

# Weight Reduction of Engine Mounting Bracket of a Passenger Car by using Strain Gauge Method

Ms. Harshada Barawkar<sup>1</sup>, Mr. Ashish Lagad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PG Scholar, Jaywantrao Sawant College of Engineering, SPPU, India <sup>2</sup> Professor, Jaywantrao Sawant College of Engineering, SPPU, India \*\*\*\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Abstract** - Nowadays automotive part designing is totally based on strength & light weight as per as mobility is concerned for performance enhancement. So, for saving of cost in production methods of parts of automobile and with consideration of weight of parts in which a region can be focused having less stresses will be cut within geometry of parts. The main purpose of an engine mounting bracket is to support the power -train system in an Automobile in all conditions of road surfaces including even, uneven road surfaces. It is very difficult to change the supporting locations and the types of support after the engine is built, the mounting brackets must be verified in the design stage. This project contains the study of vibration and Optimization of an engine mounting bracket and comparison between existing and optimized engine mounting bracket. Optimize model will be manufacturing using SS. material with reinforcement of E- glass and carbon fibre layer separately. CAD model has been generated through reverse engineering. The bracket of TATA CUMMINS Engine is to be taken for study. After analyzing the engine mounting bracket of TATA CUMMINS, Optimization is done. Experimental testing will be performed with help of UTM testing

Keywords - Engine mounting bracket, Composite material, FEA, UTM.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

In this automotive era the need for light weight structural materials is increasing as there is a more focus on fuel consumption reduction and improvement in decreasing the emission. The magnitude of production volumes has traditionally placed severe requirements on the robustness of process used in the manufacturing. The manufacturers have strong importance on the cost has the demand for the component to improve the material performance and to deliver these materials at low cost is the requirement. In automobile sector the extremely competitive automotive business needs manufactures to pay a lot of attention to traveling comfort. Resonant vibration is from unbalanced masses exist within the engine body, this is causing the designers to direct their attention to the event of top quality engine mounting brackets so as to confirm that there is improvement in riding comfort. The demand for higher play acting engine mount brackets should not be offset by arise within the production prices and/or development cycle time.In diesel engine, the engine mounting bracket is the major problem as there is unthrottled condition and higher compression ratio and even there are more speed irregularities at low

speed and low load when compared to gasoline engines. So due to this there are more vibration excitation. By this vibration engine mount bracket may fail, so by optimizing the shape and thickness of engine mount bracket we can improve the performance at initial design stages. By some studies it is observed that brackets saved 38% of mass. Structural optimization is an important tool for an optimum design; comparison in terms of weight and component performance structural optimization techniques is effective tool to produce higher quality products at lower cost.



Fig 1: Engine Mounting Bracket

## **2. LITERATURE SURVEY**

Sreekanth Dondapatibet al. [1] in the present work, experimental investigation on the failure of a muffler mounting bracket attached to commercial vehicle is done. Cracks are identified at the welded location of muffler mount which shows that weld joint has better strength than the muffler/bracket body. To understand the possible root causes of the failure, fishbone diagram was used, which helped in determining the major causes of the failure by a graphical representation. Further, the three parameter Weibull distribution was also developed to determine the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) life which was found to be 15,172 km. In addition, tensile testing of sheet metal was performed on the sheets which was used in the manufacturing of Muffler. Furthermore, a Thermo-Mechanical coupled analysis was carried out using commercial code, ANSYS 16.0, which adapts Finite Element Analysis (FEA) formulation. The thermal loads on muffler were imported to structural analysis along with a static load of 4 g acceleration were imposed on the muffler body to simulate the effects of high impact loads.



Joong Jae Kim et al. [2] in order to obtain an automatically designed shape of engine mount, an optimum shape design process of engine mounting rubber using a parametric approach is introduced. The optimization code is developed to determine the shape to meet the stiffness requirements of engine mounts, coupled with a commercial nonlinear finite element program. A bush type engine mount being used in a passenger car is chosen for an application model. The shape from the result of the parameter optimization is determined as a final model with some modifications. The shape and stiffness of each optimization stage are shown and the stiffness of the optimized model along the principal direction is compared with the design specification of the current model. Finally, an overview of the current status and future works for the engine mount design are discussed.

