

Ductility Behaviour of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete Beam Strengthened with GFRP Laminates

Sadhana S¹, Shoban Prabhu S², Solomon Sachin Samson A³, Supriya S⁴, Mr. Sattainathan Sharma A M.E⁵

^{1,2,3,4}Student, Dept of Civil, Valliammai Engineering College, kattankulathur, Tamilnadu ,India ⁵Assistant professor, Dept of Civil, Valliammai Engineering College, kattankulathur, Tamilnadu ,India ***

Abstract - This study represents the results of an experimental investigation conducted on Steel Fibre Reinforced Polymer (SFRP) beams with externally bonded Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) laminates with a view to study their ductility behaviour. Preliminary tests on six cubes and six cylinders with different proportions of (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%) hooked and crimped steel fibres were tested. With the test results best proportions is adopted for casting the beams. A total of five beams were casted. Four beams of hooked and crimped steel fibres with and without Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer laminates were casted. A single conventional beam was casted and wrapped with GFRP laminates .Epoxy resin was used for coating. Therefore the results revealed that higher volume fraction of steel fibres also improve the ductility performance of RC beams. The test results show that the beams provided with externally bonded Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) laminated exhibit improved ductility performance over conventional beams.

Key words: Steel fibre, Hooked, Crimped, Aspect ratio, Epoxy Resin.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of concrete structures reinforced/ pre-stressed with fibre-reinforced polymer (FRP) composite materials has been growing to overcome the common problems caused by corrosion of steel reinforcement. FRP composites are lightweight exhibit high tensile strength and specific stiffness, are easily constructed. Due to these advantageous characteristics, FRP composites have been included in new construction and rehabilitation of structures through its use as reinforcement in concrete, bridge decks, modular structures, formwork, and external reinforcement for strengthening and seismic upgrade. Extensive research programs have been conducted to investigate the flexural behaviour of concrete members reinforced with FRP reinforcement. The structural elements can be strengthened by varieties of Fibre Reinforced Polymer (FRP) such as Carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP), Glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP), Steel fibre reinforced polymer (SFRP) or Wooden fibre reinforced polymer (WFRP).

Ductility is a measure of a material's ability to undergo significant plastic deformation before rupture. It is defined as the ratio of ultimate deformation to yield deformation. The most important aspect of ductility is a precaution of structural failure. Ductile structure can provide an advanced warning before failure.

This work is dedicated to the investigation of flexural behaviour of concrete beams reinforced with GFRP, based on recycled resin recovered from plastic waste materials. A successful and effective incorporation of recycled GFRP as reinforcement in concrete will have the multiple benefits stated earlier as well as create jobs/employment opportunities in the construction industry. This project will also serve as a pilot effort towards the domestication of fibre reinforced polymer technology, especially in the utilization of recycled plastic waste in civil/structural engineering applications in modern countries.

2. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

GFRP are very essential for retrofitting in underground car parks where deflection in beams and buckling in columns are greater. SFRP is found to be versatile material for the manufacture of wide varieties of precast products such as manhole covers, slab elements for bridge decks, highways, runways, and tunnel linings, machine foundation blocks. Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer with its composite action is possible with most modern light weight deck systems and can improve further the live load capacity. Steel Fibers are very useful in water retaining structures and it anticipates future trends in the field of upgrading structural members.

3. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

A. Materials:

The mix design proposed for the beams is given in Table 1. The grade of concrete used is M30. Ordinary Portland cement of grade 53 was used as the binding material. Coarse aggregate in the size 20mm were used.

| Europeuro condition | Extraces |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Exposure condition | Extreme |
| Workability | 100 mm slump |
| Minimum Cement Content | 320 kg/m ³ |
| Maximum w/c ratio | 0.4 |
| Specific gravity of Coarse Aggregate | 2.78 |
| Specific gravity of Fine Aggregate | 2.7 |
| Water absorption of Coarse Aggregate | 0.5% |
| Water absorption of Fine Aggregate | 1% |

Table 1 Mix Design Data

B. Mix design arrival

| Volume of concrete | 1m ³ |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Volume of Cement | 0.156m ³ |
| Volume of Water | 0.197m ³ |
| Volume of Total Aggregate | 0.65m ³ |
| Volume of Fine Aggregate | 1011.92 kg/m ³ |
| Volume of Cement | 492.5 kg/m ³ |
| Water Cement ratio | 0.4 |
| Cement : FA : CA | 1:1.608:2.07 |

Table 2 Arrival of Mix Design Data

The shape of steel fibre used was hooked and crimped steel fibre with an aspect ratio (l/d) 60. Hooked Steel fibers were added in concrete in a volume fraction of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%. we choose the best of the three proportions mentioned above in the Table 2 The mix design data are arrived using IS 10262 (2009).

