

3D FRAME ANALYSIS BY USING ETABS

Kshitija Balwan¹, Rahul Joshi², Amit Patil^{3,} Atul Dhavale⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, TEI, Maharashtra, India ²Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, TEI, Maharashtra, India ³Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, TEI, Maharashtra, India ⁴Assistant Professor, Dept. of Textile Engineering, TEI, Maharashtra, India ***

Abstract - The main objective of this study was to develop two dimensional and three-dimensional finite element modeling (2D & 3D-FEM) of frame that can be used to investigate the response of base shear value of frame. A parametric study for static analysis is performed to investigate the effect of gravity load and lateral load on frame.

Key Words: 2D FE, 3D FE, Base shear, Gravity load, lateral load.

1. INTRODUCTION

ETABS is the present-day leading design software in the market. Many design company's use this software for their project design purpose. It is easy to use, special purpose analysis and design program developed specifically for building system. ETABS features an intuitive and powerful graphical interface coupled with unmatched modeling, analytical, design and detailing procedure in all. Integrated using a common database. Although quick and easy for simple structure ETABS can also handle the largest and most complex building models, including a wide range of nonlinear behaviors necessary for performance-based design making it the tool of choice for structural engineers in the building industry.

1.1 Advantages of ETABS

2.1 Preliminary

Dating back more than 40 years to the original development of ETABS the predecessor of ETABS, it was clearly recognized that buildings constituted a very special class of structure. Early releases of ETABS provided input, output and numerical solution techniques that took into consideration the characteristics unique to building type structure.

2. 2D FRAMES SUBJECTED TO GRAVITY LOAD AND LATERAL LOADS

A 16m X 16m, 4 storey multi storey regular structure is considered for the study. Story height is 4m. Modeling and analysis of the structure is done on ETABS software.

Data:

2.2 Loading Consideration

Loads acting on structure are dead load (DL), Live Load (IL) and Earthquake Load (EL) DL; self weight of the structure, Floor load and Wall loads.

Live Load: 3KN/m2	Importance Factor: 1
Seismic Zone: II	Soil Type: II
Zone Factor: 0.10	Response Reduction: R=5

Time period: 0.427 sec (calculated as per IS 1893:2002)

2.3 ANALYSIS USING SOFTWARE:



... 4 DI AN

Length x Width	16m x 16m	Figure 1 PLAN		
No of Story's	4(G+3)			
Beam	450mm x 300mm			
© 2019, IRJET	Impact Factor value: 7.34	ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal		Page 1362



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSNVolume: 06 Issue: 10 | Oct 2019www.irjet.netp-ISSN

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072



Figure 2 ELEVATION



Figure 3 UDL DUE TO WALL ON BEAM



Figure 4 APPLY LIVE LOAD ON EACH FLOOR-3kN/m



Figure 5 AXIAL FORCE DIAGRAM





3. CONCLUSIONS

The final base shear value and vertical distribution of base shear to different floor is calculated and it is defined as



2016

Volume: 06 Issue: 10 | Oct 2019

www.irjet.net

Innovative Research in Science & Technology, April

p-ISSN: 2395-0072

- [3] Ragy Jose, et.al, "ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF COMMERCIAL BUILDING USING ETABS", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, June-2017
- [4] Sayyed A. Ahad, et.al, "Analysis and Design Of Multistory Apartment Building Using ETABS", International Journal Of Engineering And Computer Science, 5 May 2017

Story	Joint Label	Unique Name	Load Case/Combo	FX kN	FY kN	FZ kN
Base	2	66	EQX 1	-0.0638	0.9844	-15,3455
Base	3	67	EQX 1	-0.0638	-0.9844	-15.3455
Base	4	68	EQX 1	-0.23	-0,0079	-22.7781
Base	5	69	EQX 1	-97.741	0.2888	-524.2934
Base	6	70	EQX 1	-0.2442	0.1976	-6.7735
Base	7	71	EQX 1	-0.2442	-0.1976	-6.7735
Base	8	72	EQX 1	-97.741	-0 2888	-524.2934
Base	9	73	EQX 1	-97.741	0.2888	524.2934
Rase	10	74	EQX 1	-0.2442	0.1976	6.7735
Rase	11	75	EQX 1	-0.2442	-0.1976	6.7735
Rase	12	76	EQX 1	-97.741	-0.2888	524.2934
Daea	13	77	EQX 1	-0.23	0.0079	22.7781
Dasc	14	78	EQX 1	-0.0638	0.9844	15.3455
base	15	79	FQX 1	-0.0638	-0.9844	15.3455
lase	CI	00	FOX 1	-0.23	-0.0079	22.7781

Figure 7 BASE SHEAR VALUES

Story Forces								
↓ 1 of 8 ▶ ▶ Reload Apply								
Story	Load Case/Comb	Location	P kN	VX kN				
Story1	EQX 1	Тор	0	-393.1157	0			
Story1	EQX 1	Bottom	0	-393.1157	0			
Story2	EQX 1	Тор	0	-379.0363	0			
Story2	EQX 1	Bottom	0	-379.0363	0			
Story3	EQX 1	Тор	0	-322.7189	0			
Story3	EQX 1	Bottom	0	-322.7189	0			
Story4	EQX 1	Тор	0	-196.0047	0			
Storv4	EQX 1	Bottom	0	-196.0047	0			

Figure 8 VERTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF BASE SHEAR TO DIFFERENT FLOORS

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Pavan Kumar Reddy, et.al, "Analysis of G+30 Highrise Buildings by Using ETABS for Various Frame Sections In Zone IV and Zone V", International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, July 2017
- [2] Dr. G. Nandini Devi, et.al, "Aseismic Performance of 3d RC Frame using ETABS", International Journal for