Liu Qianga et al. [3] because of the launch vibration and shock, magnetically suspended flywheels (MSFWs) are equipped with an additional launch locking protective device (LLPD), and the LLPD performance has great influence on the attitude control precision of the flywheel system. In this paper, a LLPD that takes the carbon fiber bracket as the key clamped and releasable mechanism was presented. And the configuration, operating principle and functional performance requirements were introduced. The locking/unlocking force, maximum stress and contact force of the carbon fiber bracket were analyzed. The dynamic analysis of the single carbon fiber bracket equivalent to the cantilever beam model was carried out. Subsequently, the sensitivity of the constraint variables vs the structural parameters was calculated. The lower and upper parts of the carbon fiber bracket were separately optimized. The result shows that the mass of the carbon fiber bracket can reach to the minimum of 60.5 g when the number of the upper carbon fiber bracket slices is 12. Finally, the LLPD prototype was manufactured and its locking protection for the flywheel system was verified by the swept-sine vibration and the random vibration.

Maryam Hajizadehet al. [4] the bonding strength of bracket-adhesive tooth system should be high enough to withstand different loads applied either for treatment purpose or by patient. Different parameters affect the strength of bracket-adhesive-tooth system; bond however, only a few studies have reviewed the effect of orthodontic bracket base on bond strength of bracketadhesive-tooth system. In this study, optimization of the bracket base geometry for teeth with planar enamel surface was investigated in order to increase the shear, tensile and torsional bond strength of bracket-adhesivetooth system. Materials and methods: Rectangular bracket was primarily bonded on maxilla central tooth to measure stress distribution of bracket-adhesive-tooth system with applying shear and tensile forces and torsional moment. Trapezoidal, hexagonal and elliptical brackets were then modeled for this planar enamel surface tooth. All of these

brackets were bonded to tooth separately and similar loading conditions were applied on the bracket of each system. Stress distributions of bracket–adhesive-tooth systems were calculated and compared to each other. Results: It was observed that for hexagonal bracket– adhesive-tooth system, adhesive layer and enamel, and for elliptical bracket the bracket and enamel layer were of more symmetric and appropriate pattern of stress distribution and lower maximum stress. Therefore, these shapes of bracket are more proper than the other two shapes for a planar enamel surface tooth. Conclusion: Bracket base geometry was confirmed to crucially affect the bond strength of bracket–adhesive-tooth system through finite element analysis approach.

**B. Vijaya Ramnathaet. Al [5]** A well-designed runner and gating system is very important to produce good quality die castings by providing a homogenous mould filling pattern. Flow analysis of the component is done in order to visibly analyse the cavity filling process. In this study, a Commutator End (CE) bracket, a cold chamber die casted product was chosen. Initially when the component was casted numerous defects such as Cold shuts, Misrun, Shrinkage porosity and Gas porosity were found. This in turn led to rejection of number of components. In order to improve the quality of the castings produced, the gating system was changed from the existing flat gate to modified spoon fed gate. The component was designed using Pro-Engineer and flow analysis was carried out using Rotork Flow 3D Software.

#### **3. PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Automotive parts like mount brackets are having weight which leads to increase in total weight of automobile with less performance for mobility, as it is directly affects the mileage & cost. To overcome this problem modelling of bracket will be done in CAD & analyzing in CAE for induced stresses & deformation. Excess material will be search by region having less stresses & that region will be cut & again optimized model is analyzed for comparison with experimental test results.

