<br>mix | Bulk sp.<br>Gr.(Gmb) | Stability(KN) | Voids in<br>mineral agg.<br>VMA (%) | Voids filled<br>with bitumen<br>VFB(%) | Flow<br>(mm) | Air Voids<br>VA (%) | Parameters     | Binder<br>content<br>4.52(%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| 3.5                           | 2.52                 | 10.87         | 14.07                               | 48.36                                  | 1.55         | 7.25                | Stability (KN) | 13.28                        |
| 4                             | 2.566                | 13.04         | 12.86                               | 65.32                                  | 2.7          | 4.45                | Bulk Sp. Gr.   | 2.587                        |
| 4.5                           | 2.58                 | 13.34         | 12.86                               | 70.86                                  | 3.06         | 3.74                | VA(%)          | 3.54                         |
| 5                             | 2.572                | 12.29         | 13.58                               | 75.31                                  | 4.05         | 3.37                | VFB(%)         | 72.17                        |
| 5.5                           | 2.566                | 10.72         | 13.72                               | 78.43                                  | 4.94         | 2.97                | VMA(%)         | 12.67                        |
| Limit                         |                      | min 9         | 12.0-15.0                           | 65-76                                  | 2.0-4.0      | 3.0-5.0             | Flow           | 3.06                         |

# Table 5 Volumetric Properties of VG 30 with Evotherm at 4.52% OBC

|                | SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR VG-30 WITH EVOTHERM FOR DBM MIX DESIGN GRADING 2 |       |       |               |       |       |               |       |       |           |
|----------------|--|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| EVOTHERM       | 0.2% Evotherm  |       |       | 0.4% Evotherm |       |       | 0.6% Evotherm |       |       | Limits    |
| TEMP.          | 110  | 120   | 130   | 110           | 120   | 130   | 110           | 120   | 130   |           |
| CDM,gm/cc      | 2.52   | 2.563 | 2.544 | 2.512         | 2.531 | 5.532 | 2.558         | 2.566 | 2.564 |           |
| AIR VOIDS (%)  | 4.73   | 4.40  | 5.10  | 5.61          | 5.98  | 5.27  | 4.5           | 4.11  | 3.96  | 3.0-5.0   |
| VMA(%)         | 13.72  | 13.37 | 13.94 | 15.05         | 14.52 | 13.82 | 13.5          | 13.37 | 13.44 | 12.0-15.0 |
| VFB(%)         | 65.43  | 66.97 | 63.03 | 62.60         | 58.72 | 61.98 | 66.17         | 69.24 | 70.46 | 65-75     |
| STABILITY (KN) | 10.23  | 11.83 | 10.95 | 9.86          | 11.35 | 10.12 | 11.02         | 11.77 | 11.36 | min 9     |
| FLOW(mm)       | 2.16   | 3     | 3.34  | 2.66          | 3.1   | 2.84  | 2.85          | 3     | 3.16  | 2.0-4.0   |

## Table 6 Volumetric Properties of VG 30 with Sasobit at 4.52% OBC

| SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS FOR VG-30 WITH SASOBIT FOR DBM MIX DESIGN GRADING 2 |            |       |       |            |       |       |            |       |       |           |
|---|------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| EVOTHERM  | 1% Sasobit |       |       | 2% Sasobit |       |       | 3% Sasobit |       |       | Limits    |
| TEMP.   | 110        | 120   | 130   | 110        | 120   | 130   | 110        | 120   | 130   |           |
| CDM,gm/cc   | 2.532      | 2.54  | 2.567 | 2.554      | 2.575 | 2.544 | 2.50       | 2.524 | 2.516 |           |
| AIR VOIDS (%)   | 4.62       | 3.26  | 3.53  | 4.94       | 4.6   | 5.42  | 5.68       | 4.24  | 3.83  | 3.0-5.0   |
| VMA(%)  | 14.55      | 13.22 | 13.28 | 12.14      | 11.33 | 12.45 | 13.4       | 13.05 | 13.33 | 12.0-15.0 |
| VFB(%)  | 68.3       | 75.24 | 78.45 | 59.36      | 63.77 | 56.64 | 58.3       | 67.28 | 71.46 | 65-75     |
| STABILITY (KN)  | 8.55       | 9.46  | 9.29  | 8.54       | 10.22 | 9.92  | 9.23       | 11.21 | 10.04 | min 9     |
| FLOW(mm)  | 2.16       | 3.24  | 3.4   | 2.66       | 3.4   | 3.4   | 2.24       | 3.5   | 3.76  | 2.0-4.0   |

