

ROAD SAFETY AUDIT ON URBAN ROADS OF BENGALURU

Beeranna¹, Pramodh A², Anila R³, Dr. B V Kiran Kumar⁴

^{1,2,3}M.Tech Student, Highway Technology, Civil Engineering Department, Govt. SKSJTI, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India ⁴Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Govt. SKSJTI, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India ***______

Abstract - Road transportation is a major sector in the development of any Country. With its growth, there comes a problem of accidents. Road safety has become a matter of great concern. So the formal safety performance examination of an existing or future road or intersection such as Road Safety Audit (RSA) is necessary as it qualitatively estimates and reports on potential road safety issues and identifies opportunities for improvements in safety for all road users.

In this study various black spots of the Bengaluru city are selected and the accident analysis is done by studying the FIR copies of accidents occurred in those Black spots. FIR copies of 2017, 2018 & 2019 were obtained from concerned traffic police stations of Bengaluru city. After examining all the existing features and conditions of roads using IRC-SP:88-2010 checklist and carrying out questionnaire survey, safety measures are recommended for all kind of road users during day and night times as well in dry and wet conditions.

Key Words: Blackspot, Checklist, IRC (Indian Road Congress), RSA (Road Safety Audit), FIR (First Information Report), MoRT&H (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways).

1. INTRODUCTION

People majorly depends on road for their movement from one place to another like home to school, college, health care centre, offices, etc., Road transportation contributes to the economic. Industrial, social and cultural development of any country. Other forms of transportation such as railways, airways, waterways are also dependent on roadways.

The major road networks that are providing links between economically important ports, cities, towns are National Highways (NH) and State Highways (SH). The places where maximum accidents are occurred with greater concentrations are NH and SH. This has become a huge problem in this century all over the world.

As per data released by the Police computer wing and state crime records bureau in 2019, Karnataka had 40,658 accidents with 10,958 fatalities. Bengaluru topped the list with 4,684 accidents and 768 fatalities. Out of which 58.56% of accidents happened on NH and SH compared to district and other roads.

With the increase in road accidents and related casualities in last few decades, there is an urgent need to give greater weight on road safety. Places where more number of

accidents takes place repeatedly are called as blackspots. Present work is related on such blackspots that are present around the Bengaluru city to know the reasons for the accidents occurred and to suggest suitable measures in order to reduce them in future.

1.1 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT (RSA)

Road Safety Audit is the systematic procedure for the assessment of accident range and safety performance in the provisions made in new road schemes, road improvement or rehabilitation works, both before and after the implementation of the project.

1.2 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT (RSA) IN INDIA

In 2002, MoRT&H sponsored a project related to Development of RSA for existing sectors to CRRI in 2002 (April). NHAI entrusted CRRI about engineering design for RSA on NH-2 having a total length of about 900km. It was the longest road project in the world taken for RSA.

The first Road Safety Audit Project in India was taken by CRRI in 2000 at Indore bypass.

In India, there may not be any formal requirements for safety of all kinds of roads other than highways. But these are the alarming situations in this century that every road needs safety measures because of rapidly increasing vehicle users each year.

1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- Collect accident data from FIR copies provided by traffic police stations in the city for past 3 years (2017-2019) that helps in statistical accident analysis.
- Identify the blackspots for which RSA has to be done using checklist as per IRC-SP:88-2010.
- Inventory of road geometrics and traffic condition.
- Conduct questionnaire survey on road users, Traffic Police that strengthens the analysis.
- Suggest required remedial measures based on the study to reduce accidents in future.



e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 PROJECT METHODOLOGY

- From the statistical analysis of collected data, blackspots that connects NH around the city are selected.
- Odometer survey is done to check length and confirm chainage of respective stretches of roads.
- Examine the existing features and conditions of roads in the selected blackspots at day and night using IRC-SP:88-2010 (Road Safety Audit manual) checklist.
- Carryout questionnaire survey road users, traffic police officers opinion might be helpful for analysis.
- Suggest required measures that needs to be done at the respective blackspots to reduce the number of accidents.

