

ANALYSIS OF CONCRETE CONTAINING FLY ASH

Pankaj Kumar¹, Shivam Singh Patel²

¹M.Tech (Civil Engineering with specialization in Highway Engineering) Scholar, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Lucknow

²Assistant Professor (Civil Engineering Department), Maharishi University of Information Technology, Lucknow Uttar Pradesh, India

***______*

Abstract - In India, right now a lot of fly ash is created for the most part in warm force plants with a basic blow on condition and living life form. The utilization of fly ash in concrete can decrease the utilization of common assets and furthermore reduces the impact of contamination in condition. In late examinations, numerous analysts found that the utilization of extra cementitious materials likes fly ash in concrete is practical and solid. This examination is a piece of exploratory program did to contemplate the use of non-customary structure material (fly ash) for improvement of new materials and innovation. It is focused on materials which can satisfy the desires for the development business in various zones. In this examination, concrete has been supplanted by fly ash in like manner in the scope of 0% ,5%10%,15%, 20% by weight of concrete for M-30 grade in with .43 water cement proportion. The most significant mechanical property of concrete is compressive quality and it is assessed on 150X150X150 mm 3D squares by The compressive quality is acquired for multi day quality and results are investigations.

Key Words: class F fly ash, Ordinary Portland Cement(O.P.C.), Compressive strength etc.

1. INTRODUCTION

In warm force stations, for the most part two kinds of cinders arecreated from consuming of coal. The lighter one goes up the smokestack and gathered either by mechanical or by electrostatic precipitator is known as fly ash. Bit of fly ash escapes alongside hot gases through smokestacks. The other portion containing coarser materials are gathered at the base of the heater, is called base ash. Fly ash is fine and diverted with pipe gases. It is isolated from hot gases in Electrostatic precipitator. Fly ash is in two sort class f and c, in class f fly ash typically creates by burring anthracite or bituminous coal, ordinarily has under 5% CaO. Class f fly ash has pozzolanic just and in class c fly ash typically delivered by consuming lignite or sub bituminous coal. Some class c fly ash may have CaO.

1.1 LITERATURE REVIEW

A portion of the early investigates have analyzed the utilization of fly ash (FA) in concrete.

Vittal (2001) expressed that couple of dikes have just been built utilizing lake ash in India. As per IRC, 2001 (a working group of Indian govt.) has proposed techniques to utilize fly ash in street dikes. Flyash shows self-solidifying conduct and can be used in development over wide range. This property is because of the accessibility of free lime .Its properties relies upon different attributes out of which some are portraval of coal, fineness of pummeling, heater type and temperature of terminating. Hussein *et al.* (2013) in his investigation supplanted OPC with 5 to half fly ash and saw that 10% fly ash demonstrated the most noteworthy compressive quality at all ages, utilization of 15%-30% fly ash fundamentally expanded the compressive quality at 90 and 180 days.

Mukherjee et al. (2013) revealed that the zero droop concrete indicated higher compressive quality contrasted with useful cement with super plasticizer up to 60% supplanting with fly ash. The quality increase with time is higher contrasted with the OPC concrete at all substitution level of concrete by fly ash and the ideal quality addition was noted at 70% substitution at 28 days.

1.2 METHODOLOGY

Substitution levels of OPC by FA of 0.5, 10, 15 and 20 % were picked for this examination work. Grouping was completed by weighing according to determined measure of each solid constituent as indicated by the blend proportion of 1:1.54:2.88 and M-30 grade of concrete was received. The constituents were then blended completely until a uniform blend was gotten. Water was then included and the blend was rehashed. The new solid blend was then positioned in a shape of size 150 mm, compacted, and left for 24 h before testing Compressive examples were tried at the ages of 7 and 28 days.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

Materials Used: The different material utilized in the planning of concrete will be cement, sand, aggregate coarse totals, fly ash(F.A.) and water.



Fly Ash: Class F Fly debris is ordinarily created from consuming anthracite or bituminous coal that meets the appropriate necessities. This class f fly debris has pozzolanic properties and will have a base silica dioxide in addition to aluminum oxide in addition to press oxide. The physical and concoction properties are recorded in table 1 and table 2 separately.

Table 1 . Typical physical properties of fly ash

PROPERTY	VALUE
Mean grain size (µm)	20
Specific surface (cm ² /g)	2680-4000
Specific gravity	2.1-2.4
Color	Dark Gray

Table 2. Typical chemical composition of fly ash

Compound	Percentage Composition		
Calcium oxide (CaO)	4.74		
1) Silicon oxides (SiO2)	46.80		
2) Aluminium oxide (Al2O3))	23.89		
Iron oxide (Fe2O3	15.77		
Magnesium oxide (MgO)	0.9		
Sulfur oxide (SO3) Sodium	1.18		
oxide (Na2O) Potassium	0.62		
oxide (K2O) Loss on	0.11		
3) ignition (LOI)	2.36		

Mix Proportion: The mixture proportion for the controlled concrete of M30 grade was arrived from the trial mix as per IS:10262-2009.

