Volume: 06 Issue: 05 | May 2019

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF BRACING AND REGULAR RCC STRUCTURE

Dr. Ramakrishna Hegde¹, Ravi Kiran², Supriya³

¹ Head of Department, Department of Civil Engineering, Srinivas School of Engineering, Mangalore, India ² Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Srinivas School of Engineering, Mangalore, India ³M. Tech Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Srinivas School of Engineering, Mangalore, India ***

Abstract – Now a days, bracings are most popular system. It is the best method for lateral load resisting systems and it will be the viable solution for enhancing earthquake resistance. It is provides for minimizing the lateral deflection of buildings. In the present study G+15 storeys is analyzed for Z-III by considering soil type II. The analysis carried out to assess the structural performance under earthquake ground motions. In this study there are three different types of bracing i.e. X bracing, V bracing, and Diagonal forward bracing and without bracing by using same plan in both X & Y Directions. Results are obtained by considering Storey Displacement, Storey Shear, Storey Drift & Mode Period.

Key Words: Storey displacement, story drift, base shear, time period, ETABS.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bracing is a structural member which can resist lateral loading. It is made up of Steel and RCC material which enables to resist lateral load. Bracing frames are classified in to X bracing, V bracing, Inverted V bracing, Diagonal forward bracing, Diagonal backward bracing. Bracings help to minimize the beam and column dimension. It also reduces the cost. Bracing of a building is done by two methods namely, concentric bracing and diagonal bracing. This both methods are done by the longer and shorter direction. The provision of bracings enhances stiffness and strength. Bracing which decreases the damage to the structure by decreasing the sway in lateral. Bracing which shows the good performance, if it is properly detailed and designed. Bracing which carries forces due to earthquake, overturning effect. In tall buildings there will be a chances of decrease in the displacement and collapsible chances due to more number of stories. Bracing are effective in minimizing the forces of quake and wind.

SCOPE OF STUDY

Buildings with same types of the zonal condition and for the same category can be adopted. Without bracing and with bracing i.e. X bracing, V bracing and Diagonal forward bracing can be adopted. It shows the behavior of the different bracings when it is placed at the same locations. Analysis of response such as storey displacement, storey shear, and time period is carried out using the ETABS 2015.

1.2 OBJECTIVE OF STUDY

The objective of this study is:-

- a. Response spectrum analysis is carried out using ETABS2015 software
- b. To determine the storey drift, storey displacement, time period and base shear
- c. The concept behind the project is analysis of without bracing and with bracing i.e. X bracing, V bracing, Diagonal forward bracing of same plan.

2. NARRATIVE OF MODEL

The present work involves analysis of without bracing and with bracing i.e. X bracing, V bracing, Diagonal forward bracing of same plan. In this project, modeling and analysis are carried for G+15 stories modeling and analysis is done using ETABS-2015 software. There are four models. Model 1 consist a without bracing, model 2 consists an X bracing, Model 3 consists a V bracing and mode 4 consists a Diagonal forward bracing. The dimension of all models is of bay length 4m x 5m. Each model is done by ETABS.

2.1 BUILDING DESCRIPTIONS

a. Material properties		
Young's modulus of (M25) concrete	25*1000 kN/m ³	
Density of reinforced concrete	25kN/m ³	
Young's modulus of steel	2*10 ⁵ kN/m ²	
Density of steel	Fe415	
Poisson's ratio	0.2	
b. Details of building		
Plan area dimension	(4*5) m	
No. of floors in two models	G+15	
Story height at ground floor	3m	



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 06 Issue: 05 | May 2019

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Typical floor height	3.0m
c. Member properties	
Thickness of slab	150mm
Columns size for the building	C1-(350x600) mm
	C2-(400x600) mm
Beam dimensions for the building	B1-(300x450) mm
	B2-(350x600) mm
d. Loads considered	
Typical live load	3.5kN/m ²
Floor finish	2kN/ m ²
Wall load	13.2 kN/m ²
e. Seismic forces	
Importance factor, I	1.0
Response reduction factor	3
Seismic zone factor	0.16
Type of soil	Medium soil

2.2ETABS MODEL GENERATION



Figure 1:Plan View of with bracing and without bracing



Figure 2:Elevation View of X bracing



Figure 3:Elevation view V bracing



Figure 4: Elevation view of Diagonal Forward bracing

3. GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION

3.1 STOREY DISPLACEMENT



Figure 5: Storey displacement for without bracing along X and Y direction



📅 Volume: 06 Issue: 05 | May 2019

www.irjet.net







Figure 7: Storey displacement for V bracing along Y direction









Figure 10: Comparison result for Storey displacement along Y direction

3.2 BASE SHEAR







Figure 12: Base Shear for X bracing along V and Y direction



📅 Volume: 06 Issue: 05 | May 2019

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072



Figure 13: Base Shear for V bracing along V and Y direction



Figure 14: Base Shear for Diagonal forward bracing along V and Y direction



Figure 15: Variation of Base Shear along X direction



Figure 16: Variation of Base Shear along Y direction



Figure 17: Storey drift for without bracing along X and Y direction



Figure 18: Storey drift for X bracing along X and Y direction



Figure 19: Storey drift for V bracing along X and Y direction



www.irjet.net







Figure 21: Variation of Storey Drift along X direction



Figure 22: Variation of Storey Drift along Y direction

3.4 TIME PERIOD (MODE PERIOD)



Figure 23: Variation of Time period for without bracing and different types of bracing

