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#### Abstract

Finite Element Analysis is carried out to perform static analysis on a laminated composite plate based on the First Order Shear Deformation Theory (FSDT). The theory accounts for variation of in-plane displacement, in-plane stress, in-plane shear stress, transverse displacement and transverse shear stress across the thickness of the laminate. The element formulated is a 4-noded isoparametric quadrilateral element having 5 degrees of freedom at each node. In this analysis, the square plate is analyzed for uniformly distributed load under simply supported boundary conditions. A program is written in MATLAB to obtain the finite element solutions for in-plane displacements, in-plane stresses, in-plane shear stress, transverse displacement and transverse shear stress. Solutions are obtained for different number of layers of the laminate with cross-ply orientation for different values of side to thickness ratios. The model is validated with the analytical results. Analysis can be done on thin as well as thick plates satisfactorily by using this model.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Composite materials have fascinating properties, for example, high quality to weight proportion, simplicity of manufacture, great electrical and thermal properties compared with metals. A laminated composite material comprises of a few layers of a composite mixture comprising of matrix and filaments. Each layer may have comparative or unique material properties with various fiber orientation under differing stacking succession. Configuration design must consider a few options, for example, best stacking succession, ideal fiber points in each layer and in addition number of layers itself.

The Finite Element Method is such an approximate method and powerful numerical technique for the solution of differential and integral equations that arise in various fields of engineering and applied science. FEM is an effective method of obtaining numerical solutions to boundary value, initial value problems.

## 2. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

a. The mathematical formulation by means of First Order Deformation Theory [FSDT] is formulated.
b. Finite Element formulation for presented FSDT theory is formulated.
c. Computer program utilizing MATLAB programming is coded, in light of Finite Element formulation.
d. The investigation on displacement parameter is completed using MATLAB programming for different sides to thickness ratio, aspect ratio and different number of laminas for static stacking condition.
e. For validation, these outcomes are compared with standard research papers by Pandya and Kant and J.N. Reddy.
f. Once the validation is done, the parametric investigation is made by changing the properties of the material and geometry

## 3. FORMULATIONS

### 3.1 FSDT Formulations

The present first order deformation theory for laminated composite plate has been developed by assuming the displacement field in the following form: -
$u(x, y, z)=u_{0}(x, y)+Z \theta x(x, y)$
$v(x, y, z)=v_{0}(x, y)+Z \theta y(x, y)$
$w(x, y, z)=w_{0}(x, y)$
Where ( $\mathrm{u}_{0}, \mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{w}_{0}$ ) are the displacement components in the direction of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ respectively of a point on the mid-plane (i.e., $\mathrm{z}=0$ )

The strains associated with displacements $\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}, \mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{w}_{0}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{1}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{E_{1}}-\mu_{21} \frac{\sigma_{2}}{E_{2}}-\mu_{31} \frac{\sigma_{3}}{E_{3}} \\
& \varepsilon_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{E_{2}}-\mu_{32} \frac{\sigma_{3}}{E_{3}}-\mu_{12} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{E_{1}} \\
& \varepsilon_{3}=\frac{\sigma_{3}}{E_{3}}-\mu_{13} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{E_{1}}-\mu_{23} \frac{\sigma_{2}}{E_{2}} \\
& \left(\varepsilon_{4}, \varepsilon_{5}, \varepsilon_{6}\right)=\left(\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{13}\right) \\
& \left(\sigma_{4}, \sigma_{5}, \sigma_{6}\right)=\left(\tau_{12}, \tau_{23}, \tau_{13}\right) \\
& \gamma_{12}=\frac{\tau_{12}}{G_{12}} ; \gamma_{23}=\frac{\tau_{23}}{G_{23}} ; \gamma_{13}=\frac{\tau_{13}}{G_{13}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where,
$\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ are strains along $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ directions respectively $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ are stresses along $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ directions respectively $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ are young's modulus of elasticity ( $\tau_{12}, \tau_{23}, \tau_{13}$ )
, $\left(\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{13}\right)$ are shear stress and shear strain respectively The constitutive relations can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{1} \\
\sigma_{2} \\
\sigma_{3} \\
\tau_{12} \\
\tau_{23} \\
\tau_{13}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{66}
\end{array}\right] *\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{1} \\
\varepsilon_{2} \\
\varepsilon_{3} \\
\gamma_{12} \\
\gamma_{23} \\
\gamma_{13}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Where,
$C_{11}=\frac{E_{1}\left(1-\mu_{23} \mu_{32}\right)}{\Delta} ; \quad C_{22}=\frac{E_{2}\left(1-\mu_{13} \mu_{31}\right)}{\Delta} ;$
$C_{12}=\frac{E_{1}\left(\mu_{21}+\mu_{31} \mu_{23}\right)}{\Delta} ; C_{23}=\frac{E_{2}\left(\mu_{32}+\mu_{12} \mu_{31}\right)}{\Delta} ;$
$C_{13}=\frac{E_{1}\left(\mu_{31}+\mu_{21} \mu_{32}\right)}{\Delta} ; C_{33}=\frac{E_{3}\left(1-\mu_{12} \mu_{21}\right)}{\Delta} ;$

