International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

Coefficient Bounds for Subclasses of Bi-univalent Functions Defined by (p,q)-Derivatives

Vijaya Shetty¹

Department of Mathematics, N I E Institute of Technology, Mysore, India

Raju D S²

Department of Mathematics, N I E Institute of Technology, Mysore, India

Abstract— This paper introduces two new subclasses $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ and $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda, \mu, \gamma]$ of bi-univalent functions by using (p, q)-derivatives and determine the bounds for first two coefficients for functions in these subclasses.

Keywords- univalent function; bi-univalent function; coefficient bounds; (p,q)-derivative ; q-derivative

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{V} denote the class of functions f given by,

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m$$

(1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathfrak{P} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Furthermore, let \bullet represent the class of all functions $f \in \mathbb{V}$ in the form (1) which are univalent in \mathfrak{P} . The Koebe one-quarter theorem [5] ensures that the image of \mathfrak{P} under every function $f \in \bullet$ contains a disk of radius \mathfrak{V} . Thus, every function $f \in \bullet$ has an inverse f^{-1} satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$) and $(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ($|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \ge 1/4$).

A function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathcal{F} if both f and its inverse f^{-1} are univalent in \mathcal{F} . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in \mathcal{F} . Since $f \in \Sigma$ has the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion given by (1), its inverse f^{-1} has the expansion

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3) + \cdots$$
⁽²⁾

Many authors introduced and investigated different subclasses of bi-univalent functions and obtained estimates for the initial coefficients for functions in these subclasses (see [1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 15, 14, 12, 17]).

In Geometric Function Theory, different subclasses of the normalized analytic function class \mathcal{V} have been analysed from various viewpoints. The *q*-calculus and the fractional *q*-calculus provide important tools that have been used for the investigation of different subclasses of \mathcal{V} .

To begin with, we define the fractional (p, q)-derivative (see [4, 10]) for a complex function f(z) as follows:

Definition 1. For $0 < q < p \le 1$, the (p, q)-derivative of a complex-valued function f(z) is given by

$$d_{p,q}f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(pz) - f(qz)}{(p-q)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0\\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

From the above definition, it is clear that

$$d_{p,q}(z^m) = [m]_{p,q} z^{m-1}, (4)$$

where

$$[m]_{p,q} = \frac{p^m - q^m}{p - q}$$
(5)

Thus, for $f \in \mathcal{B}$ given by (1), we have



WWW.IRJET.NET International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

$$d_{p,q}f(z) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_{p,q} a_m z^{m-1}$$
(6)

For p=1, we obtain the *q*-derivative of f(z) (see [9]) given by

VOLUME: 07, SPECIAL ISSUE | JUNE 2020

$$d_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0\\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

and thus, for $f \in \mathcal{F}$ given by (1), we have $d_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q a_m z^{m-1}$ where $[m]_q = \frac{1-q^m}{1-q}$.

Also, for $f \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $\lim_{q \to 1^-} d_q f(z) = f'(z)$.

This paper introduces two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by using (p, q)-derivatives and we determine bounds for the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in these subclasses. For this purpose, we use the following lemma:

Lemma 2. [5] If $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \cdots$ is analytic in Y such that Re p(z) > 0, then $|p_k| \le 2$, for each k.

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $B_{\pi}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$.

In this section, we introduce the subclass $B_{\pi}^{p,q}(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)$ of the bi-univalent function class Σ and obtain the bounds for $|a_2|$

and $|a_2|$ for the functions in this subclass.

Definition 3. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ where $0 < q < p \leq 1$,

 $\lambda \ge 1, \ \mu \ge 0, \ 0 < \ \alpha \le 1$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left|\arg\left\{(1-\lambda)\frac{f(z)}{z}+\lambda d_{p,q}f(z)+\mu z\left(d_{p,q}f(z)\right)'\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$\tag{7}$$

and

$$\left|\arg\left\{(1-\lambda)\frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda \, d_{p,q}g(w) + \mu w \left(d_{p,q}g(w)\right)'\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \quad (w \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$\tag{8}$$

where $2(1-\alpha)\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{\mu m+1} \leq 1$ and g is the extension of f^{-1} to Y.