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

- 1. **Storey displacements:** From the obtained results it is found that for X and Y directions the displacement reduced when compared to without bracing model. The displacements at 16th storey in X direction for reduces by X bracing, V bracing, diagonal forward bracing are 14.1mm, 17.8mm, 21mm respectively and Y direction 9.9mm, 12.7mm, 15.6mm.
- 2. Base shear: From the obtained results it is found that for X and Y directions the base shear increased when compared to without bracing model. The base shear for 16 storey in X direction for reduces by X bracing, V bracing, diagonal forward bracing are 1300.32kN, 1035.6kN, 871.8kN respectively and Y direction 1649.8kN, 1372.7kN, 1067.4kN.
- **3. Storey drift:** Storey drift obtained results it is found that for X and Y directions the storey drift increased when compared to without bracing model. The storey drift at 16th storey in X direction for reduces by X bracing, V bracing, diagonal forward bracing, are 0.0003, 0.0004, 0.0004 respectively and Y direction 0.0002, 0.0003, 0.0003.
- 4. **Time period:** Time period in without and with bracing i.e. X-Bracing, V-Bracing, Diagonal Forward Bracing time period are 4.04sec, 0.76sec, 0.95sec and 0.10Sec. There are decreases in natural period for different types of Bracing compared to without bracing.

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

- Storey Displacement Values along both directions for without bracing gradually increases. It is observed that storey displacement value for without bracing is more compare to the X Bracing, V Bracing and Diagonal Forward Bracing. Reduction in displacement which provides rigidity for the buildings.
- Storey Shear increases for the bracing models especially for the X Bracing compared to Diagonal Forward Bracing, V Bracing & without Bracing.

4.2 FUTURE SCOPE

- In this study symmetric plan is selected and further study can be carried out for asymmetric plan.
- Studies can carried out for providing different types of bracing such as Inverted V Bracing, Diagonal Backward Bracing.

REFERENCES

[1] A Kadid, D Yahiaoui "Seismic Assessment of Braced RC Frames",2011 published by Elsevier Ltd, A. Kadid and D.Yahiaouri / Procedia Engineering 14, pages 2899-2905. Int

T Volume: 06 Issue: 05 | May 2019

- [2] Ashik S, Parasiyal Paresh Nimodiya "A Comparative Study of Wind Forces on tall building and towers as per IS 875-PART-III (1987) and draft code (2011) using gust factor method", National Conference on wind Engineering 2012, Dec. 14-15.
- [3] Kulakarni J.G., Kore P.N., Tanawade S.B., "Seismic Response of RC Braced Frames", International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications", Vol.3 (4), 2013, ISSN 2248-9622,pp. 1047-1053.
- [4] Nicka.Keipour, and Elyar.Zafarkhah, and Masood.Mofid. "Influence of Diagonal Braces in RCC Multi-storied frames under wind loads: a case study", International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, Vol.3 (1), 2012.
- [5] Nithin Bhojkar, and Mahesh Bhagade "Behaviour of Multi-storied building under the effect of wind load", International Journal of Applied Sciences and Engineering Research, Vol.1, 2012, Issue 4.
- Patil S.S., Aland S.S., Kore P.N., "Seismic Response of Concentrically Braced Reinforced Concrete Frames". International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Vol.4 (7), 2013, ISSN 2229-5518.
- [7] P. Sairaj, K.Padmanabham "Performance base Seismic design of Braced Composite Multi-storied building" International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering & Technology (An ISO 3297:2007 Certified Organization) Vol.3, 2014,Issue2. (7).
- [8] Y.U. Kulakarni and Prof. P.K. Joshi "Analysis and Design of Various Bracing System in High Rise Steel Structure" International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering IJARSE, Vol. No.3, 2014, Issue No.3, Issue No.11, ISSN-2319-8354(E).
- [9] Zasiah Tafheem, Shovona Khusuru "Structural Behaviour of Steel Building with Concentric and Eccentric Bracing, A Comparative Study", International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Vol.4 (7),2013.
- [10] IS: 1893(Part-1)-2002 Indian Standard Criteria Earthquake Resistant Design Of Structures, Bureau Of Indian Standards New Delhi.
- [11] IS: 456:2000 Indian Standard Codes for Practice for Plain Reinforced Concrete for General Building Construction .Bureau Of Indian Standards New Delhi.