#### **4 OBJECTIVES**

- 1. Modelling Engine mounting bracket in CATIA V5 software.
- 2. Analyzing for stresses and deformation in engine mounting bracket of vehicle
- 3. Topological optimization for the model.
- 4. Optimize model will be manufacturing using structure steel material with reinforcement of glass carbon fiber layer.
- 5. To perform Model analysis of both engine mounting bracket with help of ANSYS 19 software



6. To perform experimental testing of new, optimize engine mounting bracket on UTM for measuring strain.

#### **5. FEA ANALYSIS**

#### **CAD Model:**



Fig 2: CAD Model of Engine mounting bracket

Properties of Outline Row 3: Structural Steel			
	А	в	с
1	Property	Value	Unit
2	🔁 Material Field Variables	III Table	
3	🔁 Density	7850	kg m^-3
4	■ Isotropic Secant Coefficient of Thermal Expansion		
6	Isotropic Elasticity		
7	Derive from	Young's Modulus and Pois	
8	Young's Modulus	2E+11	Pa
9	Poisson's Ratio	0.3	
10	Bulk Modulus	1.6667E+11	Pa
11	Shear Modulus	7.6923E+10	Pa

#### **Table. Material Properties**

#### **MESHING:**

In ANSYS meshing is performed as similar to discretization process in FEA procedure in which it breaks whole components in small elements and nodes. So, in analysis boundary condition equation are solved at this elements and nodes. ANSYS Meshing is a general-purpose, intelligent, automated high-performance product. A mesh well suited for a specific analysis can be generated with a single mouse click for all parts in a model. The power of parallel processing is automatically used to reduce the time you have to wait for mesh generation.



Fig 3: Details of meshing of mounting bracket



Fig 4: Boundary condition

Boundary condition are applied as per research paper to determine stress and deformation with and without reinforced composite material.