Table -1: LIST OF BLACKSPOT LOCATION IN BENGALURU
CITY SELECTED FOR THE STUDY

	TRAFFIC POLICE		
SL.	STATION (TPS)	BLACKSPOT LOCATION	
NO.	JURISDICTION OF		
	BLACKSPUI		
1		Hosur Main Road -	
I	MADIWALA	Madivala IPS to slik	
		Hoguru Main Road	
2		Hulimayu TPS to	
2		Singasandra Bus ston	
		Hosuru main road- E City	
3		TPS to Naganathapura	
5	ELECTRONIC CITY (E-	iunction	
	CITY)	Hosuru main road- E City	
4		TPS to Konappana	
		Agrahara	
		Hosuru main road- E City	
5		TPS to EC-1, to	
		Veerasandra Junction	
6		Outer ring road, Bheema	
U		Jewellers	
7	BANASAWADI	Outer ring road, Near	
<i>'</i>	Diministrumbi	Forest office	
8		Outer ring road, Near	
_		Chroma Showroom	
9		Koramangala inner ring	
10	HALASOOR	road	
10		Old Airport road	
		RVCE Mysore road -	
11		Dubasipalya to UCO Bank	
	KENGERI	Junction	
10		Maanu Petrol bunk	
12		Mysore road- Satellite	
		Bus station to UCO Bank	

	1		
		Junction	
13		Shukkuru Junction	
		Mysore road-UCO Bank	
15		Junction to NICE road	
		Junction	
		Doddabele Junction	
14		Mysore road -UCO Bank	
		Junction to NICE Road	
		Junction	
15	YESHAWANTAPUR	NH-4 RMC Yard to MEI	
		junction	
		NEAR Navayuga toll- H	
16		Cross Junction to	
	PEENYA	Navayuga	
		Chokkasandra junction-	
17		Chokkasandra junction	
		to SM circle	
10		Minerva circle JC road-	
18	V V DIIDAM	Minerva circle to Kamath	
	V V PUKAM	Hotel	
19		Kalaginalya Main road	
		RaidSipalya Malii Ioau	
20		to Unitoch Cato	
	κςιανομτ	Nagagaudananalua	
24	K 5 LATOOT	Nagegowdanapalya-	
21		Regowdanapaiya	
		bridge to sompura Lake	
22	HEBBALA	Yogeshwarangara cross	
23	CHIKKAJALA	Sir MVIT junction	
	KEMPEGOWDA		
24	INTERNATIONAL	Kannamangalapalya Gate	
	AIRPORT (KIA)		

2.2 APPROACH TO ROAD SAFETY REVIEW

MoRT&H defines blackspots as the spot on road or stretch of a road up to 500m endorsing either 5 road accidents or 10 deaths during 3 years of period.

The observations are mainly focused on following critical elements of the roads.

- Road safety concerns due to the design standards adopted and executed.
- Road safety concerns at high risk stretches (Earlier Black Spots or Probable Black Spots).

• Requirement of Retro-reflective pavement markings (RRPMs), road markings, road delineators, road side & median safety barriers and road signs and its road safety concerns.

• Road safety concerns at Junctions/Intersections and gaps in medians.

L

| ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | Dec 2020

IRIET

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

- Requirement of speed calming measures & traffic channeling Measures and road safety concerns at these locations.
- Road safety concerns at 'S' curves or other sharp curves (Provision of Extra widening, Super elevation, road runoff & recovery space, Chevrons, Crash barriers, Guard stones)
- Road safety concerns at high embankment.
- Road Safety Concerns due to inadequate lighting facility near habitations and junctions.
- Requirement of Foot Paths, Raised Table top crossing, Zebra Crossing or Extra lanes for Non-motorized and motorized two wheelers in the vicinity of the Habitations.