4. MATERIALPROPERTIES

Table 3 Test on materials

| | | Observed Value |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| materials | Test for | |
| | Specific Gravity | 3.14 |
| | (no unit) | |
| Cement (OPC53Grade) | Standard Consistency (no | 31% |
| | unit) | |
| | Initial Setting Time (mins) | 26 |
| | Final Setting Time(mins) | 49 |
| | Fineness | 3% |
| | 28/day Compressive | 30 N/mm ² |
| | Strength N/mm ² | |
| | Specific Gravity | 2.5 |
| Fine Aggregate | (No unit) | |
| | Sieve Analysis | Zone I |
| Coarse Aggregate | Specific Gravity | 2.68 |
| (Max size 20mm) | Water absorption | 1.5% |
| | Crushing Strength | 7.12% |

© 2019, IRJET



5. WORKABILITY OF CONCRETE

A. SLUMP CONE TEST

The concrete slump tests determines the consistency of fresh concrete before it sets. It is performed to check the workability of freshly made concrete, and therefore the ease with which concrete flows. The test results are shown in table 4

Table 4 Result of Slump pattern

| S.NO | W/C RATIO | SLUMP PATTERN |
|------|-----------|---------------|
| 1. | 0.4 | True Slump |

B. COMPACTION FACTOR TEST

Compaction factor test measures the workability of fresh concrete. Compaction Factor is the ratio of weight of partially compacted to fully compacted concrete. The results are shown in table 5

Table 5 Result of Compaction factor

| S.NO | W/C RATIO | W1 (kg) | W2 (kg) | COMPACTION FACTOR (W1/W2) |
|------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| 1. | 0.4 | 14.86 | 17.89 | 0.83 |

C. VEE – BEE CONSISTOMETER TEST

Vee - Bee test is conducted to determine the workability of freshly mixed concrete. The test results are shown in table 6

Table 6 Result of Vee-Bee test

| S.NO | W/C RATIO | VEE – BEE TIME |
|------|-----------|----------------|
| 1. | 0.4 | Seconds |

6. PRELIMINARY TESTING

A. TESTING OF CUBES

Table 7 Result of Tested cubes

| SPECIMEN WITH STEEL PERCENT | FIRST CRACK LOAD (kN) | LOAD CAPACITY (kN) | COM PRESSIVE ST RENGTH (N/mm²) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| CUBE 1 (0.5%) | 426 | 626 | 27.8 |
| CUBE 2 (1%) | 285 | 559 | 24.8 |
| CUBE 3 (1.5%) | 205 | 621 | 27.6 |
| CUBE 4 (0.5%) | 477 | 487 | 21.6 |
| CUBE 5 (1%) | 205 | 691 | 30.7 |
| CUBE 6 (1.5%) | 370 | 689 | 30.6 |
| CONVENTIONAL CUBE | 190 | 395 | 17.5 |

Six concrete cubes with different proportions of hooked and crimped steel fibres (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%) and single conventional cube were casted and tested with 14 days curing period. The test results were compared with the conventional concrete cube. Refer table 7



B. TESTING OF CYLINDERS

Six concrete cylinders of different proportions of Hooked and crimped steel fibres (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%) were casted and tested with 14 days curing period.



Fig 1 Casted Cylinders

Table 8 Split Tensile Test Results

| SPECIMEN WITH STEEL PERCENT | LOAD CAPACITY (kN) | TENSILE STRESS(N/mm ²) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| CYLINDER 1 (0.5%) | 191 | 2.70 |
| CYLINDER 2 (1%) | 226 | 3.19 |
| CYLINDER 3 (1.5%) | 232 | 3.28 |
| CYLINDER 4 (0.5%) | 222 | 3.14 |
| CYLINDER 5 (1%) | 264 | 3.73 |
| CYLINDER 6 (1.5%) | 284 | 4.01 |
| CONVENTIONAL CYLINDER | 183 | 2.58 |

7. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

A. GENERAL OUTLINE OF INVESTIGATION

The investigation is done to study and compare the ductility behavior of steel fibre reinforced beams wrapped with GFRP laminates with the conventional reinforced concrete beam.

