

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)Volume: 05 Issue: 06 | June-2018www.irjet.net

## **ENTIRE DOMINATION IN JUMP GRAPHS**

N.pratap babu Rao<sup>1</sup>, Sweta. N<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics S.G. degree college KOPPAL(Karnataka)INDIA Department of Mathematics Veerasaiva college Ballari (Karnataka) INDIA

\*\*\*\_\_\_\_\_

## **ABSTRACT:**

The vertices and edges of a graph J(G) are called the element of J(G). A set X of elements in J(G) is an entire dominating set if every element not inX is either adjacent or incident to at least one element in X The entire domination number  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G))$  is the order of a smallest entire dominating set in J(G) In this paper exact values of  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G))$  for some standard graphs are obtained Also, bounds on  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G))$  and Nordhaus- Gaddam type results are established.

## **INTRODUCTION;**

The graph considered here are finite, connected, undirected without loops or multiple edges. We denote by  $\sqrt{(J(G))}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G))$  the vertex set and the edge set of J(G) respectively. For any undefined term or notation in this paper see Harary[3]. The study of dominating sets in graph was begun by Ore[7] and Berge[5]. The entire domination number was defined by Kulli[4].

The open neighborhood N(v) ( N(e)) of a vertex ( an edge e) is the set of vertices (edges) adjacent to v(e). The closed neighborhood N[v] 9 N[e]) of a vertex 9an edge e0 is N(v)  $\cup$  {v} ( N(e)  $\cup$  {e} ). The open entire neighborhood n(x) of an edge x is the set of elements either adjacent or incident to x. the closed entire neighborhood n[x] of an element x is n(x)  $\cup$  {x}.  $\Delta$  (J(G)) denoes the maximum degree of J(G). The degree of an edge e=uv is defined as deg u +deg v -2. The maximum edge degree of J(G) is denoted by  $\Delta'$ (J(G)), we will employ the following notation  $\lceil x \rceil$  (  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ ) to denote the smallest (largedst) integer greater(lesser) than equal tox

A set D of vertices in J(G) is a dominating set if every vertex not in D is adjacent to atleast one vertex V(J(G)) - D. The domination number  $\sqrt{J(G)}$  is the order of a smallest dominating set in J(G).

A set F of edges of J(G) is an edge dominating set if every edge not in F is adjacent to at least one edge in E(J(G)) - F. The edge domination number  $\sqrt{(J(G))}$  of J(G) is the smallest edge dominating set in J(G).

We now obtained a relation between the domination, edge domination and entire domination number of a graph.

**Theorem 1**; For any graph J(G)  $(\sqrt{J(G)} + \sqrt{J(G)})/2 \le \mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \le \sqrt{J(G)} + \sqrt{J(G)}$ .

Further the upper bound attains if there exists a minimum entire dominating set X= D ∪ f satisfying.

i)  $N[D] = V(J(G)), N[F] = E(J(G)) \text{ and } \cap N[v] = \cap N[e] = \emptyset$ 

ii) Deg v =  $\Delta(J(G))$ , deg e =  $\Delta'(J(G))$  for all in D and e in F.

**Proof;** First we establish the lower bound . Let  $X = D \cup F$  be a minimum entire dominating set of J(G). for each edge e=uv in F Choose a vertex u or v, not both and F' be the collection of such vertices Clearly  $D \cup F'$  is a dominating set ,

There fore

 $\sqrt{(J(G))} \le | E \cup F' |$ 

 $= | D \cup F |$ 

 $= \mathfrak{E}(J(G))....(1)$ 

Now for each vertex u in D choose exactly one edge e incident with u and let D' be the collection of such edges. Clearly  $D' \cup F$  is an edge dominating set. Therefore

 $\sqrt{'}(J(G)) \le | D' \cup F |$ 

= |D ∪ F |

 $= \mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \dots (2)$ 

From (1) and (2) follows

 $\sqrt{(J(G))} + \sqrt{'(J(G))} \le 2 \mathfrak{E}(J(G)).$ 

Therefore

 $\sqrt{(J(G))} + \sqrt{'(J(G))} / 2 = \mathfrak{E}(J(G))$ 

Now for the upper bound, let D and f be the minimum dominating and edge dominating sets respectively.

Then  $D \cup F$  is an entire dominating set. Thus

 $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \leq | D \cup F |$ 

$$= \sqrt{(J(G))} + \sqrt{(J(G))}.$$

**Theorem 2;** For any connected jump graph J(G).

 $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{q} \leq \mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\mathsf{G})) \leq \mathsf{p} - \ \ \ \frac{\Delta(n)}{2} \mathsf{T}$ 

For the lower bound is attained if and only if J(G) is a star.