Where,
$\Delta=\left(1-\mu_{12} \mu_{21}-\mu_{23} \mu_{32}-\mu_{31} \mu_{13}-2 \mu_{12} \mu_{23} \mu_{31}\right)$
The general transformation matrix ' T ' can be written as
$T=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}c^{2} & s^{2} & 0 & 2 s c & 0 & 0 \\ s^{2} & c^{2} & 0 & -2 s c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -s c & s c & 0 & \left(c^{2}-s^{2}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & -s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & c\end{array}\right]$
Where,
$c=\cos \alpha, s=\sin \alpha$
$R=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}\right]$

Stress strain constitutive relations with references to laminate axes are obtained in the following form;
$\sigma=T^{-1} G R T R^{-1} \varepsilon$
$\sigma=Q \varepsilon$
Where,
$Q=T^{1} G T^{-1^{1}}$
$T^{-1^{t}}=R T R^{-1}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sigma_{x} \\ \sigma_{y} \\ \sigma_{z} \\ \tau_{x y} \\ \tau_{y z} \\ \tau_{x z}\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} & Q_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & Q_{23} & Q_{24} & 0 & 0 \\ Q_{31} & Q_{32} & Q_{33} & Q_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ Q_{41} & Q_{42} & Q_{43} & Q_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{66}\end{array}\right] *\left\{\begin{array}{c}\varepsilon_{x} \\ \varepsilon_{y} \\ \varepsilon_{z} \\ \gamma_{x y} \\ \gamma_{y z} \\ \gamma_{x z}\end{array}\right\}$

### 3.2 Finite Element Formulations

In FEM, the physical domain is divided into number of subregions, which are named as finite segments.
Geometry of 4-noded element is given by expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} x_{i}=N_{1} x_{1}+N_{2} x_{2}+\ldots \ldots .+N_{n} x_{n}=[N]\{x\} \\
& y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} y_{i}=N_{1} y_{1}+N_{2} y_{2}+\ldots \ldots+N_{n} y_{n}=[N]\{y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $N_{i}$ is the shape functions of 4-noded quadrilateral element and $\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)$ are nodal coordinates of element.

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Numerical results for various composite plates are presented with different cross-ply lamina schemes under simply supported boundary conditions
The plate having the following characteristics is considered [1]: -
$E_{1}=25 E_{2}, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.5 E_{2}, G_{23}=0.2 E_{2}, v_{12}=0.25$
The shear correction co-efficient for the first-order theory is taken to be $\mathrm{K}=5 / 6$.


Chart -1: Plot of convergence w
Table-1: Comparison of maximum in-plane stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}$ with analytical solutions

| Namber of layens | Fibre onientation | (ah) | In-plane stress <br> $\sigma_{\text {(present }}$ values) | In-plane stess $\sigma_{x}$ (Analytical solation') | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { efror }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 19900 | 10 | 0.76248 | 0.7719 | 1.220 |
| 3 | 09000 | 100 | 0.803855 | 0.8072 | 0.414 |
| 5 | 09009000 | 10 | 0.762385 | 0.7649 | 0.328 |
| 5 | 090009000 | 100 | 0.821758 | 0.8264 | 0.562 |

Table-2: Comparison of maximum in-plane stress $\sigma_{y}$ with analytical solutions

| Number of layers | Fibre orientabion | (a/h) | In-plane stress o. (presest values) | In-plane stress <br> oy (Amalytical solution ${ }^{1}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 090 | 10 | 1.076902 | 1.0715 | 0.504 |
| 2 | 0190 | 100 | 1.075344 | 1.0761 | 0.070 |
| 3 | 0990 | 10 | 0.327484 | 0.3072 | 6.602 |
| 3 | 0900 | 100 | 0.194110 | 0.1925 | 0.836 |
| 5 | 0.90/0.900 | 10 | 0.539213 | 0.5525 | 2.404 |
| 5 | 09900.900 | 100 | 0.456816 | 0.4559 | 0.200 |