B. BEAM SPECIFICATIONS

A total of five beams were casted. Four beams of hooked and crimped steel fibres with and without Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer laminates were casted. A single conventional beam was casted and wrapped with GFRP laminates. The beams size includes $1 \ge 0.15 \ge 0.15$ m. The reinforcements of diameter 12mm were used.



Fig 2 Casting of Beams



Fig 3 Casted beams



Fig 4 Immersion curing of beams



Fig 5 Wrapped Beams

8. RESULTS

A.LOAD DEFLECTION GRAPH



Fig 6 Load Deflection Curve

B.LOAD STRAIN GRAPH



Fig 7 Load Strain Curve

C.MOMENT CURVATURE GRAPHS



Fig 8 Moment Curvature Curve for HGFRP Beam



Fig 9 Moment Curvature Curve for CGFRP Beam

Table 9 Hooked with GFRP Laminates

| CONTENT | [KN] [KN] | Deflection [mm] | Moment (kN.m) | T op .strain–104 | Bottom strain 10- | Curvature (mm) | Stress $N/mm^2 - 1.0^6$ | Flexural strength N/mm² |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| INIT IAL LOAD | 4 | 0.2 8 | 0.5 35 | 23 | -19 | 0.0 147 37 | 3.8 | 1.77 |
| YIELD LOAD | 40 | 1.6 9 | 5.3 5 | 21 1 | - 11 8 | 0.0 143 22 | 23, 6 | 17.7 6 |
| ULTIMATE | 14 4 | 5.6 1 | 18 72 | 34 2 | 27 6 | 0.0 203 26 | 57. 2 | 63.9 3 |

Table 10 Hooked without GFRP Laminates

| ULTIMATE | YIELD LOAD | INITIAL LOAD | CONT ENT |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| 14 4 | 40 | 4 | Load (kN) |
| 6.1 6 | 1.6 9 | 0.2 8 | Deflection [mm] |
| 19. 2 | 5.3 5 | 0.5 3 | Moment (Kn.m) |
| 96 | 21 1 | 13 | Top strain 104 |
| - 45 6 | - 11 8 | -38 | Bottom strain 104 |
| 0.0 13 | 0.0 14 | 00 86 | Curvature [mm] |
| 91. 8 | 26. 2 | 7.6 | Stress $N/mm^2 - 1.0^{\circ}$ |
| 65.7 1 | 21.3 1 | 1.77 | Flexural streng th N/mm ² |

| | 1 |
|-------|---|
| | |
| IRIET | |
| TUTT | |

| CONTENT | Load (kN) | Deflection (mm) | Moment (kN.m) | Top .strain- 10 ⁻⁶ | Bottom strain- 10 ⁻⁶ | Curvature (mm) | Stress N/mm ² – 10 ⁶ | Flexural strength N/mm² |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|
| INITIAL LOAD | 4 | 0.1 | 0.53 | 84 | -25 | 0.004 | 5 | 1.77 |
| YIELD LOAD | 56 | 1.125 | 7.49 | 179 | -59 | 0.02008 | 11.2 | 24.86 |
| ULTIMATE LOAD | 15 6 | 3.86 | 20.6 | 252 | -113 | 0.03509 | 22.6 | 69.26 |

Table 11 Crimped with GFRP Laminates

Table 12 Crimped without GFRP Laminates

| CONTENT | Load (kN) | Deflection (mm) | Moment (Kn.m) | Top .strain- 10 ^{.6} | Bottom strain- 10 ⁻⁶ | Curvature (mm) | Stress N/mm ² – 10 ⁶ | Flexural strength N/mm ² |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| INITIAL LOAD | 4 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 56 | -38 | 0.00 7 | 7.6 | 1.77 |
| YIELD LOAD | 52 | 2.17 | 6.95 | 222 | -172 | 0.01 | 34.4 | 23.01 |