### Table 7 Optimum additive dose and mixing temperatures

| Binder         | Dosage %by weight<br>of binders | Mix temperature |  |  |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| VG-30+Evotherm | 0.2                             | 120             |  |  |
| VG-30+Sasobit  | 3                               | 120             |  |  |

The OBC (optimum binder content) for VG-30 was 4.52% by weight of mix respectively. For each performance test three Marshall Samples were prepared and tested, and average values of the results have been reported.

The estimations and the temperature at which WMA tests gave tantamount esteems as HMA were picked as the perfect measurement of included substance and the perfect mix temperature for two sorts of latches. It included arranging of Marshall Specimens at different measurements of an additional substance and at various temperatures going from 110°C to 130°C. Table 5 and 6 demonstrates eventual outcomes of this some part of examination. Control trial of HMA were made at 155-160°C for VG30 folio. Table 7 demonstrates the ideal added substance dosage and blending temperatures of warm blend added substances.

Moisture Susceptibility Test the dampness weakness of the bituminous mix with warm mix included substances was evaluated by estimating the TSR as per ASTM: D 6931-12. The ITS of the mix is settled earlier and after that thereafter trim of Marshall examples and the TSR is then discovered as the extent of interesting quality and held quality after stimulated sogginess forming. The air voids in each one of these tests were kept up at 7 ± 1 %. For trim of the Marshall

tests, these were immersed in water shower at 60°C for 24 h and from that point kept at 25°C for 2 h. Table 8: Dry and Wet ITS estimations of various Mixes at OBC of Warm blend added substances.

# **3. CONCLUSION**

Conclusion the execution tests directed on Marshall Specimens VG-30 bituminous mix with and without warm mix added substances demonstrated that warm mix arranged would be wise to protection from dampness powerlessness and changeless distortion than control hot blends. VG30 blends containing the warm mix asphalt added substances had fundamentally higher TSR esteems than control mix which demonstrates warm black-top blends indicated better protection from dampness incited harm and under static stacking this would additionally suggest that treated blends seemed, by all accounts, to be equipped for withstanding bigger tractable worry preceding breaking, likewise warm black-top blends indicates higher recuperation than control blends. Additionally air voids are seen inside passable point of confinement which likewise shows more prominent protection from rutting, however slight change in diminishment of ITS is seen. It can be plainly observed that the expansion of the added substances appears to positively affect the quality of the examples. One might say that the quality is conversely relative to air voids. Evotherm shows the base sogginess shortcoming took after by Sasobit and Evotherm (0.2%) fulfills the farthest point while other wma added substances are having marginally bring down cutoff at that point endorsed by code ( $\geq$  80). Likewise have more grounded low temperature breaking protection property. Control HMA blends demonstrated more lasting collected strains in powerful crawl tests than WMA blends. This demonstrates warm black-top blends will have more protection from lasting disfigurement than the hot black-top blends.

### REFERENCES

References Standard Codes:

1. ASTM D4402 – 06: "Standard Test Method for Viscosity Determination of Asphalt at Elevated Temperatures Using a Rotational Viscometer".

2. IS: 1202- 1978, "Methods for testing tar and bituminous materials: determination of specific gravity".

3. IS: 1203- 1978, "Methods for testing tar and bituminous materials: determination of penetration".

4. IS: 1205- 1978, "Methods for testing tar and bituminous materials: determination softening point". 5. IS: 1206- 1978, "Methods for testing tar and bituminous materials: determination of viscosity".

6. IS: 1202- 1978, Methods for testing tar and bituminous materials: determination of specific gravity, Density, voids, absorption and bulking.

Papers:

[1.] Ahmad Kamil Arshad, Frag Ahmed Ma Kridan, Mohd Yusof Abdul Rahman, "The Effects of Sasobit® Modifier on Binder at High and Intermediate Temperatures", International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT) ISSN: 2249 8958, Volume-2, Issue-3, February 2013.