Fig 5: Deformation results





Fig 6: Equivalent stress results

### **MODAL ANALYSIS:**



Fig 7: Mode shape 1





# 6. FEA OF ENGINE MOUNTING BRACKET GLASS FIBER REINFORCEMENT

#### Geometry



Fig 9: Geometry of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement

# Material properties

A      B        1      Property      Value      Property        2      2      Chrboropc Elestoly      26-09      mm <sup>2</sup> 4      Yaung's Modulus X direction      45000      MPa        5      Yaung's Modulus X direction      10000      MPa        6      Yaung's Modulus X direction      10000      MPa        7      Passer's Rato X?      0.3      Passer's Rato X?      0.3        8      Poisser's Rato X?      0.3      Passer's Rato X?      0.3        10      Shew Modulus X      0.3      Passer's Rato X?      0.3        11      Shew Modulus XZ      100      MPa        12      Shew Modulus XZ      3964.2      MPa        13      Tersile Z direction      1100      MPa        14      Tersile Z direction      35      MPa        15      Tersile Z direction      35      MPa        16      Tersile Z direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      46.	Properbes of Culture Kow 9: Epoxy E-Gass LD			
1      Property      Value        2      2 Or thotops Class(ty      26.09      mm <sup>1</sup> /        3      B Or thotops Class(ty      Mm <sup>1</sup> /      46000      MPa        4      Yaung's Modulas Y direction      10000      MPa        5      Yaung's Modulas 2 direction      10000      MPa        6      Yaung's Modulas 2 direction      0.000      MPa        7      Poisson's Rato X <sup>1</sup> 0.3      10        9      Poisson's Rato X <sup>2</sup> 0.3      10        10      Shew Modulas 1/2      0.30      MPa        11      Shew Modulas 1/2      3964.2      MPa        12      Shew Modulas 1/2      3964.2      MPa        13      Terole 2 direction      1100      MPa        14      Terole 2 direction      35      MPa        15      Terole 2 direction      35      MPa        16      Terole 2 direction      35      MPa        17      Congressive 2 direction      35      MPa        18      Congressive 2 direction      40.10      MPa		A	В	
2      2-49      mm^        3      B      2-69      mm^        4      Young's Modules Y direction      40000      MPa        5      Young's Modules Y direction      10000      MPa        6      Young's Modules Y direction      10000      MPa        7      Paissen's Rato X7      0.3      6        8      Poissen's Rato X7      0.4      6        9      Poissen's Rato X7      0.3      6        10      Shear Modules X7      0.3      6        11      Shear Modules X2      5000      MPa        12      Shear Modules X2      5000      MPa        13      B      20      Shear Modules X2      5000      MPa        14      Tensle Y direction      1100      MPa      6      7000      MPa        15      Tensle Y direction      35      MPa      6      7000      MPa        16      Tensle Y direction      35      MPa      7      70000000      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      45/15      <	1	Property	Value	
3      B      Chrobroge Elastoty      4000      MPa        4      Young's Module X direction      45000      MPa        5      Young's Module X direction      10000      MPa        6      Young's Module X direction      10000      MPa        7      Posson's Rato XY      0.3      6        9      Posson's Rato XZ      0.4      7        9      Posson's Rato XZ      0.3      7        10      Shear Module XY      0.00      MPa        11      Shear Module XZ      0.3      7        12      Shear Module XY      5000      MPa        13      Shear Module XZ      5000      MPa        14      Tensle X direction      1100      MPa        15      Tensle X direction      35      MPa        16      Tensle X direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      45.15      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      45.15      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      45.154      MPa	2	🔁 Density	2E-09	mm^-3
4  Young's Modules X direction  45000  MPa    5  Young's Modules X direction  10000  MPa    6  Young's Modules Z direction  10000  MPa    7  Posson's Ratio YZ  0.3  10    9  Posson's Ratio YZ  0.3  10    9  Posson's Ratio YZ  0.3  10    10  Shear Modules XY  5000  MPa    11  Shear Modules YZ  5000  MPa    12  Shear Modules YZ  5000  MPa    13  B  Chronopic Shress Links  1000  MPa    14  Tensile Y direction  35  MPa    15  Tensile Y direction  35  MPa    16  Tensile Y direction  -120  MPa    17  Compressive Y direction  -120  MPa    18  Compressive Y direction  -120  MPa    19  Compressive Y direction  -120  MPa    20  Shear YZ  80  MPa    21  Shear YZ  80  MPa    22  Shear YZ  80  MPa    23  Epoxy E-Glass UD  1    24  Epoxy E-Glass UD  1    25	3	Orthotropic Elasticity		