Table	3.	Mix	proportions
-------	----	-----	-------------

S.No.	%	Cement	Fly ash	Fine	Coarse	Water	w/c
	Replace by fly			aggregate	aggregate		
	ash	(Kg/m ³)	(Kg/m ³)	(Kg/m ³)	(Kg/m ³)	Kg/m ³	ratio
1.	0	423.25	0	656	1222	182	0.43
2.	5	402.08	21.17	656	1222	182	0.43
3.	10	308.92	42.32	656	1222	182	0.43
4.	15	359.76	63.48	656	1222	182	0.43
5.	20	338.60	84.65	656	1222	182	0.43

Concrete: Ordinary Portland Cement "Birla gold" (43 Grades). Which is accessible in showcase is utilized.

Fine Aggregate: The regular stream sand accessible in nearby market which goes through 4.75mm strainer with explicit gravity of 2.62. Adjusting to Zone II.



Coarse Aggregate: Crushed rock adjusting to IS 383 -1987 is utilized in this examination. Coarse total going through 20mm and held on 16 mm sifter and explicit gravity 2.82 was utilized.

Water: Water is a significant element of concrete as it effectively partook in compound response with concrete, clean compact water which is accessible in our school grounds is utilized.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION :-

Compressive Strength:-

The after effects of compressive quality were introduced. The test was done acquire compressive quality of concrete at age of 7 and 28 days. The solid shapes were tried utilizing Compression Testing Machine (CTM) of limit 2000KN accessible in structures lab. The compressive quality is up to 33.34 N/mm2 and 44.01 N/mm2 at 7 and 28 days. The most extreme compressive quality is seen at 20% substitution of fly ash. There is a huge improvement in the compressive quality of concrete due to the high pozzolanic nature of the fly ash and its void filling capacity.

Table-4 Compressive test results of FA

S.NO.	Name of cube	Fly Ash	Average Ultimate Compressive strength(N/mm ²)	
	sample	(%)	(7 days)	(28 days)
1.	FO	0	26.81	40.74
2.	F5	5	28.89	41.63
3.	F10	10	30.65	42.37
4.	F15	15	31.85	43.25
5.	F20	20	33.34	44.01



Chart -1: Relationship between compressive strength and different percentage of FA. at age of 7 and 28-days In this investigation arrangement of the tests have been led on cement with the expansion of fly ash as incomplete substitution of OPC. In the fly ash was utilized as halfway substitution of OPC in various rate that is 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% of the dry load of the concrete. the trials were led on M-30 evaluation of concrete according to pertinent Seems to be code practice dependent on the test outcomes acquired from this examination the accompanying end can be drawn.

1. Having fly ash in a solid blend as a substitution of cement, builds its compressive quality due to the pozzolanic movement of the ash.

2. The compressive quality of a fly ash concrete continues expanding over quite a while in light of the fact that the fly ash hinders the hydration procedure of cement, though conventional solid arrives at its greatest compressive quality after around 28 days.

3.20% FA as substitution of concrete has accomplished the greatest compressive quality.

4. From the compressive quality test outcomes, it is discovered that the higher quality is watched for the ordinary concrete.

5. Finally the compressive quality increments with the increment of fly ash due to the pozzolanic reactivity of the ash and the fineness of the particles which improved the microstructure of the solidified cement because of pressing and filling impact. 20% FA is viewed as the best proportion of cement replacement in a concrete mix.



Fly Ash

REFERENCES

Weerachart Tangchirapat1; Chaivanunt [1] Rattanashotinunt2: Buranasing3; and Chai Rak Jaturapitakkul Influence of Fly Ash on Slump Loss and Strength of Concrete Fully Incorporating Recycled Concrete Aggregates Journal of Materials in structural Engineering © Asce/February 2013



[2] ACI Committee 211 (1993), Guide for Selecting Proportions for High Strength Concrete with Portland Cement and Fly ash, ACI Materials Journal, Vol.90, No.3, pp. 272-283.

[3] Bharat Kumar, B. H., Narayanan, R., Raghu Prasad, B. K., Ramachandra murthy, D. S. (2001), Mix proportioning of elite concrete ||, Cement and Concrete Composites, Vol.23, pp. 71 – 80.

[4] Gopalan, M. K., Haque, M. N. (1986), —Strength advancement of fly ash concretes||, Engineering Materials and Structures, Vol.19, No.1, pp. 33-37.

[5] Mehta, P. K. (1985), —Influence of Fly Ash Characteristics on the Strength of Portland Fly Ash Mixtures||, Vol.15, pp.669 – 674.

[6] Xu, A., Sarkar, S.L. (1993), —Hydration and Properties of Fly debris Concrete||, in S.N.Ghosh altered Mineral Admixtures in Cement and Concrete, Progress in Cement and Concrete arrangement, Akademia Books International, New Delhi, India, pp. 174 – 225.

[7] Slanicka, S. (1991), —The Influence of Fly Ash Fineness on the Strength of Concrete||, Cement and Concrete Research, Vol.21, pp. 285 – 296.