**Proof;** First we establish the upper bound. Let v be a vertex of degree  $\Delta(J(G))$ .Let F be the set of independent edges in  $\langle N(v) \rangle$ . Then  $V(J(G)) \cup F - N(v)$  is an entire dominating set. Also  $|F| \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta(n)}{2} \rfloor$  Therefore

 $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \leq |V(J(G)) \cup F - N(v)|$ 

$$\leq p + \lfloor \frac{\Delta(n)}{2} \rfloor - \Delta(J(G))$$

$$\leq p - \lceil \frac{\Delta(n)}{2} \rceil$$

Now for the lower bound, let X be a minimum entire dominating set of J(G). Then

$$P + q - |X| = |V(J(G)) \cup E(J(G)) - X|$$
  

$$\leq |V(J(G)) \cup E(J(G))| - 1$$
  

$$\leq p + q - (p - q)$$
  

$$\leq 2q.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \ge p-q$ .

Suppose  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) = p-q$  Then  $p-q \ge 1$  and from the above inequalities it follows that p-q=1 This shows that J(G) is a star.

Conversely, suppose J(G) is a star obliviously  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) = p-q$ .

**Theorem 3;** For any jump graph J(G)

 $\mathfrak{E}\big(\mathsf{J}\big(\mathsf{G}\big)\big) \geq \frac{(p\!+\!q)}{(2 \Delta(\mathsf{J}(\mathsf{G})\!+1)}$ 

Further equality holds if there excists a minimum entire dominating set X such that.

- i) X is an entire independent set
- ii) For any element x in  $(V \cup E)_X$  there is an element y in X such that  $n(x) \cap X = \{y\}$
- iii)  $|n(x)| = 2, \Delta(J(G))$  for every x in X

**Proof;** This follows from Theorem A and the notation of totalgraph if there exists a minimum entire dominating set satisfying (i) (ii) and (iii) the bound is attained.

Theorem 4; For any connected J(G) of order p

 $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \leq \lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil$ 

**Proof;** We prove the result by induction on p if  $p \le 4$  then the result can be verified. Assume the result is true for all connected graphs J(G) and p-2 vertices. Let J(G) be a connected graph then p vertices. Let u and v denote either two adjacent vertices or two non adjacent vertices having a common neighbor w such that J(G) = J(G') – {u v} is connected. Let X be the minimum entire dominating set of J(G). Then either XU {w] or X U {u v} is an entire dominating set of J(G'). Then,

 $\mathfrak{E}(J(G')) \leq |X| + 1$ 

$$\leq \lceil \frac{p-2}{2} \rceil + 1$$
$$= \lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil$$

Finally we establish Nordhaus-Gaddum type results.

**Theorem 5**; For any connected graph J(G) with p vertices

$$\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) + \mathfrak{E}(J(\overline{G})) \le \lceil \frac{3p}{2} \rceil$$
$$\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) + \mathfrak{E}(J(\overline{G})) \le p \rceil \lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil$$

**Proof**; J(G) is complete, then J ( $\overline{G}$ ) is totally disconnected  $\mathfrak{E}(J(\overline{G})) = p$ 

There fore

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\mathsf{G})) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\bar{\mathsf{G}})) = \lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil + p$$
$$= \lceil \frac{3p}{2} \rceil$$

And  $\mathfrak{E}(J(G)) \cdot \mathfrak{E}(J(\overline{G})) = p \vdash \frac{p}{2} \mathsf{T}$ 

Theorem6; Let J(G) and J( $\overline{G}$ ) be connected complete graph then,

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\mathsf{G})) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\bar{G})) \leq \mathsf{p} + 1$$

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\mathsf{G})) \, . \, \mathfrak{E}(\mathsf{J}(\bar{G})) \, \leq (\mathsf{p}{+}1)^2 \, / \, 4$$

**Proof**; This follows from Theorem 4.

Т

## REFERENCES

[1] m.Behzad and G.Chartrand, Total graphs and traversability. Proc.Edinburgeh Math.Soc.(2) 15 (1966-67) 117-120.

- [2] C.Berge, theory of Graphs and its Applications Methuen, London(1962).
- [3] F. Harary, Graph Theory Addison Wesley reading Mass (1969)
- [4] V.R. Kulli, On entire domination number, Second Conf.Ramanujan Math.Soc., Madras (1987).

[5] S.Mitchell and S.T. Hedetniemi, Edge domination in trees, In proc.Eight S.E. Conf.Combinotorics, Graph Theory and computing Utilitas Mathematica, Winnipeg (1997) 489-509.

[6] E.A. Nordhaus and J.W Gaddum, On complementary graphs Amer.Math.Monthly 63 (1956) 175-177.

[7] O.Ore Theory of Graphs.Amer.Math.Soc., Colloq.pul., 38 Providence (1962)

[8] H>B>Walikar, B.D.Acharya and E.Sampthkumar, Recent DDevelopments in the Theory of Domination in Graphs.MRI Lecture Notes in Math.1 (1979).

[9]V.R.Kulli, S.C.Sigarkanti and N.D>Sonar, Entire domination in Graphs, advances in Graph Theory,ed.V.R kulli (1991) vishwa International Publication