2.3 FREQUENCY, SEVERITY & LEVEL OF RISK OF ACCIDENTS

The classification of frequency, severity, level of risk of the traffic accidents and suggestion of treatment approach are given in the tables 2,3,4,5 respectively.

Table -2: FREQUENCY DEPENDING UPON THE POSSIBLECRASH IS TERMED

FREQUENCY	DEFINITION
FREQUENT	One or more than one accidents in one month
PROBABLE	One or more than one accidents per year but less than one in a month
OCCASIONAL	Accident occurs once in every five to ten years
IMPROBABLE	Accident occurs Less than one every ten years

Table -3: SEVERITY DEPENDING UPON THE TYPE OF
CRASH IS TERMED

SEVERITY	DESCRIPTION	EXAMPLE
CATASTROPHIC	Occurrence of Multiple- deaths	A bus collision at high speed with a bridge abutment. High speed, multi- vehicle crashes on expressways or highways.

SERIOUS	Occurrence of a death with or without	High or medium speed vehicle collisions. Vehicle collisions with fixed roadside	
	serious injuries	objects. Pedestrian crashes on highways.	
MINOR	Occurrence of Minor injuries only	Low speed collisions: such as a bicyclist sliding on a sandy road surface, a pedestrian hit in a car park or a rear end crash in a slip lane.	
LIMITED	Occurrence of Trivial injuries or only property damage	Very low speed vehicle collisions. A car can collide with a median island in a car park. Pedestrian trips on uneven footpath.	

Table -4: DEFINITION OF RISK

DICK	From Table 2-Frequency & Table-3 Severity			
KISK	FREQU ENT	PROBAB LE	OCCASIO NAL	IMPRO BABLE
LIMITED	High	Medium	Low	Low
MINOR	Intoler able	High	Medium	Low
SERIOUS	Intoler able	Intolera ble	High	Medium
CATASTRO PHIC	Intoler able	Intolera ble	Intolera ble	High

Risk is defined in table 4 by observing the classification of frequency and severity in tables 2 & 3 respectively.

Table -5: COURSE OF ACTION OR TREATMENT TO BE SUGGESTED BASED ON RANK OF "RISK"

RISK	SUGGESTED TREATMENT APPROACH		
Low	Safety concerns need to be corrected risk reduces, if a treatment cost is low.		



/ International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) 🤅

JET Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | Dec 2020

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Medium	Safety concern shall be correct hence the risk significantly reduced if the treatment cost is moderate but not high.
High	Safety concern shall be corrected or the risk significantly reduced even if the cost is high.
Intolerable	Safety concern must to be addressed at any cost.

3. ROAD SAFETY AUDITING

3.1 MANUAL ON ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

Road safety auditing is done using the Road safety Audit manual i.e., "Manual on Road Safety Audit" IRC:SP:88-2010. For the present study stage 6 is selected i.e. On exiting road during the stages of operation and maintenance.

Auditing is done under different parameters from the check list like General, General-Alignment, cross section, junction, signal controlled junction, Road sign, Informatory sign, Road marking, Lighting, Road side hazards and Road side facilities is done.

Table -6: CHECKLIST FOR ROAD SAFETY AUDITING

SL.	PARTICULARS	YES/NO	REMARKS
NU.			
GEN	IERAL		
1	Are the vehicles are prevailing the speed levels within desired limits?		
2	Parking of vehicles stop in manner that may cause hazards?		
3	Is plantations obscure perceivability or Notice sign?		
4	Is the carriage and surface of road marking visibility?		
5	Is the islands and medians of required width provided?		
6	Does these are likely to be a needed for crossing facilities for side walkers?		
7	Does bus bays and bus-stops are safely placed with proper visible and clearance for the traffic?		
8	Is over taking opportunity for fast moving vehicles?		
ALI	GNMENT		•