S      Young's Modules' direction      10000      MPa        6      Young's Modules' direction      10000      MPa        7      Pelason's Rato XY      0.3      2        8      Poisson's Rato XY      0.4      9        9      Poisson's Rato XZ      0.3      2        10      Shear Modules XY      5000      MPa        11      Shear Modules XZ      386.2      MPa        12      Shear Modules XZ      386.2      MPa        13      B      Q2      Orthorpic Shear Linits      2        14      Tensle X direction      1100      MPa        15      Tensle X direction      35      MPa        16      Tensle X direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        20      Shear MO      -120      MPa        21      Shear V      80      MPa        22	4	Young's Modulus X direction	45000	MPa
6      Young's Modulas 2 direction      10000      MPa        7      Poisson's Ratio X7      0.3      1        9      Poisson's Ratio X2      0.3      1        10      Shear Modulas X1      5000      MPa        11      Shear Modulas Y2      3846.2      MPa        12      Shear Modulas Y2      5000      MPa        13      G      Orthoropic Stress Limits      1      11000      MPa        14      Tensile X direction      1100      MPa      15      1100      MPa        15      Tensile X direction      35      MPa      18      Compressive X direction      55      MPa        16      Tensile X direction      35      MPa      19      Compressive X direction      4575      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa      12      Shear X7      80      MPa        21      Shear X7      80      MPa      12      Shear X2      MPa        22      Shear X2      Material      Thickness (mmm)      1        22 <td>5</td> <td>Young's Modulus Y direction</td> <td>10000</td> <td>MPa</td>	5	Young's Modulus Y direction	10000	MPa
7      Passon's Rato XY      0.3        8      Posson's Rato XZ      0.4        9      Passon's Rato XZ      0.3        10      Shear Modula YX      5000      Ma        11      Shear Modula YZ      386.2      Ma        12      Shear Modula YZ      5000      Ma        13      Shear Modula YZ      5000      Ma        14      Tende X direction      1100      Ma        15      Tende X direction      35      Ma        16      Tende X direction      35      Ma        17      Compressive X direction      35      Ma        18      Campressive X direction      -120      Ma        19      Compressive Z direction      -120      Ma        20      Shear YY      80      Maa        21      Shear YZ      80      Maa        22      Shear YZ      80      Maa        21      Shear YZ      80      Maa        22      Shear YZ      80      Maa        23      Shear YZ      80	6	Young's Modulus Z direction	10000	MPa
8      Passen's Ratio YZ      0.4        9      Possen's Ratio YZ      0.3        10      Shear Modulas XY      S000      MPa        11      Shear Modulas XZ      3846.2      MPa        12      Shear Modulas XZ      S000      MPa        13      Same Modulas XZ      S000      MPa        14      Tensile X direction      1100      MPa        15      Tensile Y direction      35      MPa        16      Tensile Y direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      475      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      475      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      45.154      MPa        20      Shear YZ      80      MPa        21      Shear YZ      80      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        23      Shear YZ      80      MPa        24	7	Poisson's Ratio XY	0.3	
9      Passen's Rato XZ      0.3        10      Shear Modulas XY      5000      MPa        11      Shear Modulas XZ      5000      MPa        12      Shear Modulas YZ      5000      MPa        13      IZ      Shear Modulas YZ      5000      MPa        14      Tensile X direction      1000      MPa        15      Tensile Y direction      35      MPa        16      Tensile Z direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      -675      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        20      Shear XY      80      MPa        21      Shear YZ      80      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        23      Shear YZ      80      MPa        24      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1        24      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1        24      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1 <td>8</td> <td>Poisson's Ratio YZ</td> <td>0.4</td> <td></td>	8	Poisson's Ratio YZ	0.4	
10      Shear Modula XY      5000      MPa        11      Shear Modula XZ      3962.2      MPa        12      Shear Modula XZ      5000      MPa        13      IB      Offontopic Shear Indida XZ      5000      MPa        13      IB      Offontopic Shear Indida XZ      5000      MPa        14      Trende X direction      1100      MPa        15      Trende X direction      35      MPa        16      Trende X direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      475      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      4700      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      1200      MPa        20      Shear XY      80      MPa        21      Shear XZ      80      MPa        22      Shear XZ      80      MPa        23      Shear XZ      80      MPa        24      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1        2      E	9	Poisson's Ratio XZ	0.3	