Now, we obtain the bounds for $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the function class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$.

Theorem 4. Let f(z) given by (1) be in the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$. Then

$$|a_{2}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}|}, \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|2\alpha\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}+(1-\alpha)\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}|}}\right\}$$
(9)

and

$$|a_{2}| \leq \frac{4\alpha^{2}}{\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{2\alpha}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[2]_{p,q}|}.$$
(10)

Proof. Let $f \in B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$. Then, from (7) and (8), we have



P-ISSN: 2395-0072

International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda d_{p,q}f(z) + \mu z \left(d_{p,q}f(z)\right)' = [k(z)]^{\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$(11)$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda d_{p,q}g(w) + \mu w \left(d_{p,q}g(w)\right)' = [h(w)]^{\alpha} \quad (w \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$(12)$$

where

$$k(z) = 1 + k_1 z + k_2 z^2 + k_3 z^3 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$
⁽¹³⁾

and

$$h(w) = 1 + h_1 w + h_2 w^2 + h_3 w^3 + \dots \quad (w \in \mathcal{U})$$
(14)

satisfying the conditions Re k(z) > 0 and Re h(w) > 0.

Now, equating the coefficients of like terms in (11) and (12), we get

$$\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}a_2 = \alpha k_1$$
(15)

$$\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}a_3 = \alpha k_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}k_1^2$$
(16)

$$-\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}a_2 = \alpha h_1$$
(17)

and

$$\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}(2a_2^2 - a_3) = \alpha h_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}h_1^2$$
(18)

From (15) and (17), we get

$$h_1 = -k_1 \tag{19}$$

And

$$2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2 a_2^2 = \alpha^2 (k_1^2 + h_1^2)$$
(20)

Now, from (16), (18) and (20), we obtain

Thus, we have

$$2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}a_2^2 = \alpha(k_2 + h_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(k_1^2 + h_1^2)$$
$$= \alpha(k_2 + h_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2a_2^2$$

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^4 (k_2 + h_2)}{2\alpha \{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[2]_{p,q}\} + (1 - \alpha)[1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2}$$
(21)

Now, calculating the absolute values on both sides of (20) and (21) and by using Lemma 2, we get



International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha^2 (|k_1|^2 + |h_1|^2)}{2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2} \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2}$$

and

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha^2(|k_2|+|h_2|)}{|2\alpha\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}+(1-\alpha)\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2|} \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{|2\alpha\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}+(1-\alpha)\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2|}$$

from which we obtain (9).

Further, to find the bound on the coefficient $|a_3|$, we substract (18) from (16) and use (19) to obtain

$$a_{3} = a_{2}^{2} + \frac{\alpha(k_{2} - h_{2})}{2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}}$$
(22)

Substituting for a_2^2 from (20) in (22), we have

$$a_{3} = \frac{\alpha^{2}(k_{1}^{2} + h_{1}^{2})}{2(1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q})^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(k_{2} - h_{2})}{2(1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q})}$$
(23)

Now, by finding the absolute values on both sides of (23) and using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{1}|^{2})}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2|\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{4\alpha^{2}}{\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{2\alpha}{|\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{1}|^{2})}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{1}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{1}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{1}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{2}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{2}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{2}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{2}|^{2})}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q})} \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{2}|^{2})}}{2(\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q})} \leq$$

which is precisely (10).

Hence the Theorem 4 is proved.

Remark 5. If we put $\lambda = 1$ in Definition 3, then the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ reduces to the class $H_{\sigma_{B}}^{p,q,\mu,\alpha}$ which was defined and studied by Motamednezhad and Salehian [10].

Thus, from Theorem 4., we obtain the results as follows:

Corollary 6. Let f(z) given by (1) be in the class $H_{\sigma_{\pi}}^{p,q,\mu,\alpha}$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\mu)[2]_{p,q}}, \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha(1+2\mu)[2]_{p,q}+(1-\alpha)(1+\mu)^2[2]_{p,q}^2}}\right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{4\alpha^2}{(1+\mu)^2 [2]_{p,q}^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(1+2\mu) [3]_{p,q}}$$

Remark 7. If we put $\lambda = 1, p = 1$ and let $q \to 1^-$ in Definition 3, then the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ reduces to the class $H_{\Sigma}(\mu, \alpha)$ which was defined and studied by Frasin [6].