[2.] Airey GD, Mohammed MH, Fitcher C, "Rheological characteristics of synthetic road binders", Fuel, 2008, 87: 1763-75.

[3.] Ali Jamshidi, Meor Othman Hamzah, Mohamad Yusri Aman, "Effects of Sasobit® Content on the Rheological Characteristics of Unaged and Aged Asphalt Binders at High and Intermediate Temperatures", Materials Research. 2012; 15

(4): 628-638. [4.] Ambika Behl, Prof Satish Chandra, Prof V.K Aggarwal, Dr.S Gangopadhyay, "Effect of Sasobit on Viscosity and Rheology of Bituminous Binders", Indian Highway Journal.

[5.] A.M.M. Abd El Rahman , M. EL-Shafie, S.A. El Kholy, "Modification of local asphalt with epoxy resin to be used in pavement", Egyptian Journal of Petroleum (2012) 21, 139– 147.

[6.] Ángel Vega-Zamanilloa, Miguel A. Calzada-Péreza, Elsa Sánchez-Alonsoa, Hernán Gonzalo-Ordenb, "Density, adhesion and stiffness of warm mix asphalts", Elsevier, Science Direct, Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 160 (2014) 323 – 331.

[7.] Arshad, A.K., Sukaimy, M.F, Kamaluddin, N.A. and Daud, N.L.M., "Evaluation on Volumetric Properties and Resilient Modulus Performance of Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA)", International Sustainability and Civil Engineering Journal Vol.1, No.1, (July 2012).

[8.] Audrius Vaitkus, Donatas Čygas, Alfredas Laurinavičius, Zigmantas Perveneckas, "Analysis and Evaluation of possibilities for the use of Warm Mix Asphalt in Lithuania", The Baltic Journal of Road and Bridge Engineering, 2009, 4(2): 80–86.

[9.] Ashok Julaganti, Rajan Choudhary, Eleena Gao, "Effect on Physical Properties of Modified Binders on addition of WMA additives", International Journal Of Current Engineering And Scientific Research (IJCESR), ISSN (Print): 2393-8374, (Online): 2394-0697, Volume-1, Issue-4, 2014.

[10.] Brian D Prowell, Graham C. Hurley, Everett crews (2007) "Field Performance of Warm-Mix Asphalt" Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board (Impact Factor: 0.44). 01/2007; 1998(1):96-102. DOI: 10.3141/1998-12

[11.] Behnam Kheradmand, Ratnasamy Muniandy, Law Teik Hua, Robiah Bt. Yunus and Abbas Solouki, "An overview of the emerging warm mix asphalt technology", International Journal of Pavement Engineering, Vol 15, No., 79-94.

[12.] Behl, Ambika, Bose, Sunil, Sharma, Girish, Kumar, Gajendra, and Devi, Uma (2011), "Warm Bituminous Mixes: The Wave of the Future?" Journal of the Indian Road Congress, July – September 2011, pp 101-107. [13.] Benjamín Colucci, Freddie Salado, "Analysis of Warm Mix Asphalt Additives", Twelfth LACCEI Latin American and Caribbean Conference for Engineering and Technology (LACCEI'2014) "Excellence in Engineering to Enhance a Country's Productivity" July 22 - 24, 2014 Guayaquil, Ecuador.

# BIOGRAPHIES

| Prof. Tanuj Parmar<br>ME Transportation (Civil)<br>Civil Engineering Department<br>Dr. S & S S Ghandhy Government<br>Engineering College, Surat |
|---|
| Miss. Nilam Gamit<br>BE Civil Student<br>Civil Engineering Department<br>Dr. S & S S Ghandhy Government<br>Engineering College, Surat           |
| Miss. Vaishali Chaudhari<br>BE Civil Student<br>Civil Engineering Department<br>Dr. S & S S Ghandhy Government<br>Engineering College, Surat    |
| Miss. Nidhi Parmar<br>BE Civil Student<br>Civil Engineering Department<br>Dr. S & S S Ghandhy Government<br>Engineering College, Surat          |
| Miss. Himani Gharasiya<br>BE Civil Student<br>Civil Engineering Department<br>Dr. S & S S Ghandhy Government<br>Engineering College, Surat      |