11      Shear Modulas Y2      3946.2      MPa        12      Shear Modulas Y2      5000      MPa        13      IB      20      Orthothopt Stress limits      1000      MPa        14      Tensile X direction      35      MPa      100      MPa        15      Tensile X direction      35      MPa      100      MPa        15      Tensile X direction      35      MPa      100      MPa        16      Tensile X direction      35      MPa      100      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      475      MPa      120      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa      120      MPa        20      Shear X1      80      MPa      120      MPa        21      Shear Y2      80      MPa      12      144      144      144      1454      MPa        22      Shear Y2      80      1      1      1      1      1      1      1      1      1      1      1      1 <td>10</td> <td>Shear Modulus XY</td> <td>5000</td> <td>MPa</td>	10	Shear Modulus XY	5000	MPa
12      Sher Modula X2      500      MPa        13      Image: Control Stress Limits      Ima	11	Shear Modulus YZ	3846.2	MPa
13      Image: Constraint of the state of the s	12	Shear Modulus XZ	5000	MPa
14      Tendle X direction      1100      MPa        15      Tendle Y direction      35      MPa        16      Tendle Z direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      475      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      475      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      420      MPa        20      Shear XY      80      MPa        21      Shear XZ      80      MPa        22      Shear XZ      80      MPa        23      Shear XZ      80      MPa        4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	13	Orthotropic Stress Limits		
15      Tendle' direction      35      MPa        16      Tendle' direction      35      MPa        17      Compressive X direction      -675      MPa        18      Compressive X direction      -675      MPa        19      Compressive X direction      -120      MPa        20      Shew Y      80      MPa        21      Shew YZ      80      MPa        22      Shew YZ      80      MPa        23      Shew YZ      80      MPa        24      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      -        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      -        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      -        1      Structural Steel      4      -	14	Tensile X direction	1100	MPa
15      Tensle 2 drecton      35      MPa        17      Compressive X drection      -675      MPa        18      Compressive X drection      -120      MPa        19      Compressive 2 drection      -120      MPa        20      Sheer VT      80      MPa        21      Sheer VT      80      MPa        22      Sheer VZ      80      MPa        Thickness (mm)        (+ Z)        4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	15	Tensie Y direction	35	MPa
17      Compressive X direction      -675      MPa        18      Compressive Y direction      -120      MPa        19      Compressive Z direction      -120      MPa        20      Shear VY      80      MPa        21      Shear VZ      46.154      MPa        22      Shear VZ      80      MPa        Thickness (mm)        (+ Z)        4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	16	Tensie Z direction	35	MPa
18      Compressive Y direction      -120      MPa        19      Compressive 2 direction      -120      MPa        20      Shear XY      80      MPa        21      Shear YZ      46.154      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      3        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1        1      Structural Steel      4      4	17	Compressive X direction	-675	MPa
19      Compressive 2 direction      -120      MPa        20      Shear XY      80      MPa        21      Shear YZ      46.154      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	18	Compressive Y direction	-120	MPa
20      Shear XY      80      MPa        21      Shear YZ      46.154      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        Layer      Material      Thickness (mm)        (+Z)        4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1      1      2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4        4	19	Compressive Z direction	-120	MPa
21      Shear YZ      46.154      MPa        22      Shear YZ      80      MPa        Layer      Material      Thickness (mm)        (+Z)      4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	20	Shear XY	80	MPa
22  Ster X2  80  MPa    Layer  Material  Thickness (mm)    (+Z)	21	Shear YZ	46.154	MPa
Layer  Material  Thickness (mm)    (+Z)  4  Epoxy E-Glass UD  1    3  Epoxy E-Glass UD  1    2  Epoxy E-Glass UD  1    1  Structural Steel  4	22	Shear XZ	80	MPa
Layer      Material      Thickness (mm)        (+Z)				
(+Z)      4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	Lay	er Material	Thickness (mr	n)
4      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4		(+Z)		
3      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        2      Epoxy E-Glass UD      1        1      Structural Steel      4	4	4 Epoxy E-Glass UD	1	
2 Epoxy E-Glass UD 1 1 Structural Steel 4	:	B Epoxy E-Glass UD	1	
1 Structural Steel 4	1	2 Epoxy E-Glass UD	1	
		Structural Steel	4	
(-Z)		-Z)		