1	Whether the design speed		
1	nronosed is properly		
	functioning for the road?		
2	Is cautionary sign placed or	-	
2	Not?		
	NOU:		
3	Whether the proposed		
	alignment gives proper		
	visibility for design speed?		
CR	OSS SECTION		
1	The width of shoulder,		
	carriageway,		
	Median (if any), service roads		
	are as per standards or Not?		
	And are required of the		
	volume and for proper		
	function for the road with mix		
	traffic?		
2	Note any suitable location		
	where		
	Cross sections standards		
	altered for the route of		
	inconsistency for the		
	expectations of driver?		
3	Identify/specify any location		
	of the		
	Roadway is restricted for the		
	capacity of roadway and Note		
	down any location of regular		
	traffic congestion?		
4	As per the standards safer		
	side drains are provided or		
	Not?		
5	For the pedestrians adequate		
	width of footpath/width on		
	median is provided or Not?		
JUI	NCTION		
1	Whether the actual designed		
-	Iunction type is suitable for		
	the deserved traffic volume &		
	is good for more than two		
	roads?		
2	Whether it is designed layout		
2	of junction is adequate for all		
	the types of vehicles and		
	vehicular movements?		
2	Whether there are any		
5	deficiencies		
	For the night time lighting		
	nrovision?		
4			
4	Are intersections or junctions		
	at that		
	spot having appropriate		
	markings, signs to keep away		
	from accidents?	1	1

L



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056

IRJET Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | Dec 2020

www.irjet.net

p-ISSN: 2395-0072

5	Whether the signal operation	
	sequence do conform all the Requirements of standards?	
6	Do the signals clearly	
	indicates which movements	
	are allowed at one time?	
7	Whether the positioned signal	
	heads can be seen easily by	
	the drivers so that they react	
	in time?(Go/Stop)	
8	Whether the positioned signal	
	for pedestrians is clearly	
	visible or Not?	
RO	AD SIGNS	
1	For provisions or the	
1	arrangements made as road	
	signs (Regulatory	
	Informatory Warning signs &	
	Delineators) Satisfactory as	
	ner the standard?	
	Check for use of Nonstandard	
2	signs (shape and color) and	
2	unauthorized traffic signs?	
2	Check for visibility of traffic	
5	signs by watching traffic signs	
	during the night time and	
	identify whether any lack of	
	reflectivity?	
4	Are the signs are positioned	
-	Correctly to use the needed	
	timely actions by the drivers?	
5	Are warning and regulatory	
-	signs are placed wherever	
	needed?	
RO	AD MARKING	
1	Road markings are adequate	
1	and visible clearly during	
	warious weathering condition	
	and during night/day time?	
	and during inglic/ day time.	
2	Whether the type of markings	
	used is correct under various	
	situations (Ex: edge line, lane	
	line)?	
3	At the junction any zebra	
	crossing	
	Markings are provided or	
	Not?	
4	Stop lines positioning is	
	appropriate or Not?	
LIG	HTING	
1	During night lighting is	
Γ	needed on the project roads	
	like interchanges: truck lav	
	bays, buses bays, toll plazas?	
L	5, <u>5, F</u>	

	1	7
2	Whether the light poles	
	located cause any hazardous	
3	Whether the provided street	
	Lightings specify any route	
	guidance?	
4	Are there any electrical poles	
	or utility poles near the edge	
	of the berms which may cause	
DΛ		
лU 1	Whathan the provided alege	
1	zone as per guidelines?	
2	Whether any hazard is	
	associated with presence of	
	boulders, large tress and	
	whether they shall be treat	
	safety?	
3	Check whether any vegetation	
	or	
	side walkers and driver?	
4		
4	Whether any fixed road side	
	roadway?	
_	i oadway.	
5	On the right of roadway any	
	side stall exits or Not?	
	Side Stall exits of Not:	
6	Whether the provided fencing	
	is free of separate horizontal	
	rails in clear zone?	
7	In the median whether the	
	vegetation height is less than	
DΛ	AD SIDE FACILITY	
KU		1
1	Whether the alignment, cross	
	Section and signage promotes	
	drivers for adjusting the	
	speed while entering the city	
	significancy level?	
2	Whether for the pedestrians	
–	any safe provision is provided	
	adequately and along the road	
	side walkway facility is	
	provided or Not?	
3	Adequate roadside parking is	
	provided safely under control	