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)



www.irjet.net

Table 13 Conventional with GRPF Laminates

| CONTENT | Load (kN) | Deflection (mm) | Moment (kN.m) | Top .strain- 10 ^{.6} | Bottom strain- 10 ⁻⁶ | Curvature (mm) | Stress N/mm ² – 10 ⁶ | Flexural strength N/mm ² |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| INITIAL LOAD | 4 | 0.3 | 0.53 | 21 | -20 | 0.017 | 4 | 1.77 |
| YIELD LOAD | 40 | 2.9 | 8.02 | 211 | -176 | 0.016 | 35.2 | 26.64 |
| ULTIMATE | 144 | 5.7 | 14.9 | 342 | -284 | 0.020 | 57.4 | 51.5 |

Table 14 Ductility Parameters

| CONTENT | MOMENT FACTOR | DEFLECTI ON FACTOR | CURVATU RE FACTOR | DEFORMA BILITY |
|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| HOOKED WITH | 3.31 | 3.51 | 1.41 | 4.66 |
| GFRP | | | | |
| LAMINATES | | | | |

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-

Volume: 06 Issue: 03 | Mar 2019

www.irjet.net

| HOOKED WITHOUT GFRP | 3.01 | 2.70 | 0.776 | 2.32 |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|
| LAMINATES | | | | |
| CRIMPED WITH GFRP LAMINATES | 3.43 | 2.70 | 1.74 | 5.96 |
| CRIMPED WITHOUT GFRP LAMINATES | 2.42 | 2.53 | 1.69 | 4.08 |
| CONVENTIONAL WITH GFRP LAMINATES | 1.90 | 1.86 | 1.18 | 2.24 |

9. CONCLUSIONS

From the test results on Steel Fibre Reinforced following conclusions are drawn,

Concrete beams strengthened with GFRP laminates, the

- The Hooked SFRP beam with extreme GFRP laminates exhibit a increase of 31% in moment factor when compared with Hooked SFRP without GFRP laminates.
- The Crimped SFRP beam with GFRP laminates exhibit a increase of 29% in deflection factor when compared with Crimped SFRP beam without GFRP laminates.
- The curvature factor of Hooked and Crimped SFRP beam with GFRP is greater when compared with SFRP beams without Glass Fibre and conventional beam.
- Hooked with GFRP-1.41 Crimped with GFRP -1.7
- Hooked without GFRP-0.776 Crimped without GFRP-1.69
- The deformability factor of Hooked with GFRP laminates is 21% greater when compared with Crimped Steel Fibre strengthened with GFRP.
- The flexural strength of Steel Fibre beams with Glass Fibre laminates is greater with respect to the conventional beam.
- The axial stress value of Hooked with GFRP is 60% greater when compared with Crimped GFRP beam.
- It was observed that the Steel Fibre beams strengthened with GFRP laminates has a tendency to bear higher load value when compared with the conventional beam.
- Ductility of concrete is found to increase with inclusion of Fibres. Addition of Steel Fibres is more beneficial in high strength concrete as they are brittle in nature.

10. REFERENCE

- 1. Abdul Ghaffar, Amit S. Chavhan, Dr. R.S. Tatwawadi, 2014, "Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete", A International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology.
- 2. Adetiloye A and Ephraim M. E, 2015, "Sturctural Engineering Properties of Fibre Reinforced Concrete Based on Recycled Glass Fibre Polymer (GFRP)", A International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications.
- 3. N. F. Grace, A. K. Soliman, G. Abdel-Sayed, K. R. Saleh, 1998, "Behavior and Ductility of Simple and Continour FRP Reinforced Beams", A Journal of Composites for Construction.
- 4. G. Jyothi Kumari, P. Jagannadha Rao, M. V. Seshagiri Rao, "Behaviour of Concrete Beams Reinforced With Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer Flats", A International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology.
- 5. Senthuran.T, Sattainathan Sharma.A, 2016, "Experimental Study on Torsional Behaviour of Crimped Steel Fiber Reinforced Beam", A International Journal of Engineering Science and Computing.
- 6. Senthuran.T, Sattainathan Sharma.A, 2016, "Experimental Study on Torsional Behaviour of Hooked Steel Fiber Reinforced Beam", A International Journal of Engineering Science and Computing.
- 7. Prof. R. Sterlin Fernald Sam, Sruthi M.S., 2016, "Behaviour of R.C Beam and Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite Beam for Shear Strength", A International Journal of Engineering Research and Science.