Thus, from Theorem 4, we obtain the results as follows:

Corollary 8. Let f(z) given by (1) be in the class $H_{\Sigma}(\mu, \alpha)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \le min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{1+\mu}, \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha+2)+4\mu(\alpha+\mu-\alpha\mu+2)}}\right\}$$

volume: 07, special issue | june 2020 www.irjet.net

International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\alpha^2}{(1+\mu)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(1+2\mu)}$$

3. Coefficient bounds for the function class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda, \mu, \gamma]$

Here we introduce the function class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda,\mu,\gamma]$ using the definition as follows: **Definition 9.** A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda,\mu,\gamma]$ where $0 < q < p \le 1$,

 $\lambda \ge 1, \ \mu \ge 0, \ 0 \le \ \gamma < 1$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$Re\left\{(1-\lambda)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda d_{p,q}f(z) + \mu z \left(d_{p,q}f(z)\right)'\right\} > \gamma \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$\tag{24}$$

and

$$Re\left\{(1-\lambda)\frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda d_{p,q}g(w) + \mu w \left(d_{p,q}g(w)\right)'\right\} > \gamma \quad (w \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$(25)$$

where $2(1-\gamma)\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{\mu m+1} \leq 1$ and g is the extension of f^{-1} to Y.

Now, we obtain the bounds for $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the function class. $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda,\mu,\gamma]$.

Theorem 10. Let
$$f(z)$$
 given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda,\mu,\gamma]$. Then
 $|a_2| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}|}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[2]_{p,q}|}}\right\}$ (26)

and

$$|a_{\mathfrak{z}}| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[\mathfrak{z}]_{p,\mathfrak{q}}|} \tag{27}$$

Proof. Let $f \in B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda, \mu, \gamma]$. Then, from (24) and (25), we have

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda d_{p,q}f(z) + \mu z \left(d_{p,q}f(z)\right)' = \gamma + (1-\gamma)k(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$\tag{28}$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda d_{p,q}g(w) + \mu w \left(d_{p,q}g(w)\right)' = \gamma + (1-\gamma)g(w) \quad (w \in \mathcal{U})$$

$$\tag{29}$$

where k(z) and h(w) are given by (13) and (14), respectively, with Re k(z) > 0 and Re h(w) > 0.

Now, equating the coefficients of like terms in (28) and (29), we get

$$\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}a_2 = (1 - \gamma)k_1$$
(30)

$$\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}a_3 = (1 - \gamma)k_2$$
(31)

 $-\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}a_2 = (1 - \gamma)h_1$ (32)

INIT VOLUME: 07, SPECIAL ISSUE | JUNE 2020 WWW.IRJET.NET

International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

and

$$\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}(2a_2^2 - a_3) = (1 - \gamma)h_2$$
(33)

From (30) and (32), we get

$$h_1 = -k_1 \tag{34}$$

and

$2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + \mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2 a_2^2 = (1 - \gamma)^2 (k_1^2 + h_1^2)$ (35)

Also, from (31) and (33), we get

$$2\{1 - \lambda + (\lambda + 2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}a_2^2 = (1 - \gamma)^2(k_2 + h_2)$$
(36)

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)^2 (|k_1|^2 + |h_1|^2)}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2} \leq \frac{4(1-\gamma)^2}{\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^2}$$

and

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(|k_2|+|h_2|)}{|2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{|\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|}$$

from which we obtain (26).

Further, to find the bound on the coefficient $|a_3|$, we substract (33) from (31) to get

$$a_{3} = a_{2}^{2} + \frac{(1-\gamma)(k_{2}-h_{2})}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}}$$
(37)

Substituting for a_2^2 from (35) in (37), we have

$$a_{3} = \frac{(1-\gamma)^{2}(k_{1}^{2}+h_{1}^{2})}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{(1-\gamma)(k_{2}-h_{2})}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}}$$
(38)

Also, by substituting the value of a_2^2 from (36) in (37), we have

$$a_3 = \frac{(1-\gamma)k_2}{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[2]_{p,q}}$$
(39)

Now, by finding the absolute values on both sides of (38) and (39) and using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)^{2}(|k_{1}|^{2}+|h_{1}|^{2})}{2\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{(1-\gamma)(|k_{2}|+|h_{2}|)}{2|\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|} \leq \frac{4(1-\gamma)^{2}}{\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+\mu)[2]_{p,q}\}^{2}} + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{|\{1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}\}|}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)|k_2|}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}|} \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{|1-\lambda+(\lambda+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}|}$$

from which we obtain (27).