Table-3: Comparison of maximum shear stress $\tau_{\mathrm{xy}}$ with analytical solutions

| Number of layers | Eibre orientation | (a/b) | Shear stress $\tau_{\mathrm{xy}}$ (present values) | Shear stress $\tau_{x y}$ (Asalytical solution ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) | error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.90 | 10 | 0.094822 | 0.0960 | 1.227 |
| 2 | 0.90 | 100 | 0.092993 | 0.0933 | 0.329 |
| 3 | 0.900 | 10 | 0.05206 | 0.0514 | 1.284 |
| 3 | 09000 | 100 | 0.042365 | 0.0426 | 0.5516 |
| 5 | $0 / 900900$ | 10 | 0.041523 | 0.0436 | 4.763 |
| 5 | 0900900 | 100 | 0.038405 | 0.0386 | 0.505 |

Table-4: Comparison of maximum shear stress $\tau_{y z}$ with analytical solutions

| Number of layers | Fibre orientation | (a/h) | Sbear stress $\tau_{1}$ (present values) | Shear stress tiv (Analytical solution') | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 09090 | 10 | 0.324043 | 0.3107 | 4.294 |
| 3 | 09900 | 100 | 0.316273 | 0.2842 | 11.285 |
| 5 | 090719010 | 10 | 0.398840 | 0.4410 | 9.561 |
| 5 | 09001901) | 100 | 0.383358 | 0.4108 | 6.682 |

Table-5: Comparison of maximum shear stress $\tau_{\mathrm{x} z}$ with analytical solutions

| Number of layers | Fibre orientation | (ah) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Shear stress } \\ \text { To } \\ \text { (present } \\ \text { values) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Shear stress $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{sk}}$ (Analytical solution') | error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 090 | 10 | 0.620121 | 0.5772 | 7.436 |
| 2 | 0.90 | 100 | 0.623433 | 0.5813 | 7,248 |
| 3 | 0990 | 10 | 0.799168 | 0.7548 | 5.877 |
| 3 | 0.900 | 100 | 0.372234 | 0.4247 | 12.353 |
| 5 | 0/90/9000 | 10 | 0.799865 | 0.6901 | 15.905 |
| 5 | 0.900900 | 100 | 0.429941 | 0.3746 | 14.773 |

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, analysis of laminated composite plate is carried out using finite element method which is based on FSDT formulation. In view of this, MATLAB program is coded and analysis is completed. Results are validated with standard values from reference book ${ }^{[1]}$. And parametric study is done for stress values and non-dimensional displacements.
a. From the convergence study, it is seen that if higher noded element is used, then it will give better results. However, the displacement values are converging at mesh size of $11 \times 11$ nodes. The change is $0.27 \%$ from previous value.
b. When non dimensional maximum In-plane stress $\sigma_{y}$ (for 2 layers), values from present work are compared with analytical solutions of J.N. Reddy reference book, error for thin plate $(a / h=100)$ and thick plate is within $1 \%$ (Here we can see that error for shear stress is very less i.e. only $0.070 \%$ ).
c. For two layers, errors of shear stresses $\tau_{\mathrm{xy}}$ are less (i.e. within $1.5 \%$ ) whereas errors of shear stresses $\tau_{\mathrm{xz}}$ are relatively high for thick and thin plates.
d. When non dimensional maximum In-plane stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}$ (for 3layers), values from present work are compared with analytical solutions of J.N. Reddy reference book, error for thin plate $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=100)$ and thick plate $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=10)$ is within $3.00 \%$. Similarly, In-plane stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}$ (for 5 layers), values from present values are compared with analytical solutions of J.N. Reddy reference book, error for thin plate $(a / h=100)$ and thick plate $(a / h=10)$ is within $1.00 \%$.
e. Maximum In-plane stress $\sigma_{y}$ (for 3layers), values from present work and analytical solutions ${ }^{[1]}$. i.e. error is less (i.e. within 7\%)
f. When non dimensional max In-plane stress $\sigma_{y}$ (for 5 layers), values present work are compared with analytical solutions of J.N. Reddy reference book, error for thin plate $(a / h=100)$ and thick plate $(a / h=10)$ is within 3.00\%.
g. When non dimensional max shear stress $\tau_{x y}$ (for 3layers), values present work are compared with analytical solutions of J.N. Reddy reference book, error for thick plate $(a / h=10)$ and thin plate $(a / h=100)$ is within $1.5 \%$.
h. When non dimensional max In-plane stress $\tau_{\text {xy }}$ (for 5 layers), values of present work are compared with analytical solutions of J.N. Reddy reference book, errors for thin plate $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=100)$ and thick plate are within $5.00 \%$ (Here we can see that error for shear stress of thin plate is very less i.e. only $0.5 \%$ ).
i. For three layers, errors of shear stresses $\tau_{x z}$ and $\tau_{y z}$ are within $6 \%$ in case of thick plates whereas the error is high in case of thin plates.
j. For five layers, errors of shear stresses $\tau_{x z}$ and $\tau_{y z}$ are relatively high for thick and thin plates.
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