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | Dec 2020

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

4	Whether any decision is being taken for improving the parking situation and traffic in the place where the road passes?	
5	Whether provided bus stop location is free from traffic line?	

3.2 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENTS

FIR data which is collected from different traffic police station about road accidents is used to rank black spots as first, second and third so on.

The ranking is given mainly as per the road accident severity that is fatal or death, major injury, minor injury and damage etc.

The black spot with more accidents and higher severity is given as first rank and less accidents with lower severity is given as last rank.

The ranking is mainly done on the basis of Accident Point Weightage (APW). The Weightage taken for different nature of accidents is

- Fatal -6
- Major injury -3
- Minor injury -0.8
- Vehicle damage 0.2

The calculation of APW is shown below.

For Black spot 1, APW = 8*6+7*3+5*0.8+6*0.2 = 74.2

For Accuracy lan of APW is taken. ln (74.2) = 4.3067

 Table -7: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENTS

BLAC K SPO T	FAT AL	MAJ OR INJU RY	MIN OR INJU RY	DA MA GE	APW	Ln (APW)	RANK
1	08	07	05	06	74.2	4.3067	13
2	03	13	08	10	65.4	4.1805	20
3	10	12	08	11	104.6	4.6501	5
4	15	08	06	10	120.8	4.7941	3
5	06	10	08	12	81.36	4.3988	12
6	06	10	05	06	72.2	4.2794	15
7	07	08	04	10	71.2	4.2654	16
8	10	09	11	10	97.8	4.5798	6
9	07	05	03	10	61.4	4.1174	21
10	07	05	03	10	61.4	4.1174	21
11	06	09	08	19	73.2	4.2931	14

C	2	02	0,	IRJ	ET
---	---	----	----	-----	----

I

12 05 10 05 10 68.4 4.2253 18 09 13 08 06 11 85 4.4426 9 14 05 10 08 12 4.2323 17 68.8 15 08 09 10 08 84.6 4.4379 10 16 06 13 02 11 58.5 4.0472 22 17 04 08 04 04 50 3.9120 23 7 18 08 08 15 93.4 4.5368 12 05 15 05 20 11 19 83 4.4188 20 12 12 80 15 108.6 4.6877 4 21 10 45 15 10 209 5.3421 1 22 03 14 06 08 66.4 4.1956 19 23 08 12 02 12 88 4.4773 8 12 20 14 23 147.8 4.9943 2 24

From the accidents analysis, Rank 1 is given to NAGEGOWDANAPALYA (Blackspot 21) and less severity black spot is CHOKKASANDRA JUCNCTION (Blackspot 17) with ranking 23. It is observed that Blackspots 9&10 has same ln (APW) of 4.1174. So both Blackspots are ranked at 21.

3.3 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

As part of this study, a questionnaire survey is also conducted at the location of black spots. From the reviews of public, traffic police officers along with the study carried out at the blackspots, the accidents are mainly due to the driver negligence, irregular pedestrian moments, traffic violations from the road users, bad road condition. Some questions that were asked to the public in the survey are listed in table 7.