Composite of each layer with structural steel of 4 mm thickness and composite layer of each 1 mm is provided





Fig 10: Meshing of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement

### **Boundary condition**



Fig 11: Boundary condition of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement

#### **Total deformation**



Fig 12: Total deformation of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement.

# © 2020, IRJET | Impact Factor value: 7.529



Fig 13: Equivalent stress at layer 1 of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement

#### AT LAYER 2



Fig 14: Equivalent stress at layer 2 of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement

#### AT LAYER 3



Fig 15: Equivalent stress at layer 3 of engine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement.

#### 7. FEA OF ENGINE MOUNTING BRACKET CARBON FIBER REINFORCEMENT

#### Material properties of carbon fibre

Properties of Outline Row 4: Epoxy Carbon UD (230 GPa) Prepreg			
	A	В	с
1	Property	Value	Unit
2	🔁 Density	1.49E-09	mm^-3 t
3	Orthotropic Secant Coefficient of Thermal Expansion		
8	Orthotropic Elasticity		
9	Young's Modulus X direction	1.21E+05	MPa
10	Young's Modulus Y direction	8600	MPa
11	Young's Modulus Z direction	8600	MPa
12	Poisson's Ratio XY	0.27	
13	Poisson's Ratio YZ	0.4	
14	Poisson's Ratio XZ	0.27	
15	Shear Modulus XY	4700	MPa
16	Shear Modulus YZ	3100	MPa
17	Shear Modulus XZ	4700	MPa
18	Orthotropic Stress Limits		
19	Tensile X direction	2231	MPa
20	Tensile Y direction	29	MPa
21	Tensile Z direction	29	MPa
22	Compressive X direction	-1082	MPa
23	Compressive Y direction	-100	MPa
24	Compressive Z direction	-100	MPa
25	Shear XY	60	MPa
26	Shear YZ	32	MPa
27	Shear XZ	60	MPa

#### Layer information

Layer	Material	Thickness (mm)	Angle (°)
(+Z)			
4	Epoxy Carbon UD (230 GPa) Prepreg	1	0
3	Epoxy Carbon UD (230 GPa) Prepreg	1	0
2	Epoxy Carbon UD (230 GPa) Prepreg	1	0
1	Structural Steel	4	0
(-Z)			

Composite of each layer with structure steel of 4 mm thickness and composite layer of each 1 mm is provided

#### Mesh



Fig 16: Meshing of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement

#### **Boundary condition**



Fig 17: Boundary condition of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement

#### **Total deformation**



Fig 18: Total deformation of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement

# LAYER WISE EQUIVALENT STRESS ON CARBON FIBRE AT LAYER 1



Fig 19: Equivalent stress at layer 1 of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement







Fig 20: Equivalent stress at layer 2 of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement





Fig 21: Equivalent stress at layer 3 of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement

## **Equivalent Elastic Strain**



Fig 23: Equivalent Elastic Strain of engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement

# 8. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A universal testing machine (UTM), also known as a universal tester, materials testing machine or materials test frame, is used to test the tensile strength and compressive strength of materials. An earlier name for a tensile testing machine is a tensometer. The "universal" part of the name reflects that it can perform many standard tensile and compression tests on materials, components, and structures (in other words, that it is versatile). The set-up and usage are detailed in a test method, often published by a standards organization. This specifies the sample preparation, fixturing, gauge length (the length which is under study or observation), analysis, etc. The specimen is placed in the machine between the grips and an extensometer if required can automatically record the change in gauge length during the test. If an extensometer is not fitted, the machine itself can record the displacement between its cross heads on which the specimen is held. However, this method not only records the change in length of the specimen but also all other extending / elastic components of the testing machine and its drive systems including any slipping of the specimen in the grips. Once the machine is started it begins to apply an increasing load on specimen. Throughout the tests the control system and its associated software record the load and extension or compression of the specimen.

1	Max Capacity	400KN
2	Measuring range	0-400KN
3	Least Count	0.04KN
4	Clearance for Tensile	50-700 mm
	Test	
5	Clearance for	0- 700 mm
	Compression Test	
6	Clearance Between	500 mm
	column	
7	Ram stroke	200 mm
8	Power supply	3 Phase, 440Volts,
		50 cycle. A.C
9	Overall dimension of	2100*800*2060
	machine (L*W*H )	
10	Weight	2300Kg

#### **Specification of UTM**

#### **8.1 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

- Fixture is manufactured according to component designed.
- Single force is applied as per FEA analysis and reanalysis is performed to determine strain by numerical and experimental testing.
- Strain gauge is applied as per FEA results to maximum strained region and during experimental testing force



is applied as per numerical analysis to check the strain obtained by numerical and experimental results.

• During strain gage experiment two wires connected to strain gage is connected to micro controller through the data acquisition system and DAQ is connected to laptop. Strain gage value are displayed on laptop using DEWESOFT software.