International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

Hence the Theorem is proved.

Remark 11. If we put $\lambda = 1$ in Definition 9, then the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda,\mu,\gamma]$ reduces to the class $H_{\sigma_B}^{p,q,\mu}(\gamma)$ which was defined and studied by Motamednezhad and Salehian [10].

Thus, from Theorem 10, we obtain the result as follows:

Corollary 12. Let f(z) given by (1) be in the class $H_{\sigma_{R}}^{p,q,\mu}(\gamma)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le min \left\{ \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{(1+\mu)[2]_{p,q}}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{(1+2\mu)[2]_{p,q}}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{(1+2\mu)[3]_{p,q}}$$

Remark 13. If we put $\lambda = 1, p = 1$ and let $q \to 1^-$ in Definition 9, then the class $B_{\Sigma}^{p,q}[\lambda,\mu,\gamma]$ reduces to the class $H_{\Sigma}^{\mu}(\gamma)$ which was defined and studied by Frasin [6].

Thus, from Theorem 10, we obtain the result as follows:

Corollary 14. Let f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class $H_{\Sigma}^{\mu}(\gamma)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \le min \left\{ \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\mu}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\mu)}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\mu)}$$

References

[1] D. A. Brannan and T.S. Taha, "On some classes of bi-univalent functions," Studia. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 31(2) (1986) pp.70-77.

[2] S. Bulut, "Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving the q-derivative operator,"Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank.Ser. Al Math. Stat., 66(1) (2015) pp.108-114.

[3] M. Caglar, H.Orhan and N.Yagmur, "Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions,"Filomat. 27(7) (2013) pp.1165-1171.

[4] R.Chakrabarti and R.Jagannathan, "A (p; q)-oscillator realization of two-parameter quantum algebras," J. Phys. A,24 (1991) pp. 711- 718.

[5] P.L. Duren, Univalent functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.

VOLUME: 07, SPECIAL ISSUE | JUNE 2020

International Conference on Recent Trends in Science & Technology-2020 (ICRTST - 2020)

Organised by: ATME College of Engineering, Mysuru, INDIA

WWW.IRJET.NET

[6] B.A.Frasin, "Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions,"Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 43(3)(2014) pp. 383-389.

[7] T.Hayami and S.Owa, "Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions," Pan Amer. Math. J. 22(4) (2012) pp.15-26.

[8] F.H. Jackson, "On q-definite integrals," Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910) pp.193-203.

[9] F.H.Jackson, "On q-functions and a certain difference operator," Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 46 (1908) pp.253-281

[10] A. Motamednezhad ands. Salehian , " New subclass of bi-univalent functions by (p; q)-derivative operator," Honam Math. J. 41(2) (2019) pp.381-390.

[11] H.M. Srivastava , S. Bulut , M.Caglar and N.Yagmur, "Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and biunivalent functions," Filomat 27 (5) (2013) pp. 831-842.

[12] H.M.Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F.Ghanim, "Coefficient estimates for some general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions," Afr. Mat. 28 (2017) pp.693-706.

[13] H.M. Srivastava , A.K.Mishra and P.Gochhayat , "Certain subclasses of analytic and bi- univalent functions," Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010) pp.1188-1192.

[14] H.M.Srivastava, S.Gaboury and F.Ghanim , "Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric biunivalent functions," Acta. Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 36 (2016) pp.863-871.

[15] H.M.Srivastava, S.Sumer Eker and M.Rosihan Ali , " Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions," Filomat 29 (2015) pp.1839-1845.

[16] H.M.Srivastava and D.Bansal , "Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions," J. Egyptian Math. Soc. 23 (2015) pp.242-246.

[17] Q.H.Xu, Y.C.Gui and H.M. Srivastava, "Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012) pp. 990-994.