Table -8: QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY CONDUCTED IN THESTUDY

Sl.	QUESTIONS	REMARKS		COMMENTS
No.		Yes	No	
01	Due sight	33	67	Geometric is fine at all
	distance			black spots except few
02	Due to drink	65	35	Most accidents occur
	and drive			due to drink & drive
03	Due to	55	45	Need more sign
	inadequate			boards at all black
	sign boards			spots
04	Insufficient	58	42	Need to install street
	provision of			lights at some
	street lights			locations
05	Random	75	25	Irregular pedestrian
	moment of			movements is more.
	pedestrian			
06	Due to over	78	22	Vehicle speed is more
	speed			than design speed
07	Due to	63	37	The commercial
	commercial			activities are at all the
	activities			black spots
	besides the			

ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056

Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | Dec 2020

www.irjet.net

p-ISSN: 2395-0072

	pavement			
08	Due to violating the traffic rules	66	34	Traffic violations are common at all blackspots
09	Due to less visibility of the road markings	72	28	Road markings are faded at most of the locations



Fig -1: IMPROPER MAINTENANCE OF ROAD SIDE DRAIN AT BLACKSPOT 1



Fig -2: MANHOLE AT THE CENTER OF ROAD AT **BLACKSPOT 6**



Fig -3: FADED ROAD MARKINGS AT BLACKSPOT 16



Fig -4: TWO WHEELER PARKING ON PEDESTRIAN PATH AT BLACKSPOT 20

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | Dec 2020

IRIET

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072



Fig -5: NIGHT GLARY EFFECT DUE TO NO STREET LIGHTS AT BLACKSPOT 21



Fig -6: ACCIDENT TOOK PLACE DUE TO LACK OF SIGHT DISTANCE AT BLACKSPOT 23 DURING THE SURVEY

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study is carried out using IRC guidelines and MoRT&H specifications and IRC guidelines and can be concluded as follows.

- From statistical ranking analysis, it is found that Nagegowdanapalya Bridge to Sompura is high accident zone and Chokkasandra Junction is low accident zone.
- Most of these black spots are present near NAMMA METRO- Bengaluru construction sites, because of which road geometry is altered form its original features turning them as blackspots.

- These black spots connects to NH so the vehicle speed is more along with increasing vehicle density and median openings is the cause for most of the cases resulted in accidents.
- Intelligent Transport System has to be implemented in Bengaluru city for efficient management of traffic.
- Efficient and strict administration by the Government Agencies to install necessary lightings, road markings, traffic signs/ boards and maintain pavement condition from frequent potholes, drainage problems, sight visibility to reduce accidents at black spots in future.
- Awareness and accountability has to improve among the road users because most of the accidents were occurred due to negligence of driver, traffic violations by road users.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to express our gratitude and appreciation for all those who provided us the support and guidance. We would like to thank our parents for their consistent support and blessings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Road safety audit Manual IRC-SP-88-2010.
- [2] Road accident: (NCRB-2015 Report)
- [3] Bengaluru city traffic police (Bengaluru City traffic Management system: BTRAC 2010.
- [4] Channabasavesh Jidagi, "Road safety audit for the major roads of in Bengaluru", IOSRJMCE ISSN: 2278 -1684.
- [5] Shalini Kanuganti, "Road Safety Analysis Using Multi Criteria Approach: A Case Study in India. World Conference on Transport Research", WCTR, 2016 Shanghai. 10-15 July 2016.
- [6] S. K. Khanna, C. E. G. Justo, A. Veeraraghavan, Highway Engineering, 10th Edition; Nem Chand & Bros: Roorkee, 2015.

BIOGRAPHIES



Beeranna received his Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering from M S Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bengaluru in 2017 and Master degree in Highway Technology from VTU, Belgaum in 2019. He is interested in Highway design, construction & Transport Planning.





Pramodh A finished his Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering at Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology, Bengaluru in 2017 and Master degree in Highway Technology from VTU, Belgaum in 2019. He is interested in Highway design & construction, Pavement Evaluation & management system.



Anila R completed his Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering at Govt. SKSJTI, Bengaluru in 2017 and Master degree in Highway Technology from VTU, Belgaum in 2019. He is interested in Pavement evaluation & management system, Highway design & Construction.