Fig.23: Experimental result

#### **From FEA results**

Equivalent stress	Engine mounting bracket glass fiber	Engine mounting bracket carbon fiber
	reinforcement	reinforcement
At Layer 1	71.11	66.93
At Layer 2	9.20	13.80
At Layer 3	11.69	18.98

© 2020, IRJET

**Impact Factor value: 7.529** 

Material and existing weight of model

Properties		
Volume	3.2549e+005 mm³	
Mass	2.5551 kg	

S.S material with carbon fiber layer and optimized weight of model\_\_\_\_\_

Properties		
Total Thickness	7. mm	
Total Mass	1.6679 kg	

### 9. CONCLUSIONS

- From FEA result conclude that After applying 2697.8 N force on single engine mounting bracket, Equivalent stress on Engine Bracket was 39.414MPa
- Modal analysis of engine mounting bracket is performed to obtain different mode shapes and natural frequency of existing engine mounting bracket and also it is observed that maximum frequency is around 3870.4 Hz.
- From FEA analysis of Eengine mounting bracket glass fiber reinforcement and Engine mounting bracket carbon fiber reinforcement it concluded that equivalent stress induced in carbon fibre are less than glass fibre.
- Due to use of carbon fibre reinforcement engine mounting bracket total weight reduced up to 34.75%.
- Strain measurement of 258 microns and 281 microns by numerical and experimental testing respectively.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Umesh. S. Ghorpade, d. s. chavan, vinay patil & mahendra gaikwad, "Finite Element Analysis and Natural Frequency Optimization of Engine Bracket" (IJMIE) ISSN No. 2231 –6477, Vol-2, Iss-3, 2012.
- Mr. Pramod Walunje, Prof. V. K. Kurkute, "Engineering Optimization of Engine Mounting Bracket Using FEA" Indian Journal of Research ISSN-2250-1991 volume:2, Issue:12, Dec 2013.
- Dr. Yadavalli Basavaraj, Manjunatha. T. H, Design Optimization of Automotive Engine Mount System, International Journal of Engineering Science Invention Issn (Online): 2319 – 6734, Issn (Print): 2319 – 6726, Volume 2 Issue 3, March. 2013
- P.D. Jadhav, Ramakrishna, Finite Element Analysis of Engine Mount Bracket, International Journal of Advancement In Engineering Technology, Management And Applied Science, Volume 1, Issue 4, Issue no. 2349-3224, Setember 14
- 5. Sandeep Maski, Yadavalli Basavaraj, Finite Element Analysis of Engine Mounting Bracket by Considering Pretension Effect and Service Load, IJRET:



International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, e-ISSN: 2319-1163, p-ISSN: 2321-7308, Volume: 04 Issue: 08, August-2015.

- 6. B. Sreedhar, U. Naga Sasidhar, Optimization of Mounting Bracket, htc2012
- 7. Investigation on the mechanical stresses in a muffler mounting bracket using Root Cause Failure Analysis (RCFA), finite element analysis and experimental validation Sreekanth Dondapatib, Mudit Trivedib, Raja Sekhar Dondapatia, Divya Chandra
- 8. SHAPE DESIGN OF AN ENGINE MOUNT BY A METHOD OF PARAMETER OPTIMIZATION Joong Jae Kim? and Heon Young Kim
- 9. Analysis and Optimization of Gating System for Commutator End Bracket B. Vijaya Ramnatha\*, C. Elanchezhiana, Vishal Chandrasekharb, A. Arun Kumarb, S. Mohamed Asifb, G. Riyaz Mohamedb, D. Vinodh Rajb ,C .Suresh Kumar
- 10. Optimization design of launch locking protective device (LLPD) based on carbon fiber bracket for magnetically suspended flywheel (MSFW) Liu Qianga, Wang Kunb,\*, Ren Yuanc, Chen Xiaocenc, Ma Limeia, Zhao Yon